**INTRODUCTION**

Diabetes mellitus is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both [1]. The International Diabetes Federation assesses the total number of diabetic subjects to be 425 million people, in 2017, in comparison with 50.8 million in 2010 [2]. In urban areas of Tamil Nadu, diabetes prevalence was higher in people with lower socioeconomic status [3]. Over the past 30 years, the status of diabetes has transformed from a mild disorder of the aged to one of the most important causes of mortality and morbidity for the youth and middle-aged people [4]. The greater part of diabetes mellitus falls into two wide etiopathogenic classes. In one class, type 1 diabetes, there is an absolute deficiency of insulin secretion. Individuals at risk of developing type 1 diabetes can be identified by the serological test to confirm an autoimmune process taking place in the pancreatic islets and also by genetic markers. In the other, much more common class, type 2 diabetes, the cause is a combination of insulin resistance and an inadequate compensatory insulin secretory response. The basis of the derangement in carbohydrate, protein, and fat metabolism in diabetes is the insufficient action of insulin on target tissues. Deficient insulin action results from insufficient secretion of insulin and/or weakened tissue responses to insulin at points in the pathways of insulin action. Loss of first-phase insulin secretion and diminished second-phase secretion are the typical features of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM); and it is recognized that a reduction in the first-phase of insulin secretion is seen in impaired glucose tolerance and the initial stage of T2DM [5,6]. Symptoms of marked hyperglycemia consist of polydipsia, polyuria, loss of weight, and occasionally with polyphagia and blurred vision. Life-threatening acute complications of uncontrolled diabetes are non-ketotic hyperosmolar syndrome or hyperglycemia with ketoacidosis. Diabetes can be diagnosed by the presence of symptoms of diabetes and random plasma glucose concentration ≥200 mg/dl or fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dl on 2 occasions or 2 h plasma glucose during oral glucose tolerance test ≥200 mg/dl or glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) ≥6.5% [7].

Long-term complications of diabetes include nephropathy leading to renal failure; peripheral neuropathy with the hazard of foot ulcers, amputations, and Charcot joints; retinopathy with potential loss of vision and autonomic neuropathy leading to gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, and genitourinary symptoms, and sexual dysfunction. Diabetic patients have a greater incidence of atherosclerotic peripheral arterial, cardiovascular, and cerebrovascular disease. Abnormalities of lipoprotein metabolism and hypertension are frequently seen in patients with diabetes. The salient feature of diabetic nephropathy is albuminuria, which can be screened by the measurement of urine albumin to creatinine ratio in a random spot sample. It includes both microalbuminuria (30–299 mcg/mg creatinine) and macroalbuminuria (≥300 mcg/mg creatinine) [8]. The incidence of microalbuminuria is about 25.5% in T2DM patients [9].

The treatment of T2DM includes the use of various drugs in the first line of management which may result in a progressive decline in β cell function. Currently available oral antidiabetic drugs include insulin secretagogues, insulin sensitizers, and drugs acting by other mechanisms. They are sulfonylureas, meglitinides, biguanides, thiazolidinediones, and alpha-glucosidase inhibitors. They possess adverse effects such as weight gain and hypoglycemia (with sulfonylureas), edema (with thiazolidinediones), and lactic acidosis (with biguanides) and are restricted for use in renal and hepatic dysfunction. UKPD5 has demonstrated that there is a progressive β-cell failure despite treatment with the above drugs [10]. Hence, the perfect antidiabetic drug must delay or reverse the cell decline, which can be
used concomitantly with current drugs, with no additional or serious adverse drug reaction profile, thus minimizing long-term complications and mortality.

The study of incretin hormones and entero-insular axis resulted in the discovery of two groups of antidiabetic agents - the glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogs and the dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibitors [11]. Oral delivery of glucose produces a greater release of insulin as compared to intravenous glucose. Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and GLP-1 are released from the intestines and enhance glucose-dependent insulin secretion. These hormones are named incretins. GLP-1 considerably augments glucose-dependent insulin secretion and subsequently has become a striking target for therapeutic development into type 2 DM [12]. GLP-1 also reduces glucagon secretion, slows gastric emptying, and decreases appetite. Thus, it reduces postprandial glucose excursions and also induces weight loss. Counteracting these advantages, circulating GLP-1 is rapidly inactivated by the DPP-IV within 1–2 min [13]. Hence, the goal of therapy is to inactivate the DPP-IV enzyme, thus increasing endogenous GLP-1 levels. Various orally effective DPP-IV inhibitors include Sitagliptin, Vildagliptin, Saxagliptin, Linagliptin, and Teneligliptin, now available for therapy of type 2 diabetes in India.

