

EXTENDED SPECTRUM BETA-LACTAMASES IN UROPATHOGEN

CHAUDHARY NAVIN KUMAR¹, MURTHY S MAHADEVA²¹Research Scholar, ²Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Yuvaraja's College (Autonomous), Mysore, India.

Email: nununavin@yahoo.co.in

Received: 11 May 2013, Revised and Accepted: 4 June 2013

ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary tract is the second most common site of bacterial infections in humans. Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) that possess extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) genes have proven to be a concern to the medical community because of their high resistance rates to 3rd generation cephalosporins. ESBLs production has been associated with higher morbidity and mortality rates and has been reported in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. ESBLs are emerging worldwide, making rapid and adequate ESBLs detection crucial for the choice of correct antimicrobial therapy. The aim of the study is to determine the profile of uropathogen, their antibiogram and detection of ESBLs producing strains. Materials and methods: Isolation, identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of organism was done by standard microbiological procedure. For Gram-negative bacilli ESBLs production was detected by DDST as per CLSI guidelines. Results: Three hundred urine specimens were studied. Significant bacteriuria was present in 35% of specimen. The most common pathogens isolated were *Escherichia coli* 52.4%. The resistance pattern of uropathogens was for amikacin (AK) 19.04%, nitrofurantoin (NIT) 40%. We found 55% Gram-negative uropathogen harbored the ESBLs. Majority of ESBLs seen in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 60% and *Escherichia coli* 55%. The ESBLs producing *Escherichia coli* were highly susceptible to Imipenem, 90.90% and Meropenem, 94.45%. Conclusions: *Escherichia coli* are the commonest cause of UTI. Majority of UTI are mono-microbial. Screening of multidrug resistant bacteria especially GNB poses considerable therapeutic challenges in critical care patients because of the production of ESBLs. Amikacin and Nitrofurantoin are the most suitable antibiotics for treatment.

Keywords: UTI, Uropathogen, Antimicrobial Resistance, ESBL

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the most common infection experienced by humans after respiratory and gastro-intestinal infections, and also the most common cause of both community-acquired and nosocomial infections for patients admitted to hospitals. UTI may be defined as a condition in which bacteria are established and multiplying within the urinary tract¹. UTI is the leading cause of morbidity and health care expenditures in persons of all the ages. The long range consequences of ignoring UTI can lead to kidney failure, septicemia, bacterial endocarditis, prostatitis and infertility². Approximately 1 in 3 women will require antimicrobial treatment for a Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) before age 24, and 40% to 50% of women will have a UTI during their lifetime. UTIs in male patients are considered complicated. Worldwide, about 150 million people are diagnosed with UTI each year. Uncomplicated UTIs occur in sexually active healthy female patients with structurally and functionally normal urinary tracts. More than 95% of urinary tract infections are caused by a single bacterial species. *Escherichia coli* is the most frequent infecting organism in acute infection³.

Bacteriological examination of the urine is the major aid to the diagnosis of infection. Culture technique is employed to detect bacteria in urine. Many quantitative and semi-quantitative culturing methods are available. The organisms are identified and their susceptibility to antimicrobial agent is determined⁴. The uropathogen resistant to commonly used antimicrobials has long been recognised. It is also known that overuse and misuse of antimicrobial contributes to the emergence of resistance and its amplification. In Gram-negative pathogens, β -lactamase production remains the most important contributing factor for β -lactam resistance⁵.

