ANTINUCLEAR ANTIBODIES IN PATIENTS WITH UNEXPLAINED RECURRENT ABORTIONS
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INTRODUCTION

Autoimmune disorders are 6-10 times more common among women than men [1], and they are likely to have their onset during the reproductive years. Screening of patients for subclinical autoimmune disease has been a matter of controversy for years. The causes of recurrent fetal wastage are multifactorial, and sometimes more than one factor may be present in a case, which could be recurrent or nonrecurrent. Pregnancy is faced with a number of self and foreign antigens that modulate the immune system of the mother. If immune response of mother is altered, frequent abortions may result.

Presence of autoantibodies causes rejection of early pregnancy in 30% of women [2,3]. Antibodies responsible are antinuclear antibodies and antiphospholipid antibodies: Lupus anticoagulant, and antiphospholipid antibodies. Antinuclear antibodies may interfere with the formation and maturation of placenta which would eventually lead to an early fetal loss. Histone proteins and deoxyribonucleic acid induce tissue development. Formation of antibodies against these histone proteins may lead to activation of the autoimmune process in mother and thereby end up in abortion.

There are various techniques for antinuclear antibodies (ANA) detection. Indirect immunofluorescence continues to be a basic technique in autoimmunity studies. Autoantibodies give characteristic fluorescence images called fluorescent patterns. It is inexpensive and easy to perform with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity and is considered to be gold standard, but some results may be misinterpreted. As it detects several different antibodies, cross-reactions can occur. Immunofluorescence can give false positive result in up to 3% of the population [4].

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) can also be used for diagnosis of autoantibodies, there are two types of ELISA methods employed for ANA testing. The generic assay is the one which detects ANA of broad specificity and the other is called specific antigen assay that detects a particular autoantibody as it reacts with single autoantigen. ELISA is highly specific and sensitive and decreases the time involved in screening a large number of patient samples. ELISA is simple to perform, can be automated and it does not require person with high technical skill who could recognize microscopic patterns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setting of the study

This study was conducted from the period of November 2011 to June 2012. The study population comprises mainly of pregnant women from 19 to 40 years, attending antenatal clinic and inpatients in Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Tirunelveli Medical College Hospital. This is a case-control study comprising an equal number of cases and controls, each comprising 60 women of reproductive age group.

Study population

The study population comprises 60 pregnant women from age group 19 to 40 years.

• With a history of two or more spontaneous abortions.
• It also includes women with past history of stillbirths, intrauterine death, or early neonatal deaths.

The population was carefully selected that women in study group have no live issues. The control group comprises 60 multiparous pregnant women from age group 19 to 40 years, with no history of abortions.

Exclusion criteria

It includes women with history of heart disease, anemia, renal failure, Rh incompatibility, history of sexually transmitted diseases, or TORCH infections, uterine fibroids, endometriosis, pelvic inflammatory...
disease, uterine anomalies and septate uterus, and bicornuate uterus. Institutional ethical clearance was obtained.

Informed written consent was obtained from all pregnant women involved in the study. Details of history and physical examination findings were noted. In all patients hemoglobin, blood group, fasting blood sugar, ultrasonography, and TORCH test were done by standard methods.

Methodology
Under strict aseptic precautions, 3-5 ml blood was collected from both study group and control group and subjected to indirect immunofluorescence using Hep-2 cells (BioSystems Pvt. Ltd.). Serum ANA bind to the corresponding antigens present in Hep-2 cells. The resulting antigen-antibody complexes are detected by means of a fluorescein-labeled anti-human immunoglobulin (IgG) and visualized with the aid of a fluorescence microscope.

The serum samples were also subjected to ELISA. Specific ANA in the sample bind to the antigens immobilized on the microwell surface. In a second incubation, a conjugate of horseradish peroxidase-labeled IgG's to human IgG binds to surface-bound antibodies. Finally, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine with hydrogen peroxidase was added to each well as enzyme substrate and, after color development, the enzymatic reaction was stopped with hydrochloric acid. The yellow product formed was measured in terms of absorbance units at 450nm, and its proportional to the amount of antibodies present.