Sitagliptin is the prototype and the first approved member of DPP-IV inhibitor class. It is a potent, highly selective, reversible, and competitive inhibitor of DPP-IV enzyme. It was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2006. It has a half-life of 1.24 h and is given as 100 mg tablet once daily. It can be used as monotherapy or add on to metformin/sulfonlureas/pioglitazone/insulin. Adverse effects are nasopharyngitis, headache, and hypoglycemia when used with sulfonylureas. Hence, while combining with a sulfonylurea, the dose of sulfonylurea should be reduced to 50% to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia. It has high patient compliance rate due to its advantage of once-daily dosing. Dose adjustment of Sitagliptin is required in moderate and severe renal impairment. Sitagliptin has many advantages over other DPP-IV inhibitors. Sitagliptin is well tolerated and can be given once daily in contrast to Vildagliptin, which may cause hepatotoxicity and is given twice daily. There are also no interactions with other drugs which are an advantage over Saxagliptin. It has been shown that chronic Sitagliptin treatment resolved the metabolic derangement, hypertriglyceridermia, inflammation, reduced the severity of the pancreatic endocrine, and exocrine lesions and corrected hypertension [14]. Hence, we chose Sitagliptin for our present study.

Objective
The objective of this study was to determine the pleiotropic effects of Sitagliptin as add-on therapy to the conventional antidiabetic drugs. Primary endpoints were a reduction in HbA1c and reduction of fasting and postprandial blood glucose. Secondary endpoints were a reduction of cholesterol levels, reduction of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, reduction of albuminuria, and reduction of body mass index (BMI).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Institutional Ethics Committee approved this study (Ethics clearance No. 566/IEC/2014), and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Patients with T2DM (age ≥18 years) whose HbA1c was >7% despite receiving education on diet and exercise and/or medications were enrolled in this study. The sample size was estimated as 140 using the formula, with the precision error of 5%, type 1 error of 5%, and 10% attrition [15]. The exclusion criteria were a history of hypersensitivity to any DPP-4 inhibitor, renal dysfunction (serum creatinine: male ≥1.5 mg/dl and female ≥1.3 mg/dl), serum triglyceride (TGL) levels >300 mg/dl, pregnancy, lactation, and females of childbearing potential. For the study subjects, Sitagliptin was given either as a new prescription or as an add-on to conventional antidiabetic drugs. Before entering the study, 9 patients had been treated only with diet and exercise. 54 patients had been receiving glimepiride, which was reduced to 2 mg/day or less on entry. Moreover, the dose of glimepiride was reduced to half or stopped when HbA1c was reduced by >0.5%

by the next visit. Metformin (n=72) and Pioglitazone (n=33) that patients had been taking before this study commenced, were continued throughout the study without any change in the dosing. 48 patients were taking Angiotensin II receptor blockers, and 10 patients were taking HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins); both of these were continued throughout the study without dose modification. Sitagliptin 100 mg was administered orally once daily for 6 months.

Clinical measurements
Physical examination (including measurement of blood pressure and BMI) and laboratory tests such as fasting and postprandial blood glucose, HbA1c, total cholesterol (TC), TGLs, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, very low-density lipoprotein, and urinary albumin creatinine ratio (ACR) were performed at the starting point, 3 months, and 6 months after starting treatment with Sitagliptin.

Plasma glucose estimation was done using glucose oxidase-peroxidase (POD) method. HbA1c estimation was done using high-performance liquid chromatography method. TC was estimated using cholesterol oxidase and POD enzymatic colorimetric method. TGL estimation was done by the enzymatic glycerol phosphate oxidase and POD method. High-density lipoprotein (HDL), cholesterol estimation was performed by direct immuno-inhibition method. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) were calculated using the formulae:

LDL = TC-HDL-(TGL/5) and VLDL = TGL/5.

Spot urinary ACR was estimated using immunoturbidimetry.

Baseline characteristics
A total of 140 patients were enrolled into this study, of whom 130 (51 males and 79 females) with a mean age of 53.8 years were successfully followed over 6 months of Sitagliptin treatment.

Fig 1: Study enrolment
Fig 2: Sex distribution
Mean BMI was 26.5±2.44 kg/m² and mean duration of diabetes was 9.5±4.8 years among these 130 patients at the beginning of the study.

Statistical methods
Statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. All data measurements were at least on an interval scale. Hence, the descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, and maximum values) as applicable were described using frequency tables. Paired t-test was used to test differences between mean values between three different time points taking two-points at a time. One-way ANOVA was employed to test for overall differences between all three time-points together. Box-plots were drawn to pictorially depict the scenarios of each variable at three different time points.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Sitagliptin on glycemic control
In our study, the mean reduction in fasting blood glucose between the three visits was 9.3 (Visit 1 vs. Visit 2), 7.6 (Visit 2 vs. Visit 3), and 16.5 (Visit 1 vs. Visit 3) which was statistically significant (p=0.029).

