PATTERN OF CARDIOVASCULAR DRUGS USE IN OUTPATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL

M.G.RAJANANDH*, C.RAMASAMY, A. IMRAN KHAN

Department of Pharmacy Practice, SRM College of Pharmacy , SRM University, Kattankulathur- 603 203, Kanchipuram district, Tamil Nadu ,Email : mgr_pharm@yahoo.co.in.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the present study was to assess the prescribing pattern of cardiovascular drugs in outpatients in a tertiary care hospital of Kanchipuram district, India.

Methods: A prospective study of cardiovascular prescriptions of outpatients of cardiology department was carried out. A total of 215 prescriptions were collected for the study in Sri Ramasamy Memorial (SRM) Medical College Hospital and Research Centre from August 2010 to March 2011. The prescriptions were evaluated for rationality based on WHO model list of essential medicines. The prescriptions were critically analyzed using pre-determined parameters.

Results: Out of 215 prescriptions collected, 120 drugs were found to be repeatedly prescribed. The results revealed that all single dose formulations prescribed were rationally in accordance with WHO essential drug list whereas fixed dose combinations prescribed remain questionable. A pattern of polypharmacy was clearly evident as each patient was prescribed with more than 5 to 9 drugs.

Conclusion: Campaign and intervention should be focused on patients with more than three diagnostic cardiovascular conditions in order to minimize polypharmacy in elderly patients.

Keywords: Cardiovascular disorders, fixed dose combinations, prescribing pattern, rationality.

INTRODUCTION

Rational drug prescription is defined as use of least number of drugs to obtain the best possible effect in shortest duration and at a reasonable cost. Rationality of drug prescriptions has been studied in various developing countries[1]. Rational Use of Drugs as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)[2] depends on making correct diagnosis and prescribing appropriate drugs in adequate doses. Globally more than 50% of drugs are prescribed, dispensed or sold inappropriately[3]. Conveyance of message from prescriber to a patient is referred to as prescription writing. The various unintended outcomes that may occur as a result of poor prescribing approach include ineffective treatment and exacerbated illness along with distress and harm to the patient with higher cost[4].

The quality of health care may depend on many activities which may include the correct diagnosis, rational use of drugs in correct doses and dispensing them with proper direction[5]. During internship, medical graduates prescribe drugs and provide patient care under the guidance of their teachers. This is the period when they should form the habit of correct methods of prescribing appropriate drugs in correct doses. They should be encouraged to prescribe essential drugs[6]. Inappropriate prescription culminates in the increase in the cost of medical treatment and in morbidity and mortality. Irrational prescription of drugs also leads to an increase in incident of adverse drug events and to emergence of drug resistance[7]. Monitoring of prescriptions and drug utilization studies can identify the problems and provide feedback to prescribers so as to create awareness about irrational use of drugs. The present study aimed to assess the prescribing patterns of cardiovascular drugs in cardiology outpatient department of SRM Medical College Hospital and Research Centre.

METHODS

A prospective study was conducted with the consent of Head of the Department of Cardiology in Sri Ramasamy Memorial Medical College Hospital and Research Center, a 750 bedded tertiary care teaching hospital. The study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee: 141/IEC/2010.

The prescriptions were collected for a period of eight months from August 2010 to March 2011 from the outpatients suffering from cardio vascular health problem from the hospital outpatient department (OPD) on daily basis including repeated (refilled) prescriptions. A total of 215 prescriptions were collected for the study undertaken.

Each prescription was critically studied for the patient's demographic information (such as patient name, age, gender, address, date of consultation) and drug name, dose strength, dosage form, frequency, duration and quantity. The drugs prescribed in each prescription were carefully noted and following parameters were used to assess the rationality of the prescriptions.