Statistics
Data regarding the cases and controls were described in terms of percentages. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of ELISA were done. The cases and controls were statistically analyzed for significance by Chi-squared test. The Chi-squared test was calculated for the analytic assessment by SPSS 20 version software. The differences were considered to be statistically significant when the p<0.05.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows age-wise distribution of bad obstetric history (BOH) cases and antinuclear antibodies positive among them. Of the 7 samples tested from age group 16 to 20 years, 1 sample is positive and remaining were negative. Of the 13 cases tested 3 were positive, and remaining 10 were negative in the age group 21-25 years. From 26 to 30 years, 21 samples were tested, of which 8 samples were positive, and remaining 13 were tested negative. 12 individuals were tested from age group 31 to 35 years, 6 were positive and remaining 6 were negative. Among 7 cases tested from age group 36 to 40 years, none were positive. The mean age among cases is 28 years.

Table 2 shows the distribution of ANA positivity among cases and controls. Among 60 women with BOH, 18 (30%) were found to be positive. Among controls 5 (8.3%) women were positive.

Among 60 cases with BOH, 18 (30%) tested positive for ANA by immunofluorescence and 42 (70%) were ANA negative. Of the total 60 multigravid women, 5 (8.3%) of them tested positive for ANA by indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT), and the remaining 55 (91.66%) were negative. The association between women with BOH and multigravid controls, using immunofluorescence was considered to be statistically significant (Table 3).
In this study, the mean age among cases and controls is around 26 years. Patton [5] tested sera from 136 women (84 pregnant and 52 nonpregnant) for antibodies directed against nuclear antigens, and the mean ages of the two groups were 32 and 26 years, respectively. Patton [5] also stated that the occurrence of ANA in normal pregnancy has been variably reported to range from 1 to 53%. In this study 5 (8.3%) women were found to be positive for ANA which is in accordance with the study conducted by Farnam [6].

The prevalence of ANA among women with BOH was 30% and 43.5% when compared to women in control groups which were about 6.6% and 22.4%, respectively. The occurrence of ANA in normal pregnancy has been variably reported to range from 1 to 53%. In this study 5 (8.3%) women were found to be positive for ANA which is in accordance with the study conducted by Farnam et al. [8] where he reported ANA in about 10.7% pregnant women. The ANA positivity among cases and controls by both ELISA and IFAT methods, respectively. Among controls, ELISA missed one sample as ANA positive while IFAT reported as positive. IFAT methods, respectively. Among BOH cases 19 (82.6%), 18 (78.26%) were positive by ELISA and IFAT method. Among controls, ELISA reported one more women with BOH as ANA positive, which IFAT failed to detect. Among controls, ELISA missed one sample as ANA positive while IFAT reported as positive.

ELISA reported one more women with BOH as ANA positive, which IFAT failed to detect. Among controls, ELISA missed one sample as ANA positive while IFAT reported as positive.

ELISA test was evaluated for its sensitivity and specificity against IFAT, gold standard test. Table 7 shows sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of ELISA are 94.7%, 95.12%, 90%, and 97.5%, respectively.

A study from Sweden also reported the similar findings were the risk of abortions is 8.7% at age 24 and rises up to 24.7% at the age of 35.

In this study, antinuclear antibodies were found in 18 (30%) women with RPL and 5 (8.3%) in the control group, which is comparable to the study conducted by Garcia et al. [6] and Nakatsuka et al. [7] where the prevalence of ANA among women with BOH was 30% and 43.5% when compared to women in control groups which were about 6.6% and 22.4%, respectively.

The occurrence of ANA in normal pregnancy has been variably reported to range from 1 to 53%. In this study 5 (8.3%) women were found to be positive for ANA which is in accordance with the study conducted by Farnam et al. [8] where he reported ANA in about 10.7% pregnant women in low titers. This indicates that ANA can be found in normal pregnancy due to some infectious etiology and not only because of collagenosis.

In this study, 23 women were positive for antinuclear antibodies including 18 (30%) of women with BOH and 5 (8.3%) controls by indirect immunofluorescence using HEp-2 cell as substrate. Similar findings are reported by Garcia et al. [6], Xu et al. [9].