β -lactamases are bacterial enzymes that inactivate β -lactam antibiotics by hydrolysis, which results in ineffective compounds. One group of β -lactamases, extended-spectrum β lactamases (ESBLs), have the ability to hydrolyse and cause resistance to various types of the newer β -lactam antibiotics, including the extended-spectrum (or third-generation) cephalosporins (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone and ceftazidime) and monobactams (aztreonam), but not the cephamycins (cefotaxin and cefotetan) and

carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem and ertapenem)⁶. The methods for detection of ESBLs can be done by phenotypic methods that use non-molecular techniques, which detect the ability of the ESBL enzymes to hydrolyse different cephalosporins; and genotypic methods which use molecular techniques to detect the gene responsible for the production of the ESBL⁷. Organisms that produce ESBLs remain an important reason for therapy failure with cephalosporins and have serious consequences for infection control⁸. Resistance to older generations of antimicrobials is high in most areas and resistance to most new antimicrobials has appeared in community acquired uropathogens⁵.

Inadequate data on local resistance patterns can lead to wrong choices of antimicrobial therapy and increase development of resistance. There is very little information on the rate of development of resistance in uropathogens in different areas and the groups of drugs that can be used for interventions. Therefore an attempt to establish a surveillance system for monitoring antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in uropathogens with ESBL production in order to compare it with antimicrobial used in Mysore, India is undertaken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is prospective study conducted in Department of Microbiology, Yuvaraja's College (Autonomous), Mysore, India; from December 2011 to November 2012. Urine specimens obtained from patients attending to K. R. hospital Mysore, clinically diagnosed as UTI and submitted to Microbiology Department for bacteriological culture and sensitivity constitute the subject for study. Informed consent was taken from each subject included in the study. The study was ethically approved by Human Ethical Committee, University of Mysore.

Urine culture of the un-centrifuged urine was done by semi-quantitative method using standard wire loop. Sterilized inoculation loop was dipped in urine pot at 90°, a loopful of urine holding 0.001 ml was taken. It was inoculated on cysteine lactose electrolytes deficient agar (CLED) media, 5% sheep blood agar and MacConkey agar respectively. The inoculated plates were incubated aerobically

in bacteriological incubator set at 37°C for 24-48 h^{9,10}. Identification was done on the basis of colony morphology, grams stain, catalase test, oxidase test and standard biochemical tests that include triple sugar iron agar (TSI) media, Simmons' citrate agar media, sulphide indole motility (SIM) media and Christensens' urease medium¹¹.

Antibiotic sensitivity test (AST)

It was done by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test method on Muller-Hinton agar (MHA) plate and interpreted according to CLSI guidelines, where the following antibiotics (from Himedia, Mumbai, India) were tested: Amikacin (30 µg), Cefotaxime (30 µg), Ceftriaxone (30 µg), Cotrimoxazole (32.7 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), Nitrofurantoin (300 µg), Nalidixic acid (30 µg), Norfloxacin (10 µg) and Gentamicin (10 µg). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 were used as control and tested along with the test strains daily as described for *Enterobacteriaceae*¹². Imipenem (10 µg) and Meropenem (10 µg) disc were used for multiple drug resistance (MDR) ESBL producing isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

Detection of ESBLs producing strains by the double disc synergy test (DDST)

All uropathogens showing resistance to one or more third generation cephalosporins (3GCs) were tested for ESBL production by the double disc synergy test (DDST) using cefotaxime (30µg), cefotaxime/clavulanic acid (30/10 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg) and ceftazidime + clavulanic acid (30/10 µg). A ≥ 5mm increase in

diameter of the inhibition zone of the cephalosporin+clavulanate disc when compared to the cephalosporin disc alone were interpreted as phenotypic evidence of ESBL production. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 was used as positive control and *E. coli* ATCC 25922 as negative control¹³.