- Segregation of prescription in age wise
- Categorization of drugs prescribed with respective to gender
- Total number of drug prescribed
- Average number of drugs per prescription
- Drug prescribed by brand names versus generic names
- Dosage form
- Duration of therapy
- Therapeutic category
- Number of single dose formulation prescribed
- Number of fixed dose formulation prescribed
- Percentage of drugs prescribed from latest WHO model list of Essential Medicines (16th March 2010)[2].

Data was analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software version 17. Descriptive statistics was used to analyze the data obtained from the study.

RESULTS

Observation of the prescriptions revealed that among the total collected prescriptions of cardiovascular disorders, 120 drugs were repeatedly prescribed. Each patient was prescribed with more than 3 medicines. Polypharmacy was clearly evidenced in most of the prescriptions.

Parameters evaluated for prescribing pattern

Age in years

From the analyzed prescriptions only one prescription was prescribed between the age group of 31 to 39 years. Sixty prescriptions were found to be in the age between 40 to 49 years. Eighty prescriptions were in the age group of 50 to 59 years. The numbers of prescriptions falling into the category of age group between 60 to 69 years were sixty followed by fifteen prescriptions in the category of 70 to 79 years.

Gender

Out of two hundred and fifteen prescriptions reviewed, 150 prescriptions were for male category and the remaining 65 for female.

Drug prescribed by brand versus generic names

Out of 215 prescriptions collected, 120 were repeatedly prescribed among which 90 were of brand names and the remaining were of generic names.

Dosage form

All the cardiovascular drugs prescribed were in oral dosage forms whereas furosemide was only prescribed in injection form.

Duration of therapy

Among the 215 prescriptions collected 30 were prescribed up to 7 days, 80 prescriptions for another 15 days and remaining 105 prescriptions for nearly a month.

Therapeutic category

Table 1 and 2 represents the prescribing pattern of cardiovascular drugs in single dose and fixed dose combinations respectively. Most of the drugs prescribed were of single dose formulation along with a few fixed dose combinations. Table 3 and 4 portrays the therapeutic category of cardiovascular drugs in single dose and fixed dose formulation respectively. It is clear that most of the single dose formulations prescribed were of antihypertensives, antianginal and antilipidaemic (Fig 1). From Fig 2 it is clear that anti-platelets and antilipidaemic were the most commonly prescribed in fixed dose combinations.

Determination of rationality

The rationality of cardiovascular drugs has been determined by referring WHO model list of essential medicines (March 2010, 16th list updated) [2]. The results revealed that most of the prescribed single dose drugs are in accordance with the essential model list but the fixed dose combinations prescribed are not included in the list but used commonly.

DISCUSSION

A prescription by a physician denotes his/her attitude towards the disease and medication[8]. The various prescribing parameters and the distribution of categories of drugs in the prescriptions analyzed in this study provided an insight into the prescribing pattern in SRM medical college hospital and research center.

Duplication of drug product and cost effectiveness of drugs can be minimized by prescribing drugs in generic names rather than brand names[9]. In this study, most of drugs prescribed were in brand names and it was similar to other type of studies conducted[10]. Higher number of patients in this study was male. As mentioned by other researchers [11], the frequency of prescriptions for cardiovascular drugs was higher to men than that for women.

The average number of drugs per prescription is a vital parameter during the assessment of prescribing pattern. The mean number of drugs was seven in most of the prescription analyzed. Since, WHO has recommended that average number of drug per prescription should be 2.0 [12] the results of our study portrays polyphramacy which may lead to adverse drug reactions, patient non-compliance and unnecessary drug expenses. However, physicians are in a position to administer higher number of drugs for chronic clinical conditions like hypertension, diabetes. In such cases the patients require more drugs than as directed by WHO and it can be acceptable[13].

It was observed in present study that most of (83.33%) the drugs prescribed were of single dose formulations and 16.66% were of fixed dose combinations. Amlodipine, isosorbide dinitrate, metoprolol, atorvastatin are the most commonly prescribed drugs found in single dose formulation. Atorvastatin and aspirin combination was the commonly prescribed fixed dose combination. The high prescribing frequency of antihypertensives and antiplatelets in single dose formulations and antiplatelet with antilipidaemic in fixed dose combinations reflects the high prevalence of hypertension and cerebrovascular diseases among the study population.

