INTRODUCTION

Melanin is a pigment that plays a role in determining skin color and protects the skin from ultraviolet (UV) radiation hazards, but the abnormal production or distribution of melanin can lead to hyperpigmentation, a disorder that causes dark spots or skin discoloration [1]. The process of melanin formation (melanogenesis) in the skin occurs in melanocyte cells. Tyrosinase is one of the major enzymes that catalyzes the process of melanin synthesis. Therefore, the excess production of melanin can be prevented or reduced by a tyrosinase inhibitor compound [2].

Tyrosinase inhibitors such as mercury, hydroquinone, and kojic acid have been widely used as skin whitening or hyperpigmentation-preventing materials, but the study results have revealed that these compounds are toxic and even can cause cancer [3]. Another substance that can affect the synthesis of melanin is free radicals. Free radicals can originate outside the body and enter through sources such as UV radiation, pollution, or food. It can also come from metabolic processes or enzymatic oxidation in the body, including from the process of melanogenesis. Free radicals can cause DNA damage, induce melanocyte proliferation, and increase melanin production, leading to hyperpigmentation [4]. The negative effects can be overcome by antioxidant compounds. The antioxidants can reduce or inhibit the free radicals that might otherwise lead to excess melanin formation. Some examples of antioxidant compounds used as inhibitors of melanogenesis include vitamin C and reduced glutathione [5].

The side effects from the use of toxic or carcinogenic compounds as inhibitors of melanogenesis are an impetus to search for alternative active ingredients that are safe for health, including those with natural ingredients. Results from the previous studies showed that some plants known to have good antioxidant activity also can act as tyrosinase inhibitors; for example, green tea, mulberry, and licorice extracts. The substances in these plants that have free radical scavenging activity and tyrosinase inhibitors are phenolic compounds [2,6].

The studies have found that some plants of the Sapindaceae family have good tyrosinase inhibitory ability besides antioxidant activity; these include Guioa villosa and Zanthoxylum bupleurifolium [7,8]. A type of plant from the Sapindaceae family that has not been utilized widely by Indonesians is matoa (Pometia pinnata). This study aims to investigate the potency of matoa as a tyrosinase inhibitor and antioxidant and also to identify the chemical compounds in the most active fraction and an ethanol extract of matoa leaves and stem bark. This study is conducted to determine the potential of matoa as a tyrosinase inhibitor and in free radical scavenging and to identify the class of compounds in the most active fraction in ethanol extracts of matoa leaves and stem bark.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instruments

The tools used in this study include maceration bottle, mechanical shaker (Janke & Kunkel IKA), vacuum rotary evaporator (Janke & Kunkel IKA and BuchiRotavapor R-205), freeze dryer (Sanyo), UV is spectrophotometry (PG Instruments Ltd. T8), Sonicator (IKA), quartz cuvette (quartz cell), multichannel pipette 1-100 μL (Finnpipette), plate reader (BioTek Eko808), 96-well microplate, analytical scale (AND HR-200), oven (Memmert), silicagel plate F254 (Merck), crucible, furnaces, water bath (Imperial IV), Alcoholmeter, micro pipette 10-100 μL (Finnpipette) and 100-1000 μL (Eppendorf), pHmeter (Eutech Instruments), separating funnel (Duran), blender (Panasonic), and other glasswares.

Materials

This study used the simplicia of leaves and stem bark of the matoa plant (P. pinnata) and obtained from the environment...
surrounding the University of Indonesia, Depok. The simplicia has already been determined at the Indonesian Institute of Science (LIPI), the Center for Plant Conservation, and Bogor Botanical Gardens.

The chemical materials used in this study were 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Sigma Aldrich), quercetin (Sigma Aldrich), methanol p.a., (Merck), tyrosinase enzyme from fungi (Sigma Aldrich), L-DOPA (Sigma Aldrich), kojic acid (Sino Lion), distilled water, demineralized water, ethanol, n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and technical methanol (Brataco), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (Merck), chloric acid (Merck), sulfuric acid (Mallinckrodt Chemicals), chloroform, boric acid, osalic acid, (III) chloride (Merck), glacial acetic acid (Merck), aluminum chloride (Merck), benzene, potassium hydroxide (Merck), anhydrous acetic acid (Univar), and Molisch, Mayer, Dragendorff, and Bouchardat.