RESULTS

Three hundred urine specimens were studied. Significant bacteriuria was present in 35% of specimen (Table 1). Majority (95.24%) of UTI were due to Gram-negative bacilli and remaining (4.76%) Gram-positive cocci. The most common pathogens isolated were *Escherichia coli* (52.4%), followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (14.3%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9.5 %), *Acinetobacter anitratus* (4.76%), *Proteus mirabilis* (4.76%), and *Enterobacter species* (4.76%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (1.9 %), and *Enterococcus faecalis* (2.85 %). The mean Resistance pattern of uropathogens was for amikacin (AK)19.04%, nitrofurantoin (NIT) 40%, cefotaxime (CTX) 45.71%, ceftriaxone (CTR) 49.52%, ceftazidime (CAZ) 48.57%, ciprofloxacin (CIP) 54.28%, cotrimoxazole (COT) 80.95%, nalidixic acid (NA) 20 %, norfloxacin (NIT) 66.67% and gentamicin (GEN) 61.9% (Table 2). We found 55% Gram-negative uropathogen harbored the ESBLs. Majority of ESBLs seen in *Klebsiella* 60% and *Escherichia coli* 54.54% (Table 3). The sensitivity of ESBLs positive isolates of *Escherichia coli* were (90.90%) to Imipenem and 94.45% to Meropenem. Similarly the sensitivity of ESBLs positive isolates of *Klebsiella pneumonia* were to 86.66% to Imipenem and 93.33% to Meropenem.

Table 1: The age and sex distribution of patient of significant bacteriuria and insignificant growth or sterile.

Age in Years	Male					Female				
	Significant bacteriuria		Insignificant growth/sterile		Total No.	Significant bacteriuria		Insignificant growth/sterile		Total No.
	No.	(%)	No.	%		No.	%	No.	%	
0-10	5	33.33	10	66.67	15	6	33.33	12	66.67	18
11-20	4	20.00	16	80.00	20	15	37.50	25	62.50	40
21-30	1	9.10	10	90.90	11	30	54.55	25	45.45	55
31-40	1	10.00	9	90.00	10	15	33.33	30	66.67	45
41-50	1	9.10	10	90.90	11	5	33.33	10	66.67	15
51-60	6	37.50	10	62.50	16	3	33.33	6	66.67	09
61-70	7	41.17	10	58.83	17	3	33.33	6	66.67	09
71-80	-	-	-	-	-	3	33.33	6	66.67	09
Total	25	25	75	75	100	80	40	120	60	200

Table 2: Antimicrobial resistance pattern of isolated uropathogens.

Bacteria	AK %	CTR %	CTX %	CAZ %	CIP %	COT %	NA %	NIT %	NX %	GEN %
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	16.37	50.91	49.1	49.10	58.18	78.81	80	38.82	60	50.91
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	20	53.44	46.7	60	60	80	20	40	60	53.44
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	30	50	50	40	60	90	80	40	70	60
<i>Enterobacter species</i>	20	40	40	40	40	80	80	40	80	40
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	20	60	40	40	40	80	80	40	80	60
<i>Citrobacter species</i>	20	40	40	40	40	80	60	40	80	80
<i>Acinetobacter anitratus</i>	20	60	40	60	40	80	80	40	80	80
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	-	-	-	-	50	-	-	50	-	50
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	66.67	66.67	66.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	19.04	49.52	45.71	48.57	54.28	80.95	80	40	66.67	61.9

Table 3: Prevalance of ESBL Production among Gram-negative Uropathogen

Bacteria	Total No.	ESBL No.	Positive %	ESBL Negative No.	ESBL Negative %
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	55	30	54.54	25	45.46
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	15	9	60	6	40
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	10	5	50	5	50
<i>Enterobacter species</i>	5	3	60	2	40
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	5	2	40	3	60
<i>Citrobacter species</i>	5	3	60	2	40
<i>Acinetobacter anitratus</i>	5	3	60	2	40
Total	100	55	55	45	45

DISCUSSION

In the present study, significant bacteriuria is seen in 35% of case, which is lower than 38%¹⁴. Members of the Enterobacteriaceae are the most common organisms isolated from uncomplicated UTIs¹⁵. Majority 95.24% of UTI was due to Gram-negative bacilli (GNB) and remaining 4.76% by Gram-positive cocci (GPC); the similar findings were reported of GNB 95.4% and GPC 4.6%¹⁶.