The therapeutic management of cardiovascular problems has to be straight forward even though many barriers pave way to its management for long term[14]. The present study had certain limitations like short period of study and the study did not consider the prescribing pattern at seasonal variations in disease. The plan mooted in this particular study is to perform over a longer period of time with greater number of prescriptions along with improving the scope of prescription pattern among the cardiovascular fraternity in SRM Medical College Hospital and Research Center.

CONCLUSION

The percentage of drugs prescribed with fixed dose combinations and injection form was low. This is surely a welcome sign to be encouraged in prescribing pattern. The percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name was low and polyphramacy was observed which may be considered by physicians for evaluation. Irrational prescribing can be better avoided by strictly following to the treatment guidelines and ideal prescription writing. In order to improve the prescription behavior and skill, awareness about rational use of drugs may be created by conducting many workshops[15] and training programme in pharmacotherapy [16]. Our future plan in this study is to provide clinical pharmacy services by preparing treatment guidelines for various cardiovascular diseases and there by assisting physician for better patient care by minimizing polyphramacy, adverse drug reactions and events, drug interactions etc.

Table 1: Prescribing pattern of cardiovascular drugs (Single dose formulation)

Cardiovascular Drug	Number of prescriptions	Prescribed pattern (%)
Amlodipine	50	23.25
Atenolol	20	9.3
Atorvastatin	107	49.76
Carvedilol	16	7.44
Digoxin	08	3.72
Enalapril	39	18.14
Glyceryl trinitrate	28	13.02
Isosorbide dinitrate	60	27.91
Isosorbide mononitrate	42	19.53
Metoprolol	33	15.35
Nicorandil	04	1.86
Ramipril	32	14.88
Telmisartan	26	12.09
Verapamil	15	6.97

Table 2: Prescribing pattern of cardiovascular drugs (Fixed dose combinations)

| Cardiovascular Drug	Number of prescriptions	Prescribed pattern (%)
Amlodipine + Atenolol	06	2.79
Amlodipine + Linsinopril	06	2.79
Aspirin + Clopidogrel	12	5.58
Atorvastatin + Aspirin	12	5.58
Furosemide + Spironolactone	04	1.86
Torasemide + Spironolactone	04	1.86

Table 3: Therapeutic category of cardiovascular drugs (Single dose formulation).

Cardiovascular Drug	Pharmacological Classification	Therapeutic Category
Amlodipine	Calcium channel blocker	Antihypertensive
Atenolol	Beta blocker	Antihypertensive
Atorvastatin	*HMG CoA reductase inhibitor	Anti lipidaemic
Carvedilol	Beta blocker	Antihypertensive
Digoxin	Cardiac glycoside	Congestive Heart Failure
Enalapril	ΨACE inhibitor	Antihypertensive
Glyceryl trinitrate	Vasodilator	Antianginal and antiarrythmic
Isosorbide dinitrate	Vasodilator	Antianginal and antiarrythmic
Isosorbide mononitrate	Vasodilator	Antianginal and antiarrythmic
Metoprolol	Beta blocker	Antihypertensive
Nicorandil	Vasodilator	Antianginal and antiarrythmic
Ramipril	ACE inhibitor	Antihypertensive
Telmisartan	Angiotensin II antagonist	Antihypertensive
Verapamil	Calcium channel blocker	Antihypertensive

*HMG CoA – Hydroxy -3-methyl glutaryl Co-enzyme A; ΨACE – Angiotensin converting enzyme

Table 4: Therapeutic category of cardiovascular drugs (Fixed Dose Combinations).