**Methods**

Research on anti-tyrosinase activity assay and DPPH radical scavenging of the fraction and ethanol extract of the leaves and stem bark of matoa (*P. pinnata*, J.R. Forst & G. Forst) was carried out in several stages. This included materials preparation, extraction, testing the extract for the inhibition activity of tyrosinase and the radical scavenging activity of DPPH, parameter setting and active extract fractionation, DPPH radical scavenging activity of the fractions of the active extract, and phytochemical screening of the extract and the most active fraction.

**Materials preparation**

Fresh matoa leaves were collected, sorted, washed with clean running water, drained, and weighed before drying (1790 g). Fresh stems and branches were collected, sorted, washed with clean running water, and drained. The bark was removed from the stems or branches by slashing with a knife; then, the stem bark obtained was weighed (1420 g). Both materials were dried by air-cooling in the shade, followed by drying in a drying cupboard. Dry simplicia was weighed (leaf 990 g and stem bark 554 g), resorted, and then powdered. The dry powder was stored in clean and dry containers.

**Extraction**

The extraction was done by the maceration method using a 70% ethanol solvent. Leaf powder (572 g) and stem bark powder (550 g) were each inserted into different amber bottles, and the 70% ethanol was added to 3-5 cm above the powder surface. The powder was macerated with the stirring aid (shaker) for 2 hrs, and then, was idle for up to 24 hrs. The extract obtained was separated from the pulp by filtration using a funnel and a white cloth/filter paper; then, the extract was stored in a container. The extract sample solution were added. Then, 40 µL of DPPH solution in methanol. The DPPH free radical inhibitory activity was characterized by the formation of yellow spots in a purple background [14]. The comparator used was quercetin.

**Antioxidant activity test using qualitative method of DPPH**

About 5 mg each of ethanol extract of matoa leaf and stem bark were weighed and dissolved in 5.0 mL methanol to obtain a 1000 µg/mL concentration. Then, the solution was diluted to a concentration of 100 µg/mL. Each solution extract was spotted on a silica gel F254 plate using a capillary tube and then sprayed with DPPH solution in methanol. The DPPH free radical inhibitory activity was confirmed using the qualitative method of DPPH.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents (leaflet group and SMS reminders group) are listed in Table 1. The largest percentage of participants were aged 20-35 years, were well educated Sekolah Lanjutan Tingkat Atas - Perguruan Tinggi, and were not working. The result of the statistical analysis between the two intervention groups showed that there were no differences regarding each variable.

**Tyrosinase inhibition test**

Kojic acid was used as a positive control and obtained an IC₅₀ of 6.63 µg/mL. The range of IC₅₀ values of kojic acid was obtained from several previous studies, ranging from 4.35 to 7.18 µg/mL [16-19], indicating that the test method performed could be used.

The solubility orientation of the extract in the phosphate buffer solvent (50 mM, pH 6.5) was then performed; 25 mg of the thick ethanol extract of the matoa leaves and stem bark each were dissolved in a phosphate buffer and disonicated. Both extracts dissolved perfectly

**Table 1: Percentage of DPPH scavenging and the IC₅₀ value of the ethanol extract of matoa leaves and stem bark**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Final concentration (µg/mL)</th>
<th>% Scavenging</th>
<th>Linear regression equation I₅₀ (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extract of matoa leaves</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>9.63±0.42</td>
<td>y=1.1784+7.9956x r=0.9949 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.50±1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>23.71±0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>29.53±1.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.57±0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>51.21±0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extract of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>13.23±0.8</td>
<td>y=2.5598+8.6723x r=0.9949 5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.58±1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>26.41±1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>31.80±0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.76±0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>56.53±1.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl
The inhibition percentage of the ethanol extract of matoa leaves and stem bark with a final concentration of 200 µg/mL was 24.54±0.22% and 21.93±0.57%, respectively (Fig. 1). The value of the inhibition percentage showed that the inhibitory ability of the tyrosinase of the ethanol extract of matoa leaves and stem bark was much weaker than that of kojic acid (6.63 µg/mL).