The most common pathogens isolated were *Escherichia coli* (52.4%), which is almost similar with study by Patel et al 53.38%¹⁷ followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (14.3%), which is in between the result of 14% and 14.9%^{15,18}. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 9.5%, which is almost similar to 9.8%¹⁹. *Acinetobacter anitratus* 4.76%, almost similar to 4.2%¹⁸. *Proteus mirabilis* 4.76%, which is inbetween 5.5% and 4.2%^{20,21}. *Enterobacter species* 4.76%, which is slightly lower than 5.5%²⁰. *Citrobacter species* 4.76%, which is inbetween 4.5% and 5%^{16,22}. *Staphylococcus aureus* 1.9 % and *Enterococcus faecalis* 2.85%. Almost similar finding reported were of 2.9%²³.

The relationship between antibiotic use and resistance is complex. The use of broad-spectrum antibiotic agents as a substitute for precise diagnostics or to enhance the likelihood of therapeutic success increases the rate of selection of resistant bacteria. Factors influencing antibiotic consumption include cultural conceptions, patient demands, diagnostic uncertainty, and the level of training among health care staff and pharmacists. High levels of antimicrobial resistance in uropathogens were also reported with similar rates in acute of resistance occurring to antibiotics commonly used in both out-patients and in-patients (a reflection of high community use of antibiotics). Routine monitoring of antibiotic resistance provides data for antibiotic therapy and resistance control, and information will directly affect selection of empiric therapy for UTI. However, the initial choice of empiric antimicrobial therapy should be based on Gram stain and urine culture and should integrate local sensitivity patterns of the infecting organism¹⁵.

In the present study, the mean resistance pattern of uropathogens were like this; a low resistance of 19.04% to amikacin, and 40% to nitrofurantoin which is comparable to 24.4% and 34.3% respectively²⁰. A moderate resistance to cefotaxime 45.71%, ceftriaxone 49.52%, ceftazidime 48.57% and ciprofloxacin 54.28% were seen in the present study. In present study 61.9% of uropathogen were resistant to gentamicin, which is higher than 57.1%²³. A high resistance to cotrimoxazole 80.95% and nalidixic acid 80% in our study was comparable with 87.3%²⁴.

We found 55% Gram-negative uropathogen harbored the ESBLs. Majority of ESBLs seen in *Klebsiella species* 60% and *Escherichia coli* 54.54%. The sensitivity of ESBLs positive isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were very high 86.66% - 94.45% to imipenem and meropenem, which is comparable with 94.5% and 95.7%^{25,23}.

CONCLUSION

Escherichia coli are the commonest cause of UTI. Majority of UTI are mono-microbial. We found 55% Gram-negative uropathogen harbored the ESBLs, where majority of ESBLs were seen in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 60% and *Escherichia coli* 55%. Screening of multidrug resistant bacteria especially GNB possess considerable therapeutic challenges in critical care patients because of the production of

ESBLs. Amikacin and nitrofurantoin are the most suitable antibiotics for treatment.

Acknowledgment: We thank the K.R. Hospital, Mysore for allowing us to collect the specimen for research work.

