

Research Article

EFFECTS OF *CENTELLAASIATICA* L., *CURCUMA LONGA* L., AND *STROBILANTHESCRISPUS* L. EXTRACTS ON 3 KIDNEY CELL LINES: *IN VITRO* CYTOTOXICITY ANALYSIS

H. HANISA, M. L. MOHDAZMI, M. SUHAILA, M. N. HAKIM*

Institute of Bioscience, University Putra Malaysia, Rice and Industrial Crops Research Centre, Malaysia Agriculture Research and Development Institute, Malaysia, Department of Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Medicine and Science Health, Institute of Halal Product Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia, UPM, 43400, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia. Email: nazrul.hakim@gmail.com or nazrulh@upm.edu.my

Received: 26 Nov 2013, Revised and Accepted: 02 Feb 2014

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was carried out to evaluate the *in vitro* cytotoxicity to three cell kidney lines by using the 3-(4, 5-d imethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reduction assay of three popular medicinal plants used in Malaysia.

Methods: Methanol and aqueous extracts of *Centellaasiatica* L., *Strobilanthescrispus* L. and *Curcuma longa* L. were tested at the non-toxic limit concentration at 50 (NTLC₅₀) ranging from 50 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml depending on the cell lines used, i.e. African Green Monkey Kidney (Vero), Baby hamster Kidney (BHK) and Rabbit Kidney (RK) cells.

Results: *Centellaasiatica* L. was the least toxic to the all cell lines tested followed by *Strobilanthes crispus* L. and *Curcuma longa* L. Methanol plant extracts inhibited cell growth but not to the aqueous plant extracts. Meanwhile, BHK cells were found to be the most resistant to the plant extracts.

Conclusion: This study proves the safety of these plant extracts for future scientific studies in its biomedical properties.

Keywords: *Centella asiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. and *Strobilanthes crispus* L., cytotoxicity

INTRODUCTION

The medicinal values of herbs were normally based on tradition and accidental discovery. These practices are potentially toxic or harmful [1,2]. Indeed, it is difficult to measure these systemic effects *in vivo*. Cytotoxicity testing is important for the sole purpose of determining the potential toxicity of the compounds being studied. Cytotoxicity is cell damage but the definition somehow is varying depending on the nature of the study whether cells are killed or their metabolism altered. It is a complex event *in vivo* and could be direct cellular damage, physiological effects, systemic effects, inflammatory effects and other systemic effects [3]. Cytotoxic agents unselectively kill and damage both normal and cancerous cells by interfering with either, the cellular process or mechanical process. The unspecific action of cytotoxic agents constitutes a major drawback in any therapy especially cancer chemotherapy [4]. is different with anticancer agents which are designated to kill the cancerous cells where cytotoxicity is crucial to the action [3]. Currently, the non-radioactive, calorimetric assay system using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay (MTT) has been widely used for evaluating cell viability *in vitro*. The assay measures the conversion of MTT into purple-colored MTT formazan by living cells and decrease in cellular MTT reduction could be an index of cell damage [5]. There were numbers of studies dealing with cytotoxicity screening of plant extracts [6-8] but mostly tested in cancerous cells rather than in normal cell cultures. Cytotoxicity studies with normal culture systems (tissue culture) of local plant extracts or folk medicinal plant extracts has not been studied extensively and this is vital for the safety evaluation or any herbal preparations [9]. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the potential cytotoxicity of methanol and aqueous extracts of *Centella asiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. and *Strobilanthes crispus* L. in normal kidney animal cell lines (Vero, BHK and RK cells). *Centellaasiatica* L. (Umbelliferae) is a small herbaceous plant that originally found in swampy areas of India and Tropical Island of the Indian Ocean [10,11]. It is commonly consumed fresh as vegetable (salad) among Malay communities [12], as cooling drink by Chinese and brain tonic by the Indian people [13]. In Malaysia, the plant is commonly known as 'pegaga'. *Strobilanthes crispus* L. Bremek (Acanthaceae) is native to countries from Madagascar to Indonesia [10]. In Malaysia, the plant is commonly known as 'daun picah beling' whereas in Java it is called

by 'enyohkelo', 'kecibeling' or 'kejibeling' [9, 14]. *Curcuma longa* L. (Zingiberaceae) has long been considered an essential flavoring spice of Indian and other ethnic cuisines. Plants of the *Curcuma* genus, especially *Curcuma longa* are tropical plants, which is originated in Asia (probably India) and it is cultivated extensively throughout the warmer parts of the world primarily in Bengal, China, Taiwan, Sri Lanka, Java, Peru and Australia [10, 12]. All these three plant species have been reported to possess lots of medicinal properties. Some of their medicinal properties are presented in Table 1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collection