Among the nuclear patterns, the most frequent consist of homogeneous/peripheral fluorescence (DNA, deoxyribonucleoprotein, and histones) and speckled fluorescence (RNP, Sm, Ro/SSA, and La/SSB); the relatively less frequent patterns include the centromeric (CENP-A, CENP-B, and CENP-C), and cytoplasmic patterns. Our study revealed homogenous/spotted fluorescence was the most frequent in 8 (44.4%) followed by speckled pattern in 7 (38.8%) and the occurrence of ANA in normal pregnancy has been variably reported to range from 1 to 53%. In this study 5 (8.3%) women were found to be positive for ANA which is in accordance with the study conducted by Farnam et al. [8] where he reported ANA in about 10.7% pregnant women in low titers. This indicates that ANA can be found in normal pregnancy due to some infectious etiology and not only because of collagenosis.

In this study, 23 women were positive for antinuclear antibodies including 18 (30%) of women with BOH and 5 (8.3%) controls by indirect immunofluorescence using HEp-2 cell as substrate. Similar findings are reported by Garcia et al. [6], Xu et al. [9].

Among the nuclear patterns, the most frequent consist of homogeneous/peripheral fluorescence (DNA, deoxyribonucleoprotein, and histones) and speckled fluorescence (RNP, Sm, Ro/SSA, and La/SSB); the relatively less frequent patterns include the centromeric (CENP-A, CENP-B, and CENP-C), and cytoplasmic patterns. Our study revealed homogenous/spotted fluorescence was the most frequent in 8 (44.4%) followed by speckled pattern in 7 (38.8%) and the occurrence of ANA in normal pregnancy has been variably reported to range from 1 to 53%. In this study 5 (8.3%) women were found to be positive for ANA which is in accordance with the study conducted by Farnam et al. [8] where he reported ANA in about 10.7% pregnant women in low titers. This indicates that ANA can be found in normal pregnancy due to some infectious etiology and not only because of collagenosis.

In this study, 23 women were positive for antinuclear antibodies including 18 (30%) of women with BOH and 5 (8.3%) controls by indirect immunofluorescence using HEp-2 cell as substrate. Similar findings are reported by Garcia et al. [6], Xu et al. [9].
In our study among 120 patients tested for ANA, 19 (31.8%) of women with BOH and 4 (6%) of controls were reported to be positive by ELISA. A study from Saudi Arabia [12], has the similar findings.

In this study, antinuclear antibodies were positive in 18 (30%) cases by IFAT and 19 (31.6%) cases by ELISA. Among the control, population tested for antinuclear antibodies 5 (8.3%), and 4 (6%) samples were reported to be positive by IFAT and ELISA, respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of ELISA for antinuclear antibodies were found to be 94.7%, 95.12%, 90%, and 97.5%, respectively.

A study conducted in Japan by Kumagai and Hayashi [13] screened 257 healthy subjects between COBAS-ANA and IF-ANA. The sensitivity and specificity of COBAS-ANA were 94% and 94%, respectively. Divate et al. [14], investigated the sera from 96 patients and found the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of ELISA were 90.7%, 85.7%, 89.1%, and 87.8%, respectively.

IFAT is considered as gold standard method for ANA detection, but this technique has some drawbacks. There are chances for false positive results in up to 3% of normal population. ANA levels tend to rise and fall, and sometimes being undetectable when symptoms are mild or when patient is at remission. Other factors which could lower the sensitivity of IFAT include variations in the substrate and subjective interpretation. In recent times, there have been some advances in standardization of the IFAT method which includes automation of the analytic procedure and recognition of the immunohistochemical pattern by way of computerized systems. However, these things are beyond scope for developing nations.

ELISA assay offers a suitable alternative as a preliminary investigation to exclude negative ANA sera. ELISA is technically simpler; and faster which could be useful in laboratories which screens large numbers of samples among which many could be ANA negative. The major advantage of ELISA is that it is not subjective in nature and is also more economical than the IFAT. However, the problem lies in samples with gray zone where each laboratory should identify a suitable cut-off ANA index which would segregate these samples from significantly positive ones. Retesting by the standard IFAT should be done for all low positive “gray zone” samples for confirmation of ANA positivity.

The ideal method should fulfill criteria of clinical sensitivity and high specificity, precision and accuracy, ease of execution, limited use of technology, quick availability, and contained costs [15]. At present, no method exists that fulfills all these requirements.

Thus, women without an autoimmune disorder history, but with complications during pregnancy, have a raised number of autoantibodies. Further research in this topic that the occurrence of autoantibodies is transient particularly limited to pregnancy or postpartum or predictive of future immune related diseases are to be done in near future so that we prevent recurrent fetal wastage.
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