Conflict of interest: None

REFERENCES

- Najar MS, Saldanha CL, Banday KA. Approach to urinary tract infections. Indian J Nephrol 2009; 19(4): 129-39.
- Prabhu V, Selvaraj PR. Study on the prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection among the Paliyar Indian tribe. IJCR 2012; 4(1):44-8.
- Amin M, Manijeh M, Zohreh P. Study of bacteria isolated from urinary tract infections and determination of their susceptibility to antibiotics. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2009; 2(3): 118-23.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 16th Informational Supplement. M100-S12 NCCLS. Oxford University Press, USA. Wayne, PA, 2006.
- Livermore DM. Bacterial resistance: origins, epidemiology and impact. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36: 11-23.
- Bradford PA. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases in the 21st century: characterization, epidemiology and detection of this important resistance threat. Clin Microbiol Rev 2001; 14: 933-51.
- Wiegand I, Geiss HK, Mack D. Detection of extended-spectrum β lactamases among Enterobacteriaceae by use of semi-automated microbiology systems and manual detection procedures. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45: 1167-74.
- Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases: a clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev 2005; 18: 657-86.
- Collee JG, Dugid JP, Frasar AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. Laboratory strategy in the diagnosis of infective syndromes. Mackie and MicCarteny Practical Medical Microbiology. 14th ed. London: Churchill, Livingstone Inc; 1996:53-94.
- Leong ASY. Principles and Practice of Medical Laboratory Sciences. New York, Churchill Livingstone 1997; 2:35-8.
- Collee JG, Miles SR, Watt B. Test for identification of bacteria. Mackie and MicCarteny Practical Medical Microbiology. 14th ed. London, Churchill Livingstone Inc; 1996:131-49.
- Rahman S, Hameed A, Roghani M T, et al. Multidrug resistant neonatal sepsis in Peshawar, Pakistan. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2002; 87(3): 52-4.
- Laboratory detection and reporting of bacteria with extended spectrum β -lactamases. Standards Unit, Evaluations and Standards Laboratory 19.05.08; (2.2): 1-13.
- Barton M, Bell Y, Thame M, Nicholson A, Trotman H. Urinary Tract Infection in Neonates with Serious Bacterial Infections Admitted to the University Hospital of the West Indies. West Indian Med J 2008; 57 (2): 101.
- Nimri L, Batchoun R. Community-acquired Urinary Tract Infections In A Rural Area: Predominant Uropathogens, And Their Antimicrobial Resistance. Webmed Central Microbiology 2010;1(9): WMC00679 <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/11/102>.
- Baral P, Neupane S, Marasini B P, Ghimire K R, Lekhak B, Shrestha B. High prevalence of multidrug resistance in

- bacterial uropathogens from Kathmandu, Nepal. *BMC Research Notes*. 2012; 5:38
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1756-0500/5/38>.
17. Patel S, Taviad PKP, Sinha M, Javadekar TB, Chaudhari VP. Urinary Tract Infections (UTI) among patients at G.G. Hospital & Medical College, Jamnagar. *Natl J Community Med* 2012, 3(1):138-41.
 18. Humayun T, Iqbal A. The Culture and Sensitivity Pattern of Urinary Tract Infections in Females of Reproductive Age Group. *Ann Pak Inst Med Sci* 2012; 8(1):19-22.
 19. Deshpande KD, Pichare AP, Suryawanshi NM, Davan MS. Antibiogram of gram negative uropathogens in hospitalized patients. *Inter J Curr Trends Sci Tech* 2011; 1(2):56-60.
 20. Kothari A, Sagar V. Antibiotic resistance in pathogens causing community-acquired urinary tract infections in India: a multicenter study. *JIDC* 2008; 2(5):354-58.
 21. Ahmad S, Shakooh S, Salati S A, Muniem A. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Kashmir. *SLJOG* 2011; 33: 158-162
 22. Inyama HK, Revathi G, Musandu J, Odero T. The incidence of nosocomial urinary tract infections: kenyatta national hospital - intensive care unit. *BIRJ* 2011; (2):12- 21.
 23. Jung YS, Shin HS, Rim H. The influence of chronic renal failure on the spectrum and antimicrobial susceptibility of uropathogens in community-acquired acute pyelonephritis presenting as a positive urine culture. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2011;11:102
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/11/102>.
 24. Taiwo SS, Aderounmu AOA. Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection: Aetiologic Agents and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern in Ladoke Akintola University Teaching Hospital, Osogbo, Nigeria. *AJBR* 2006; 9:141-48.
 25. Krishnan J. Study of imipenem resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. National Congress on Bioscience Research Proceedings. jshri90@gmail.com (Department of Biotechnology, Madha Engineering College, Chennai, TN), India.