The rhizomes of *Curcuma longa* L. was obtained from Sungai Buloh, Selangor, West Malaysia while the two other herbs; the leaves of *Centellaasiatica* L. and *Strobilanthescrispus* L. were obtained from a farm in Batu Pahat, Johore, West Malaysia. The botanical identification of collected plants was done and voucher specimens are conserved at the Phytomedicinal Herbarium, Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Preparation of plant extracts

The samples were washed thoroughly before air-dried for 24 hrs. Then, they were cut into slices and ground into powder. The aqueous extracts of *Curcuma longa* L. rhizomes, *Centellaasiatica* L. leaves and *Strobilanthescrispus* L. leaves were prepared as described by Zakaria et al. [17] and Adam et al. [18]. The samples also underwent methanol extraction as described as Salleh et al. [19] and Zakaria et al., [20].

Cell cultures and incubations

The cell lines used in the study were Vero cells (ATCC No. CCL-81), BHK cells (ATCC No. CRL-10314) and RK cells (ATCC No. CCL-106). These established cell lines were grown in 25 cm² sterile disposable polystyrene cell culture flask (TPP). The Vero, BHK and RK cells were cultivated using RPMI medium (preparation 1640 (GIBCO BRL®)) (Powder with L-Glutamine without Sodium Bicarbonate) and EMEM (Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium) preparation with Earle's Salts with L-Glutamine (Flowlab), respectively, supplemented with 4% (v/v) Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) and 1% (v/v) antibiotic-antimycotic for

growth medium and 1% (v/v) FCS and 1% (v/v) antibiotic-antimycotic solution for maintenance medium. Briefly, the Vero, BHK and RK cells were seeded at 8×10^3 cells per well in 96 well

flat-bottomed microtitre plates (TPP) each triplicate. The plates were then incubated in humidified atmosphere (37°C , 5% CO_2) for 2-3 days for the cells to confluent.

Table 1: Some of the medicinal uses of *Centella asiatica* L., *Strobilanthes crispus* L. and *Curcuma longa* L.

Plant	Usage
<i>Centella asiatica</i> L.	Scabies, skin ulcers, diarrhea, red eyes, jaundice, tonsillitis, measles, respiratory problems, peptic ulcer, cataract, leprosy, cholera, fever, dysentery, headache and syphilis.
<i>Strobilanthes crispus</i> L.	Diuretic, antidiabetic, antilytic, antihepatic, treat food poisoning and snake bite, lowering blood cholesterol level, anticancer, anti eczema, anti haemorrhoids, anti constipation, laxative and antibacterial.
<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.	Bruise, catarrh, dysentery, gonorrhoea, smallpox, cholagogue, colic, depurative, dermatosis, conjunctivitis, antioxidant, scabies, jaundice, anti-inflammatory, antiviral and anticancer.

Adapted from [14, 15, 16]

A serial of twofold dilution of the plant extract and a serial of twofold dilution of standard (Cycloheximide), concentrations ranging from 3.13 to 200 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ were prepared in maintenance medium (RPMI medium for Vero cells and EMEM for BHK and RK cells). All preparations were filter sterilized by membrane filter 0.45 μm (Schleicher & Schuell, Germany).

The final concentration of methanol in the cytotoxicity assay did not exceed 0.5% v/v, at which no cytotoxic effect was observed [21]. The plates were returned to incubator in humidified atmosphere (37°C , 5% CO_2) for 3 days.

Estimation of cell viability

The tetrazolium salt, MTT 0.5 mg/ml (Sigma), was diluted in PBS pH 7.2 at room temperature, avoiding from light and filter sterilized. It was added to each of wells (96 wells per plate) at 20 μl (10% of cell volume) and the plate was wrapped in aluminum foil.

