EFFECT OF SITAGLIPTIN AND VILDAGLIPTIN ON WOUND HEALING IN MALE WISTAR RATS - AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a spectrum of common metabolic disorders, arising from a variety of pathogenic mechanisms. With an increasing incidence worldwide, DM will be likely a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the future. Delayed wound healing in diabetes is a major source of morbidity and mortality. Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin are novel antihyperglycemic agents used for the treatment of DM. The present study was planned to investigate the effect of Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin on various wound healing models in male Wistar rats.

Methods: Male Wistar rats (150–200 g) were divided into three groups, i.e., control, Sitagliptin, and Vildagliptin (n=6 animals in each group) for each wound model. Excision wound, resutured incision wound, and dead space wounds were inflicted under thiopentone anesthesia in male Wistar rats. The rats received Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin orally during the study period. The duration of treatment was 10 days for animals inflicted by dead space wounds, while it was continued in animals of incision and resutured incision wounds. The duration of treatment was 5 days for animals inflicted by excision wound. Sitagliptin (9 mg/kg body weight of rat) [1] and Vildagliptin (9 mg/kg body weight of rat) [1] were administered orally in therapeutic equivalent doses as calculated with the help of conversion table devised by Paget and Barnes [7], while control group received equal volume of vehicle. The duration of treatment was 10 days for animals inflicted by excision wound, while it was continued in animals bearing dead space wounds until the complete closure of the wounds.

Results: Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin significantly promoted the healing process in all three wound models studied. Histopathological studies revealed increased collagen content and granulation tissue in Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin groups.

Conclusion: In all the three wound models studied, Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin promoted wound healing. The pro-healing effect of Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin needs to be explored clinically.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a spectrum of common metabolic disorders, arising from a variety of pathogenic mechanisms, all resulting in hyperglycemia [1]. DM is the leading cause of end-stage renal disease, non-traumatic lower extremity amputations, and adult blindness. It also predisposes to cardiovascular diseases. With an increasing incidence worldwide, DM will be likely a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the future [2].

Davis Pryce recognized for the first time that “diabetes itself may play an active part in the causation of perforating ulcers” [3]. Yet despite more than a century of research, few pharmacologic approaches enhance the healing of diabetes-related wounds. Diabetes-related wounds continue to impose considerable clinical and economic burdens. The annual incidence of diabetic foot ulcers ranges from 1 to 4%, suggesting that up to 25% of people with diabetes will develop foot ulcers sometime during their lives [4]. Moreover, 3–5-year mortality rates in diabetic patients may reach 74% among those that undergo lower-extremity amputation. The prognosis for people with diabetic ulcers is worse than that associated [5].

Research has identified numerous changes in diabetic wounds that contribute to a delay in healing. Markedly abnormal patterns of growth factor and cytokine, chemokine, enzyme, or protease expression and activity are associated with impaired tissue repair [6]. Dysfunctional insulin signaling further compromises the disordered and disturbed wound healing. A study done in severely diabetic animals activated insulin receptors were virtually absent [6].

Targeting insulin release and resistance may, therefore, modulate impaired wound healing conditions. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists and the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors are novel drugs in the treatment of DM. The effect of new treatments for diabetes on tissue regeneration may be of interest, although this aspect of antihyperglycemic pharmacology is relatively neglected. Very limited data characterize the effect of DPP-4 inhibitors on wound healing.

There is a paucity of information on the effect of Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin on wound healing. Hence, the present study was planned to investigate the effect of Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin on various wound healing models, namely resutured incision, excision, and dead space wounds.

METHODS

Healthy male adult Wistar rats weighing 150–200 g (procured from Central Animal House of the Institute) were housed individually and maintained on standard pellet diet with water ad libitum. The study protocol was approved by the institutional animal ethics committee. After careful depilation (under aseptic precaution) at the wounding site, the animals were starved overnight before the day of experimentation and divided into control and treatment group (n=6 in each) for each wound model. Sitagliptin (9 mg/kg body weight of rat) [1] and Vildagliptin (9 mg/kg body weight of rat) [1] were administered orally in therapeutic equivalent doses as calculated with the help of conversion table devised by Paget and Barnes [7], while control group received equal volume of vehicle. The duration of treatment was 10 days for animals inflicted with incision and dead space wounds, while it was continued in animals bearing excision wounds till the complete closure of the wounds.

