

International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

0975-1491 Vol 2, Issue 3, 2010

Research Article

ANTIMICROBIAL STUDIES OF SELECTED ANTIBIOTICS AND THEIR COMBINATION WITH ENZYMES

JYOTHI PENTA*, KIRAN KUMAR JANNU, RADHIKA MUSTHYALA

Chaitanya College of Pharmacy Education & Research, Kishanpura, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh- 506 001 India Email: pjyothy81@gmail.com

Received: 29 Jan 2010, Revised and Accepted: 22 Feb 2010

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to determine the MICs (Minimum inhibitory concentrations) of selected nine antibiotics by cup plate method by agar diffusion method and then the combination effect of few antibiotics with enzymes like lysozyme and serratiopeptidase was observed. The combination shows effective against six cultures when compared to antibiotic alone. Lysozyme and Serratiopeptidase were tried along with varying concentrations of gatifloxacin, rifampicin, streptomycin & ampicillin. The results indicated that some combination of serratiopeptidase with specified antibiotics were effective partially on selected cultures.

Key words: Antibiotics, Cup plate method, Lysozyme, Minimum inhibitory concentrations, Serratiopeptidase.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobials are the substances which inhibit the growth or kill the microorganisms. Antibiotics are a class of antimicrobial agents. Antibiotics act by inhibition of cell wall synthesis, inhibition of folate metabolism, binding to ribosomes to prevent translation and interference with nucleic acid synthesis. The testing of antimicrobials is done by MICs. It is defined as the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial that will inhibit the visible growth of a microorganism after over night incubation. Among all the antibiotics only few are very effective because most of the antibiotics show side effects. And some antibiotics show adverse reactions when they are used in high doses. To reduce these side effects combination of drugs were discovered. The combination effect shows the more activity than the single drug. When two drugs combined they give either additive effect or synergistic effect.

Some enzymes present in our body, and they act against bacteria, in infections. The localized delivery of the enzyme along with antibiotic may provide better relief than antibiotic alone. But proteolytic enzymes may reduce bioadhesivity because of their mucolytic action. Most of the enzymes are stable at neutral pH and room temperature. By increasing the temperature readily denatured. These enzymes lack side effects. They are stable up to 60°C. Lysozyme, serratiopeptidase, chitinases, endoglucanases, urease, lipase, etc. are some of the antibacterial enzymes1. In clinical trials, for the efficient combinations, the following antibiotics methicillin, lincomycin, erythromycin, benzylpenicillin, ampiox, morphocycline, gentamicin, tobramycin and tetracycline with lysozyme were studied experimentally by some Russian groups² and these studies proved their high efficacy of combination. In combination therapy, they observed remission in about 81% of the cases against 56.4%with antibiotics alone^{2,3}. The antibiotic ofloxacin was found to be effective with serratiopeptidase1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drugs and Enzymes

Rifampicin (Lykalaboratories, Ahmedabad), Linezolid (Matrixdrugs, Hyderabad), Clarithromycin (Alembic ltd, Vadodara), Streptomycin (Sarabhai chemicals, Baroda), Gatifloxacin, Sparfloxacin (Dr. Reddy's, Hyderabad), Cloxacillin (Ranboxy, Delhi), Amoxacillin, Ampicillin, Serratiopeptidase (Surya pharmaceutical ltd, Chandigarh), Lysozyme (Fluka, Germany)

Micro organisms

Bacillus subtilis (MTCC - 441), Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC-96), Escherichia coli (MTCC-722), Proteus vulgaris (MTCC-426), Klebsiella

Pneumonia (MTCC-109) [IMTECH, Chandigarh] Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC-43300) [CMC, Vellore].

Determination of antimicrobial activity of antibiotics

Nine antibiotics were tested against six different bacterial cultures for the minimum inhibitory concentrations determination. The antibiotic solutions were prepared with solvents and prepared serial dilutions. Finally, plates with different concentrations of various antibiotics were prepared. The overnight cultures were streaked on to the plates and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. The growth or no growth was found.

Determination of effect of combination of antibiotics and enzymes

Gatifloxacin was found to be more active as compared to other antibiotics on all the cultures. Gatifloxacin (concentrations below MIC) and Lysozyme (below MIC) were taken directly in to LB agar medium. All the six cultures were streaked on the plates and then incubated at 37°C for overnight. Growth or no growth was observed.

Another enzyme, serratiopeptidase was also tried along with varying concentrations of gatifloxacin, rifampicin, streptomycin and ampicillin (below MIC). All the combinations were taken directly into LB agar medium. All the six cultures were streaked on the plates and then incubated at 37° C for 18 hrs. Growth or no growth was observed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nine antibiotics were tested against six different bacterial cultures for the MICs determination. The antibiotic solutions were prepared with solvents and prepared serial dilutions. Finally, plates with different concentrations of various antibiotics were prepared. The overnight cultures were streaked on to the plates and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. The growth or no growth was found. Among nine antibiotics, gatifloxacin shows more activity against six cultures followed by sparfloxacin. The rank order was gatifloxacin sparfloxacin rifampicin > clarithromycin > linezolid > streptomycin > ampicillin > cloxacillin > amoxicillin.