The plates then were incubated for 3 hrs in humidified atmosphere (37°C , 5% CO_2). The old medium and MTT were discarded from the wells after 3 hrs of incubation and 200 μl of Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) was added to all of the wells to dissolve the MTT-formazan crystals [22].

The absorbance was recorded immediately at 550 nm and reference wavelength at 650 nm by using ELISA machine [21].

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation of triplicate experiments. Statistical significance was determined by analysis of variance using SPSS 19.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The non-cytotoxicity was expressed as the 50% non-toxic limit concentration (NTLC_{50}), which is the concentration of plant extract to sustain the growth of cells up to 50%. The NTLC_{50} of the three plants were ranging from 50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and 200 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ depending on the cell type used. The mean percent (%) cell viability of three different plants, which were *Centella asiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. and *Strobilanthes crispus* L. was significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$). *Centella asiatica* L. has showed better mean percent (%) cell viability ($84.86 \pm 32.99\%$) than the mean percent cell viability of *Strobilanthes crispus* L. ($83.98 \pm 21.08\%$) and *Curcuma longa* L. ($73.17 \pm 19.19\%$) (Table 2). Besides, two different ways of extraction, which were methanol extraction and aqueous extraction, did have significant effect on the mean percent (%) cell viability ($76.66 \pm 29.26\%$ vs. $84.68 \pm 20.78\%$, respectively) (Table 3). The sensitivity of various types of cell also tested and has found that they were significantly different with the mean percent (%) cell viability of BHK cells was $87.66 \pm 26.93\%$ better than the mean percent (%) Vero cell viability ($82.85 \pm 26.83\%$) and RK cell viability ($71.50 \pm 20.06\%$) (Table 4).

Table 2: Mean of percent (%) cell viability for plants

Plants	Percent (%) cell viability
<i>Centella asiatica</i> L.	84.86 ± 32.99^a
<i>Strobilanthes crispus</i> L.	83.98 ± 21.08^a
<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.	73.17 ± 19.19^b

Values are mean \pm S.D. (%) of triplicate experiments regardless of plant extract concentration, methods of plant extraction and cell

types used. Mean with the same superscript (a, b and c) are not significant at $P = 0.05$.

Table 3: Mean of percent (%) cell viability for different methods of plant extraction

Methods of extraction	Percent (%) cell viability
Methanol extract	76.66 ± 29.26^a
Aqueous extract	84.68 ± 20.78^b

Values are mean \pm S.D. (%) of triplicate experiments regardless of plant extract concentration, plant species and cell types used.

Mean with the same superscript (a, b and c) are not significant at $P = 0.05$

Table 4: Mean of percent (%) cell viability for cells

Cells	Percent (%) cell viability
BHK	87.66 ± 26.93^a
Vero	82.85 ± 26.83^b
RK	71.50 ± 20.06^c

Values are mean \pm S.D. (%) of triplicate experiments regardless of plant extract concentration, methods of extraction and plant species. Mean with the same superscript (a, b and c) are not significant at $P = 0.05$.

Non-cytotoxicity level of plant extracts and sensitivity of cells

Centella asiatica L. leaf Extracts

The methanol leaf extraction of *Centella asiatica* L. was found to have NTLC₅₀ on percent (%) Vero, BHK and RK cells viability ranging from 100-200 µg/ml, 200µg/ml and 100µg/ml, respectively. When compared with aqueous leaf extraction, it showed that the NTLC₅₀ on percent (%) cell viability for three cells was much higher than methanol leaf extraction (at concentration 200 µg/ml and above).

Curcuma longa L. rhizome Extracts

All the methanol extract of *Curcuma longa* L. rhizome showed the same NTLC₅₀ to Vero, BHK and RK cells, which was 100µg/ml. The BHK cells showed greater mean percent (%) cell viability (107.49±28.34%) when tested at the lowest concentration of ME, compared to Vero (100.24±5.95%) and RK (85.80±2.02%). The cytotoxicity effect was dose dependent, which higher concentration of ME decreased cell viability.

Similar trend was showed in the AE of *Curcuma longa* L. rhizome where the cytotoxicity effect was dose dependent, with BHK has the highest mean percent (%) viability but the NTLC₅₀ to Vero, BHK and RK cells was dissimilar to ME, which was 200 µg/ml, 50 µg/ml, and 200 µg/ml, respectively.