Wound models [8-10]

a. Resutured incision wounds: Under thiopentone anesthesia (dose of thiopentone ip 20–40 mg), the animal was secured to operation...
table in its natural position. Two paravertebral straight incisions of 6 cm each were made through the entire thickness of the skin, on either side of the vertebral column with the help of a surgical blade. Wounds were closed with interrupted sutures 1 cm apart. Sutures were removed on the 8th day, and breaking strength was measured on the 11th day post-wounding by continuous water flow technique. Three readings were taken on each wound, and the mean of six such readings in each animal was used for statistical analysis. Subsequently, animals were sacrificed by overdose of anesthesia.

b. Excision wounds were made as described by Morton and Malone by excising the full thickness circular skin (approximately 500 mm²) from the nape of the neck under thiopental anesthesia. Wound closure rate was assessed by planimetry on wounding day, followed by the 4th, 8th, 12th, 16th, and 18th day and subsequently on every alternate day till complete closure. Falling off the scab without any raw area indicated time for complete epithelialization and the same was noted. Similarly, scars were traced on complete epithelialization to assess wound contraction by noting scar size and shape. Wound healing was expressed as percentage closure of original wound area.

Percentage closure = 1 - A/A₀ × 100

where A₀ is the wound area on corresponding days and A is the wound area on day 0.

c. Dead space wounds were inflicted by implanting sterile cotton pellets measuring 10 mg each and cylindrical grass piths (25 mm×3 mm) subcutaneously in the groin and axilla by the technique of D’Arcy et al. [11]. The granulation tissue was removed on the 11th day post-wounding. All the granulation tissues were dissected out after sacrificing the animal and were dried at 60°C overnight to record the constant dry weight, expressed as mg/100 g body weight [12]. One of the granulation tissues over the grass piths was opened and trimmed to a rectangular piece for the estimation of breaking strength. The other granulation tissues over the grass pith were preserved in 10% formalin for histopathological studies to evaluate the effect of Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin on collagen formation.

Statistical Analysis
The data for all the groups were expressed as mean±standard error of the mean and were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by post hoc Dunnett’s test using GraphPad Prism software and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Excision wounds: The rate of wound closure in Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin treated animals was significantly (p<0.01) more on the 8th, 12th, 16th, and 18th day as compared to that of control. The time for epithelialization was 23.40±0.81 days in control group, while it was significantly (p<0.001) decreased in the Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin group with a mean value of 19.67±0.33 and 19.83±0.40 days, respectively (Table 1 and Graphs 1 and 2).

Resutured incision wounds: Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin significantly (p<0.01) increased wound breaking strength compared to that of control (Table 2 and Graph 2).

Dead space wounds: Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin significantly (p<0.05) increased breaking strength of granulation tissue similar to its effects in resutured incision wounds. Cotton pellet granuloma weight was increased significantly (p=0.01) in the Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin treated group (47.74±2.29 mg%) as compared to that of control (32.28±1.01 mg%) (Table 2 and Graph 2). Histopathological studies revealed increased collagen content and granulation tissue in the Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin treated group in contrast to control group.

DISCUSSION
The number of individuals with diabetes is rising rapidly throughout the world. Both genetic and environmental factors contribute to its pathogenesis, which involves insufficient insulin secretion, reduced responsiveness to endogenous or exogenous insulin, increased glucose production, and/or abnormalities in fat and protein metabolism [1].

Delayed wound healing in diabetes is a major source of morbidity and mortality. It results from the combination of vasculopathy and neuropathy and often leads to minor and major amputations. Many

Table 1: Effect of Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin on excision wound model parameters. The values are represented as mean±SEM (n=6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (n=6)</th>
<th>Wound closure (% of original area) in mm² on day (Mean±SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18.52±2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin</td>
<td>29.01±4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin</td>
<td>26.27±2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA P value</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **<0.01, *p<0.001 as compared to control group. SEM: Standard error of the mean, ANOVA: Analysis of variance
Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor linagliptin attenuates delayed chronic wound healing, and it supports the impaired tissue regeneration process which needs to be confirmed clinically. 

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
All authors have none to declare.

**AUTHOR’S CONTRIBUTIONS**

Contribution of the 1st author: Concept of design of study, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. Drafting and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Contribution of the 2nd author: Acquisition of data and interpretation of the data. Author was involved in drafting the manuscript.

Contribution of the 3rd author: Acquisition of data and interpretation of the data. Author was involved in drafting the manuscript.

**REFERENCES**


**Table 2: Effect of various healing agents on resutured incision and dead space wounds. The Values are represented as mean±SEM (n=6)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (n=6)</th>
<th>Resutered incision Wound breaking strength (g)</th>
<th>Granulation tissue Granuloma dry weight (mg % of body weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>186.60±2.31</td>
<td>32.33±1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin</td>
<td>226.50±6.55</td>
<td>45.35±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin</td>
<td>224.80±10.40</td>
<td>42.33±1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA P value 0.004 **{p<0.001 as compared to control group. SEM: Standard error of the mean, ANOVA: Analysis of variance}