The study of different combinational effect of lysozyme and gatifloxacin shows less effect. But the different combinational effect of serratiopeptidase with gatifloxacin, rifampicin, streptomycin and ampicillin shows the synergistic effect. Ampicillin and serratiopeptidase combination was effective on all other combinations.

43 Int J Pharmacy Pharm Sci

Table 1: Comparison of minimum inhibitory concentrations $(\mu g/ml)$ of selected antibiotics against various cultures

| S.No. | Antibiotic | B.S | S.A | E.C | P.V | K.P | MRSA |
|-------|----------------|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| 1 | Gatifloxacin | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| 2 | Sparfloxacin | 3 | 0.5 | 7 | 7 | 0.05 | 7 |
| 3 | Rifampicin | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 12 | 22 |
| 4 | Clarithromycin | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 130 | 50 | 130 |
| 5 | Linezolid | 25 | 25 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 25 | 25 |
| 6 | Streptomycin | 27 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 27 |
| 7 | Ampicillin | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 8 | Cloxacillin | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 9 | Amoxacillin | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

B.S- Bacillus subtilis , S.A- Staphylococcus aureus, E.C- Escherichia coli, P.V- Proteus vulgari, K.P- Klebsiella pneumonia, MRSA- Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Table 2: Combinational effect of antibiotics and enzymes

| C | C | C | Y G |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Combinations | Conc. of antibiotic | Conc. of enzyme | Inference |
| G & L | 0.01- | 1 - 5 | ineffective |
| (I,II.III,IV) | $0.03 \mu g/ml$ | mg/ml | |
| G & S (I,II,III) | 0.03- | 10- | slight influence on |
| | $0.05 \mu g/ml$ | 100μg/ml | inhibition |
| R & S (I,II,III) | 0.2- | 10- | combn II inhibited |
| | $0.3 \mu g/ml$ | 100μg/ml | the growth of |
| | | | S.aureus &combn III |
| | | | inhibited the growth |
| | | | of S.aureus & |
| | | | K.pneumonia |
| Str & S (I,II,III) | 22- | 10- | combn II inhibited |
| | 25μg/ml | 100μg/ml | the growth of |
| | | | S.aureus & |
| | | | <i>K.pneumonia</i> and |
| | | | combn III inhibited |
| | | | the growth of |
| | _ | | S.aureus |
| A & S (I,III,III) | 5- | 10- | combn I & II |
| | 8μg/ml | 100μg/ml | inhibited growth of |
| | | | S.aureus & |
| | | | K.pneumonia and |
| | | | combn III inhibited |
| | | | the growth of all the |
| | | | 6 cultures |

 ${\sf G}$ – gatifloxacin, L – lysozyme, S – serratiopeptidase, R – rifampicin, Str – streptomycin, $\,$ A - ampicillin

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the University College of Pharmaceutical Sciences and the management of Chaitanya College Of Pharmacy Education & Research for providing necessary facilities to carry out the present research work

REFERENCES

- 1. www.enzymeindia.com/enzymes/serratiopeptidase.asp.
- Bukharin, O.V., Vsviatsov, Bla, Zheltova, V.I., Bodyreva, L. Experimental study of the antimicrobial effect of lysozyme in combination with antibiotics. Antibiotiki 1978; 23(11): 997-1002
- Bukharin, O.V., Zykova, L.S., Torasenko, N.F. Experimental and clinical study of the use of lysozyme in combination with chemotherapeutic agents. Antibiot Med Biotekhnol 1986; 31(12): 917-922.
- Daniel J. Monticello. Control of microbial growth with lantibiotic/lysozyme formulations; 1995.
- Gabriella cisani, Pietro E. Varaldo, Grazi, and Ornella soro. High-level potentiation of Lysostaphin Anti-staphylococal Activity by lysozyme; 1982; 21(4): 531-535.
- H.R.N. Salgado, C.C.G.O. Lopes, M.B.B. Lucchesi. Microbiological assay for gatifloxacin in pharmaceutical formulations. Journal

- of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis 2006; (40): 443-446.
- Ito, H.O., Hirata, M., Koga, T. Hen egg white lysozyme inhibits biological activities of lipopolysaccharides from periodontopathic bacteria.
- Lee-Huang, P.L., Sun Y., Kung HF., Blithe DL., Chen HC. Lysozyme and RNAs as anti-HIV components in beta core preparations of human chorionic gonadotropin.
- 9. Lysozyme I.U.B., 3.2.1.17.
- Naranjo, P., and J.C. De Moreno. Combined action of lysozyme with antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents. REV. E CUATOR. MED. CIENC. BIOL 1963; 1(3) 134-41.
- Prescott, Harley, Klein. Microbiology, 4th ed. pp: 56, 57, 364, 575, 576, 594, 595, 601, 910, A10.
- 12. www.greatvistachemicals.com (lysozyme)

44 Int J Pharmacy Pharm Sci