Strobilanthes crispus L.

All methanol *Strobilanthes crispus* L. leaf extracts showed NTLC₅₀ to RK, BHK and Vero cells above 200 µg/ml with significant different of mean percent (%) cell viability (130.34 ± 9.22% vs. 111.90 ± 27.76% vs. 65.57 ± 2.69%), respectively. Likewise, the AE of *Strobilanthes crispus* L. also showed NTLC₅₀ to cells above 200 µg/ml but with dissimilar type of cells, which BHK cellswere more resistant than RK and Vero cells (102.63 ± 9.55% vs. 53.71 ± 0.44% vs. 52.33 ± 5.04%), respectively (Table 5).

Cycloheximide (Control group)

Cycloheximide (C₁₅H₂₃NO₄) treatment was used as positive control of the cells. As a potent protein synthesis inhibitor, at concentration of 5mg/ml, it caused 30% apoptosis to neutrophils, lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear cells in the lamina propria of the small intestine at 4-6 hr of incubation period. However, at 50 µg/ml, Cycloheximide, did not cause significant toxicity to cell lines[23].

From the result, Cycloheximide was not significantly toxic to cell cultures within concentrations of 3.13 to 200 µg/ml. The lowest mean percent cell viability to Cycloheximide was 48.99 ± 0.76 at the highest concentration, (200 µg/ml in RK cells). The BHK and Vero cells have showed to be less sensitive to induction of apoptosis and have greater NTLC₅₀ compared to RK cells

Table 5: NTLC₅₀ of methanol and aqueous extract of *Centella asiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. rhizome and *Strobilanthes crispus* L. in BHK, Vero and RK cells.

Plants	Methanol Extract (µg/ml)	Aqueous Extract (µg/ml)
<i>Centella asiatica</i> L.		
BHK	> 200	> 200
VERO	100-200	> 200
RK	100	> 200
<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.		
BHK	100	50
VERO	100	200
RK	100	200
<i>Strobilanthes crispus</i> L.		
BHK	> 200	> 200
VERO	> 200	> 200
RK	> 200	> 200

CONCLUSION

Methanol extracts (ME) were, as expected generally more cytotoxic than aqueous extracts (AE), which showed that methanolic plant extracts of *Centella asiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. and *Strobilanthes crispus* L. have the NTLC₅₀ lower (76 µg/ml) than aqueous plant extracts (85 µg/ml). The results are consistent with Serkedjieva and Ivancheva, [6]; Choi and Hwang, [21]; Bezivin et al., [24]; Rajbhandari et al., [25] and Tan et al., [26], by which the methanolic plant extracts inhibited cell growth, even at a lower concentration, which was less than 20 µg/ml. In addition, these findings were inclined with studies by Barrio et al., [8]; Chiang et al., [15]; Kott et al., [27] and Wattanapitayakul et al., [28], by which AE of Argentine medicinal plants, *Phyllanthus orbicularis*, *Plantago major* L., and *Curcuma longa* L., respectively showed no cytotoxic effect beyond 400 µg/ml in normal and tumour cell line. The weak cytotoxic activities exhibited by the plants AE may indicate that the compounds present are non-toxic.

The cytotoxicity studies also showed that the extracts impaired the cell viability with respect to the corresponding untreated cultures (P < 0.05) [16, 29]. In contrast, the results were not inclined with the findings by Semple et al., [30] and Kuo et al., [31], by which ethanolic plant extracts of Australian medicinal plants and *Psychotriaserpens*, respectively showed no effect on cell viability up to 200 µg/ml. These discrepancies may not be due the extraction solvents, as the final concentration of the methanol has been standardized to not exceed 0.5% v/v. It is likely that the plant compounds itself, toxic to cells and affected cell viability. Cytotoxicity may be expressed by vinca alkaloids (plant derivatives), which are capable of specifically

affecting the microtubule function and thus impeding the formation of mitotic spindle [32].