Cardiovascular Drug	Pharmacological Classification	Therapeutic Category
Amlodipine + Atenolol	Calcium blocker + beta blocker	Antihypertensive
Amlodipine + Linsinopril	Calcium blocker + ACE inhibitor	Antihypertensive
Aspirin + Clopidogrel	Platelet aggregation inhibitor	Antiplatelet
Atorvastatin + Aspirin	HMG CoA reductase inhibitor + platelet aggregation inhibitor	Antilipidaemic and antiplatelet
Furosemide+Spironolactone	Loop + Potassium sparing Diuretics	Antihypertensive
Torasemide+Spironolactone	Loop + Potassium sparing Diuretics	Antihypertensive

Fig 1: Comparison of single dose formulations in prescribing pattern.

Fig 2: Comparision of fixed dose formulations in prescribing pattern.

REFERENCES

1. Vijayakumar TM, Poovi G, Swaroop VSS. Prescribing patterns of fixed dose combination focus on cardiovascular drugs in outpatient department of private hospitals. J Pharmacol Toxicology 2010; 5:215-221.
2. WHO, Model list of essential medicines 16th list. Available from: http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/essentialmedicines/en/.
3. Mohanty BK, Aswini M, Hasamnis AA. Prescription pattern in the department of medicine of a tertiary care hospital in South India. J Clin Diagnostic Res 2010;4: 2047-2051.
4. Alam K, Mishra P, Prabhu M. A study on rational drug prescribing and dispensing in outpatients in a tertiary care teaching hospital of Western Nepal. Kathmandu University Med J 2006;4: 436-443.
5. Al-Junid SM, Sharifa Ezat WP, Surianti S. Prescribing patterns and drug cost among cardiovascular patients in Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. Med J Malaysia 2007;62: 59-65
6. Louise S, Eskil J, Cecilia SL. Drug prescribing in public primary care centers Results from prescription studies 1988-1997 in Halland, Sweden. Scand J Prim Health Care 2002;20:236-241.
7. Ranjeeta K, Idris MZ, Vidya B, Anish K, Monika A, Shivendra KS. Assessment of prescription pattern at the public health facilities of Lucknow district. Indian J Pharmacol 2008;40: 243-247.
8. Ghosh R, Neogi JN, Srivastava BS, Sen P. Prescribing trends in a teaching hospital in Nepal. J Nepal Med Assoc 2003;42:346-349.
9. Nazima NY, Desi S, Ganguly B. Prescribing pattern in a pediatric outpatient department in Gujarat. Bangladesh J Pharmacol 2009; 4:39-42.
10. Anand H, Jinta J, Gurumurthy P, Grad DC, Madhan R, Shoba G. Prescribing patterns and predictors of high-level polypharmacy in the elderly population: A prospective Surveillance study from two teaching hospitals in India. The Amer J Geriatric Pharmacotherapy 2010;8: 271-280.
11. Jepson G, Butler T, Gregory D, Jones K. Prescribing patterns for asthma by general practitioners in six European countries. Respir Med 2000; 94: 578-583.

12. Sharif SI, Shaqra M, Hajjar H. Shamout L, Wess. Patterns of drug prescribing in a hospital in dubai, United Arab Emirates.Libyan J.Med 2007;3.
13. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushamn WC, Green LA, Izzo JL. The seventh report of joint national committee, on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure: The JNC 7 report, J Am Med Assoc 2003; 289:2560-2575.
14. Salman MT, Akram MF, Rahman S, Khan FA, Haseen MA, Khan SW. Drug prescribing pattern in surgical wards of a teaching hospital in North India. Ind J Prac Doc 2008;5: 1-3.
15. Thomas M, Cherian AM, Mathai D. Measuring the impact of focused workshops on rational drug use. Trop Doct 1997;27:206-210.
16. Vries TP, Henning RH, Hogerzeil HV, Bapna JS, Kafle KK. Impact of a short course in pharmacotherapy for undergraduate medicine students: An interventional raqndomise4d controlled study. Lancet 1995;364:1454-1457.