The matoa leaves and stem bark were known to contain phenolic compounds, but the tyrosinase inhibitory ability of the ethanol extract of both simplicia was much weaker than that of kojic acid. This might be due to the structure of the phenolic compounds contained in the extract. The tyrosinase inhibitory ability of the phenolic compounds was strongly influenced by the structure of the phenolic compounds. The amount and position of the hydroxyl groups of the B ring and the substitution of the hydroxyl groups as well as their steric constraints played an important role in the inhibition of phenolic compounds against tyrosinase activity [20]. For example, quercetin and kaempferol were known to be flavonoid compounds with activity as tyrosinase inhibitors [6]. The ethanol extract of matoa leaf also contained two flavonoid compounds, but the percentage of the inhibition was low. This might be because the two compounds in the matoa leaf were present in the form of glycosides, which eliminated their ability to inhibit tyrosinase. The sugar molecule attached to the 3-hydroxy group of the flavonoids would prevent the binding of the flavonoid compound on the active side of the tyrosinase, so it could not inhibit the enzyme activity [6].

**Antioxidant activity test with the qualitative method of DPPH**

The purple DPPH radicals changed color to yellow after reacting with antioxidant compounds. The strength of antioxidant activity of the tested sample was seen from the intensity of the color of the yellow spot in a purple background. The higher the intensity of the yellow color, the higher the antioxidant activity of the sample [17].

The test results showed that the yellow spots for the ethanol extract of the matoa leaf and stem bark of matoa had quite high color intensity, but the intensity was less than that of quercetin. The color intensity from the spots of the two extracts was almost the same (Fig. 2). This showed that the antioxidant activity strength of both extracts was quite high and almost the same, but their antioxidant strength was still lower than that of quercetin.

**Antioxidant activity test by quantitative method of DPPH**

The IC\textsubscript{50} value of the ethanol extracts of the matoa leaves and stem bark was 6.11 µg/mL and 5.47 µg/mL, respectively (Table 1). Based on the IC\textsubscript{50} values obtained, the two extracts were classified into a very strong DPPH radical scavenging activity group, but this was still lower than the strength of quercetin (IC\textsubscript{50} 1.11 µg/mL). The test compounds could be categorized according to the strength of the antioxidant into groups of various intensity according to the IC\textsubscript{50} value. Antioxidant activity with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of less than 50 ppm was categorized as very strong, strong if the IC\textsubscript{50} value was between 50 and 100 ppm, moderate if the value of the IC\textsubscript{50} was 101-250 ppm, and weak if the IC\textsubscript{50} value was greater than 500 ppm [21].

The very strong radical scavenging activity was caused by the content of the phenolic compounds (flavonoids and tannins) in the matoa leaves and stem bark. Screening results performed by Cho et al. showed that the methanol extract of some Rosaceae plant family materials had a DPPH radical scavenging activity that was very strong, with an IC\textsubscript{50} value below 10 µg/mL, and the main content in the plant extract was the polyphenolic compounds [22]. The polyphenolic compounds isolated from the plant had been investigated to have strong DPPH scavenging activity, including condensed tannins, quercetin, catechins, and kaempferol.

One of the mechanisms for controlling excessive melanin production in hyperpigmentation was the provision of antioxidants. The antioxidants could reduce free radicals in melanocytes to prevent the activation of the process of melanogenesis [6]. The ethanol extract of matoa leaves and stem bark had very strong free radical scavenging (DPPH) activity that was potentially used in the prevention of hyperpigmentation.

**Antioxidant activity test of the matoa stem bark extract fractions**

The ethanol extract of matoa stem bark, as much as 65 g, was fractionated by liquid-liquid partition using a separating funnel. Using solvents with increasing polarity from non-polar (n-hexane), semipolar (ethyl acetate), and polar (methanol) solvents, 0.14 g n-hexane fraction, 4 g ethyl acetate fraction, and 40.38 g methanol fraction were obtained. For each fraction obtained, the value of the fraction yield was calculated. The methanol fraction had the greatest weight, so it was suspected that the largest content in the ethanol extract of the matoa stem bark was a polar compound.