In the present study, the ME of *Centella asiatica* L. showed not to cause cytotoxicity at NTLC₅₀ up to 150 µg/ml. In contrast, Babu et al., [33] reported that the ME of *Centella asiatica* L. caused 50% cell death to Dalton's lymphoma ascites tumour cells (DLA) and Ehrlich ascites tumour cells (EAC) at 75µg/ml and 62µg/ml, respectively. This is due to the presence of highly complex of saponins and glycosides that occurred in genus *Centella*, which mostly were toxic [10, 26]. In addition, cancerous cells were more fragile and easily affected rather than normal cell lines [26, 33]. However, studies by Calderon et al., [34] indicated that several methanol Panamanian plant extracts did not possess cytotoxicity effect in cancerous cells at concentration more than 100 µg/ml. By the way, there was no report ME of *Centella asiatica* L. had been tested in normal cell line. Additionally, cytotoxicity assay using Brine shrimp lethality test [35] showed that the ethanol extract of *Centella asiatica* L. did not exert significant cytotoxic activity, though at 1000 µg/ml.

Likewise, the ME of *Strobilanthes crispus* L. did not induce cell toxicity at the highest concentration (200 µg/ml). This result will serve as foundation for upcoming tests regarding the toxicity of cells. In addition, with ascending concentration of the plants ME, the cell viability was increased rather than the plants AE. These findings suggested that the plants ME supported and promoted cell growth. Similar trend was found in the ME of *Curcuma longa* L. rhizome. It did not cause significant toxic effects on cell lines.

The results are consistent with Kuo et al., [31];Jiang et al., [36]and Chun et al., [37] which found that Curcumin, a yellow coloring agent from *Curcuma longa* L. rhizome, induces apoptosis in various types of human tumour cell lines, but the compound was inactive for the normal cells in the primary culture.

In addition, Samaha et al., [4]has reported that Curcumin is not toxic to mammals at very high doses (5-10% by weight of diet). However, ethanol extract of *Curcuma longa* L. has reduces cell number at concentration as low as 15 µg/ml in human cervical carcinoma cell line (HeLa cells)[28]. In addition, with ascending concentration of ME, it decreased the mean percent (%) cell viability.

Besides, among three types of cell tested for plant cytotoxicity, the BHK cells were found to be the most resistant cells compared to Vero cells and RK cells. However, the result was not consistent with the results reported by Abad et al., [16]; Kott et al., [27]; and Kuo et al., [31],by which the Vero cells were harder and could sustain the cell growth at plant extracts concentration up to 300 µg/ml. However, the result are consistent with Sekedjjeva and Ivancheva[6];Rajbhandari et al., [25] and Semple et al., [30]by which the BHK cells showed to be resistance to the plant extracts than the other two types of cells.

These differences could be due to the different cell cultures of different animal species were used in the cytotoxicity assay, some variation in the way plant compounds acts in the different cell types [38].

The NTLC₅₀ of ME and AE, were crucial for future *in vitro* antiviral or anti-microbial tests as the compounds for the agents must be non toxic to the host cells as the toxic compounds were thereby defeating the selective purpose of the compounds.

As there has been extensive documentation on poor selective toxicity and fast selection of resistant viral variants with the existing drugs such as zidovudine (AZT), dideoxyinosine (ddI) and acyclovir (ACV) [27] the low cytotoxicity of *Centella asiatica* L., *Strobilanthes crispus* L.

And *Curcuma longa* L. reaffirms the suitability of these plant for exploitation as antiviral or antimicrobial agents with selective toxicity, as judged by the criterion set by the National Cancer Institute, which stated that the extracts with NTLC₅₀ less than 20 µg/ml were considered to be cytotoxic against the treated cells [26, 39].

Therefore, the screening of the plant extracts toxicity was significant towards more biomedical research. All the medicinal plants tested showed did not cause significant toxic effect in normal cell lines.Thus, merit future biomedical investigations on their numerous biomedical effects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was supported by IRPA Grant, and thank to The Ministry of Science Technology and Innovations, Malaysia and Universiti Putra Malaysia for PASCA scholarship.

REFERENCES

1. Thomas S.C. ,Medicinal plants, culture,utilization and phytopharmacology (1st ed.).Technomic Pub, Pennsylvania, 2002. pp. 12-265.
2. Somchit MN, Reezal I, Nur IE, Mutalib AR. In vitro antimicrobial activity of ethanol and water extracts of *Cassia alata*. J Ethnopharmacol 2003; 84(1):1-4.
3. Freshney RI, Culture of Animal Cell: A Manual of Basic Technique (4thed.). John Wiley and Sons Inc.New York, 2000.pp. 329-339.
4. Samaha HS, Kelloff GJ, Steele V, Rao CV, Reddy BS. Modulation of apoptosis by sulindac, curcumin, phenylethyl-3-methylcaffeate and 6-phenylhexyl isothiocyanate: apoptotic index as a biomarker in colon cancer chemoprevention and promotion. Cancer Research 1997.57, 1301-1305.
5. Abe K,Matsuki N. Measurement of cellular 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol -2-y-l)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT). Neuroscience Research 2000.38, 325-329.
6. Serkedjjeva J, Ivancheva S.Antiherpes virus activity of extracts from the medicinal plant *Geranium sanguineum* L. J Ethnopharmacol1999.64,59-68.
7. Armaka M, Papanikolaou E, Sivropaolou A, Arsenakis M. Antiviral properties of isoborneol, a potent inhibitor of herpes simplex virus type I. Antiviral Research 1999.43: 79-92.
8. Barrio GD, Parra F. Evaluation of the antiviral activity of an aqueous extract from *Phyllanthus orbicularis*.J Ethnopharmacol2000.72, 317-322.
9. Hanisa H, MohdAzmi ML, Suhaila M, Somchit MN. *In vitro* antiviral activity of *Centellaasiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. and *Strobilanthescrispus* L. against herpes virus.International Journal of Pharm and Bio Sciences.2014; 5(1); B42-52.
10. Hanisa H, MohdAzmi ML, Suhaila M, Somchit MN. Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry-electrospray ionisation analysis of *Centellaasiatica* L., *Curcuma longa* L. and *Strobilanthescrispus* L. methanol extracts. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research 2012; 6(22), 3908-3918.
11. Inamdar PK, Yeole RD, Ghogare AB, de Souza NJ.Determination of biologically active constituents in *Centellaasiatica*. J Chromatography (A) 1996.742, 127-130.
12. Goh SH, ChuahMok JSL,Soepadmo E. Malaysian medicinal plants for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases (1sted.). Pelanduk Publication Sdn. Bhd., Petaling Jaya.1995. 77-89 pp.
13. Norlia MT, Genetic variation of *Centellaasiatica* – Based on Randomly amplified Polymorphic DNA. Master Thesis. University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia, 1999. pp. 7-13.
14. Brummit RK, Powell CE, Authors of Plant Names (1sted.). Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, England, 1992.p. 89.
15. Chiang LC, Chiang W, Chang MY, Ng LT, Lin CC. Antiviral activity of *Plantago major* extracts and related compounds *in vitro*. Antiviral Research 2002.55, 53-62.
16. Abad MJ, Bermejo P, Gonzales E, Iglesias I, Irurzun A, Carrasco L. Antiviral activity of Bolivian plant extracts. General Pharmacology 1999.32, 499-503.
17. Zakaria ZA, Safarul M, Valsala R, Sulaiman MR, Fatimah CA, Somchit MN, Mat Jais AM. The influences of temperature and naloxone on the antinociceptive activity of *Corchorusolitorius* L. in mice.Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology 2005; 372 (1),55-62.
18. Adam Y, Somchit MN, Sulaiman MR, Nasaruddin AA, Zuraini A, Bustamam AA, Zakaria ZA. Diuretic properties of *Orthosiphonstamineus*Benth. J Ethnopharmacol 2009; 124 (1), 154-158.
19. Salleh MN, Runnie I, Roach PD, Mohamed S, Abeywardena MY, Inhibition of low density lipoprotein oxidation and up-regulation of low density lipoprotein receptor in Hep G2 cells by tropical plant extracts. J Agricultural Food Chem2002.50,3693-3697.
20. Zakaria ZA, Mat JaisAM, Goh YM, Sulaiman MR, Somchit MN. Amino acid and fatty acid composition of an aqueous extract of *Channastriatius* (Haruan) that exhibits antinociceptive activity. Clinical ExpPharmacolPhysiol 2007;34(3): 198-204.
21. Choi E-M, Hwang J-K. Effects of methanolic extract and fractions from *Litseaecubeba* bark on the production of inflammatory mediators in RAW 264.7 cells. Fitoterapia, 2004.141-148.
22. Somchit N, Hassim SM, Samsudin SH. Itraconazole- and fluconazole-induced toxicity in rat hepatocytes: A comparative *in vitro* study. Human and Experimental Toxicology 2002; 21 (1), 43-48.
23. Nagami K, Kawashima Y, Kuno H.In vitro cytotoxicity assay to screen compounds for apoptosis-inducing potential on lymphocytes and neutrophils. J Toxicological Sci2002.27 (3), 191-203.
24. Bezivin C, Tomasi S, Devehat LFF, Boustie J. Cytotoxic activity of some lichen extracts on murine and human cancer cell lines. Phytomedicine1999.10 (6), 499-503.
25. Rajbhandari M, Wegner U, Julich M, Schopke T, Mentel R.Screening of Nepalese medicinal plants for antiviral activity. J Ethnopharmacol2001.74,251-255.
26. Tan ML, Sulaiman SF, Najimuddin N, Samian MR, Tengku Muhammad TS.Methanolic extract of *Pereskiableo* (Kunth) DC.