The fractions obtained from the fractionation of the ethanol extract of the matoa stem bark were then tested for their antioxidant activity by the DPPH method. The antioxidant activity test for the extract used the same method.

The ethyl acetate fraction showed the highest intensity of yellow spot color compared with the n-hexane and methanol fractions (Fig. 3). This showed that qualitatively the fraction with the best DPPH scavenging activity was the ethyl acetate fraction. This result was in accordance with the quantitative test, where the ethyl acetate fraction gave the highest percentage of DPPH radical scavenging (Fig. 4). The IC\textsubscript{50} value of the ethyl acetate fraction was 5.38 µg/mL (Table 2). Based on this result, the ethyl acetate fraction had a very strong DPPH radical scavenging activity, although still lower than that of quercetin (IC\textsubscript{50} of 1.11 µg/mL).

The ethyl acetate fraction had an IC\textsubscript{50} value that was slightly lower than the IC\textsubscript{50} value of the original extract, 5.47 µg/mL. This might be because the compounds that had high activity as DPPH radical scavengers were mostly present in the ethyl acetate fraction. This might be because the compounds had high activity as DPPH radical scavengers.
research, were proanthocyanidin A2, epicatechin, kaempferol-3-O-rhamnose, and quercetin-3-O-rhamnose [12], while the matoa stem bark contained leucoanthocyanidin and condensed tannins [10]. These compounds had a high DPPH scavenging activity, such as quercetin (IC\(_{50} = 0.83\) µg/mL) and kaempferol (IC\(_{50} = 1.87\) µg/mL) (Cho et al., 2003). Almost all tannin compounds had been studied to show high DPPH scavenging activity in a small concentration range [24]. The compound content caused the matoa leaves and stem bark extracts to have very strong DPPH scavenging activity, but both extracts did not have good tyrosinase inhibitory ability. This might be because the polyphenolic compounds in both extracts were mostly in the form of glycosides, which gave the polyphenolic compounds less ability to bind and inhibit the action of tyrosinase enzyme [20].

**CONCLUSION**

The ethanol extracts of matoa leaves and stem bark have a weak tyrosinase inhibitory ability. The ethyl acetate fraction of the ethanol extract of the stem bark of matoa has a very strong DPPH radical scavenging activity, although it is still below that of the quercetin. The ethanol extract of matoa leaves and the ethanol extract and ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark contain flavonoids, tannins, saponins, triterpenoids, and glycosides.
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**Table 2: Percentage of DPPH scavenging and IC\(_{50}\) value of the ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark extract**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Final concentration (µg/mL)</th>
<th>% Scavenging</th>
<th>Linear regression equation</th>
<th>IC(_{50}) (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>14.89±1.61</td>
<td>Y=6.9112+8.3033x</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.46±0.77</td>
<td>r=0.9939</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>29.91±0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>39.82±0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.96±2.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate fraction of matoa stem bark</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>57.72±0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl
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**Fig. 3:** The qualitative 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl test results in the ethanol extract fractions of the matoa stem bark: (a) The n-hexane fraction, (b) the ethyl acetate fraction, and (c) the methanol fraction

**Fig. 4:** Percentages of DPPH scavenger fractions of the ethanol extract of the matoa stem bark
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The secondary metabolite compounds in the extract determined the activity of the extract, both the tyrosinase inhibition and the free radical scavenging activities (antioxidants). The ethanol extract of the leaves, the ethyl acetate fraction, and the ethanol extract of matoa stem bark had very strong DPPH radical scavenging activity. This might be due to the content of the phenolic compounds in both the extracts and the ethyl acetate fraction. The polyphenolic compound was known to have good radical scavenging activity [4]. In addition, the polyphenolic compound was known to have activity as a tyrosinase inhibitor, but this activity was strongly influenced by the structure of the polyphenolic compound [2]. Polyphenolic compounds in the matoa leaves, based on the previous
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Dampers in the matoa stem bark extract mostly presented in the ethyl acetate fraction. The compound had a higher concentration in the fraction, resulting in a higher level of DPPH scavenging.