- (Cactaceae) induced apoptosis in breast carcinoma, 747-D cell line. J Ethnopharmacol 2005. 96, 287-294.
27. Kott V, Barbini L, Cruanes M, Munoz J de D, Vivot E, Cruanes J, Martino V, Ferraro GM, Cavallario L, Campos R. Antiviral activity in Argentine medicinal plants. J Ethnopharmacol 1999. 64, 79-84.
 28. Wattanapitayakul SK, Chularojmontri L, Herunsalee A, Charuchongkolwongse S, Niumsakul S, Bauer JA, Screening of Antioxidant from Medicinal Plants for Cardioprotective Effect against Doxorubicin Toxicity. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology 2005. 96, 80-87.
 29. Tshikalange TE, Meyer JJM, Hussein AA, Antimicrobial activity, toxicity and the isolation of bioactive compounds from plants used to treat sexually transmitted disease. J Ethnopharmacol 2005. 96, 515-519.
 30. Semple SJ, Reynolds GD, O'Leary GD, Flower RLP, Screening of Australian medicinal plants for antiviral activity. J Ethnopharmacol 1998. 60, 163-172.
 31. Kuo YC, Chen CC, Tsai WJ, Ho YH, Regulation of herpes simplex virus type I replication in Vero cells by *Psychotriaserpens*: relationship to gene expression, DNA replication and protein synthesis. Antiviral Research 2001. 51, 95-109.
 32. Cassidy JM. Natural products as a source of potential cancer chemotherapeutic and chemopreventive agents. J Natural Product 1990. 53 (1), 23-41.
 33. Babu TD, Kuttan G, Padikkala J. Cytotoxic and antitumor properties of certain taxa of Umbelliferae with special reference to *Centella asiatica* (L) Urb. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1995. 48(1):53-7.
 34. Calderon AI, Terraux C, Gupta MP, Hostettmann K. In vitro cytotoxicity of 11 Panamanian Plants. Fitoterapia 2003. 74, 378-383.
 35. Padmaja R, Arun PC, Prashanth D, Deepak M, Amit A, Anjana M. Brine shrimp lethality bioassay of selected medicinal plants. Fitoterapia 2002. 73, 508-510.
 36. Jiang MC, Lin JK, Chen SS. Inhibition of HIV-1 Tat-Mediated Transactivation by Quinacrine and Chloroquine. Biochemistry Biophysics Research Communication 1995. 226, 1-7.
 37. Chun KS, Sohn Y, Kim HS, Kim OH, Park KK, Lee JM, Lee J, Lee JY, Moon A, Lee SS, Surh YJ. Anti-tumor promoting potential of naturally occurring diarylheptanoids structurally related to curcumin. Mutation Research 1999. 428, 49-57.
 38. Hudson JB, Antiviral Compounds from Plants (1st ed.). CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1990. p. 119.
 39. Sulaiman MR, Zakaria ZA, Bujarimin AS, Somchit MN, Israf DA, Moin S. Evaluation of moringa oleifera aqueous extract for antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities in animal models. Pharmaceutical Biology 2008, 46(12), 838-845.