Table 1: Age distribution according to the sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>54.4±9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation

The mean reduction in postprandial blood glucose (PPBG) between the three visits was 10.7 (Visit 1 vs. Visit 2), 6.9 (Visit 2 vs. Visit 3), and 17.6 (Visit 1 vs. Visit 3) which was statistically insignificant (p=0.074). The mean reduction in HbA1c between the three visits was 0.3 (Visit 1 vs. Visit 2), 0.1 (Visit 2 vs. Visit 3), and 0.4 (Visit 1 vs. Visit 3) which was also statistically significant (p=0.016) (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

Our results were similar to those of previous studies reported in the United States of America and Japan [16,17]. Nathan et al. reported that the estimated decrease in HbA1clevels is 1–2% with metformin monotherapy, 1–2% with sulfonylureas, 0.5–1.4% with thiazolidinediones, 0.5–1% with meglitinides, 0.5–0.8% with α-glucosidase inhibitors, and 0.5%–0.8% with DPP-IV inhibitors [18].

However, metformin is associated with gastrointestinal side effects; sulfonylureas are associated with hypoglycemic episodes and thiazolidinediones with cardiac failure, which is not associated with the utilization of DPP-IV inhibitors [17,19].

Although mild side effects such as diarrhea were seen in 3 patients and 1 patient had nasopharyngitis, they were self-limiting and quite manageable. None of the patients experienced hypoglycemia with Sitagliptin.

Effects of Sitagliptin on lipid profile and blood pressure
In our study TGL, LDL, and TC progressively decreased between the visits; reduction in TGL was not statistically significant (p=0.14), whereas the reduction in TC and LDL was statistically significant (p=0.05 TC, p=0.008 LDL). Moreover, there was a statistically significant

Table 2: Effect of Sitagliptin on various parameters measured at baseline, 3rd month, and 6th month of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Visit 1 versus Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 1 versus Visit 3</th>
<th>Visit 2 versus Visit 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fasting blood glucose</td>
<td>202.6±9.21</td>
<td>Visit 1: 193.3±9.78, Visit 2: 186.1±9.54, Visit 3: 181.5±10.14</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Postprandial blood glucose</td>
<td>283.3±6.22</td>
<td>Visit 1: 272.6±6.19, Visit 2: 265.7±6.23, Visit 3: 262.4±6.34</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Glycated hemoglobin</td>
<td>9.5±1.27</td>
<td>Visit 1: 9.2±1.25, Visit 2: 8.9±1.34, Visit 3: 9.1±1.28</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>126.0±5.89</td>
<td>Visit 1: 125.4±5.6, Visit 2: 123.4±5.44, Visit 3: 123.8±5.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>81.5±4.23</td>
<td>Visit 1: 80.1±4.09, Visit 2: 79.8±4.18, Visit 3: 79.6±4.20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>26.5±2.44</td>
<td>Visit 1: 26.4±2.47, Visit 2: 26.2±2.49, Visit 3: 25.9±2.51</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Urine albumin in creatinine ratio</td>
<td>159.2±12.45</td>
<td>Visit 1: 135±11.08, Visit 2: 114±9.98, Visit 3: 107±9.85</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>178.7±34.65</td>
<td>Visit 1: 173.1±32.89, Visit 2: 168.6±32.56, Visit 3: 165.2±32.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>145.1±9.91</td>
<td>Visit 1: 135.5±7.42, Visit 2: 127.8±6.50, Visit 3: 126.4±7.58</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>High-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>31.7±7.76</td>
<td>Visit 1: 34.5±7.33, Visit 2: 37.1±7.58, Visit 3: 35.9±7.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>118.3±10.03</td>
<td>Visit 1: 111.8±10.93, Visit 2: 105.9±11.01, Visit 3: 103.7±10.89</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Very low-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>28.7±10.2</td>
<td>Visit 1: 26.8±9.5, Visit 2: 25.2±9.14, Visit 3: 23.8±8.9</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation

Fig. 3: Box-plot showing serial changes at baseline, 3rd month, and 6th month (depicts median, 1st and 3rd quartile, minimum and maximum values with outliers identified). (a) Fasting plasma glucose (FPG). (b) Postprandial plasma glucose (PPBG). (c) Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c)
visits in our study (Fig. 5 and Table 2). GLP-1 demonstrates vascular effects through GLP-1 receptor signaling [22]. In addition, a metabolite of GLP-1 has vasodilator properties independent of the GLP-1 receptor in nitric oxide/cyclic guanosine monophosphate-dependent manner [22]. DPP-4 inhibitors amplify the levels of GLP-1, probably leading to vasodilation and reduction of blood pressure. GLP-1 also increases the excretion of sodium in the proximal renal tubule in insulin-resistant obese persons. Therefore, GLP-1-induced amplification in urinary sodium excretion might also contribute to the reduction of blood pressure after Sitagliptin treatment [23].

**Effect of Sitagliptin on albuminuria**

In our study, there was a statistically significant (p=0.005) reduction of albuminuria (urinary ACR) progressively during the three visits (Fig. 5 and Table 2). One of the mechanisms of albuminuria reduction might be the initiation of reasonable blood glucose control. Sitagliptin reduces glucose fluctuation by reducing fasting and PPBG which might inhibit vascular inflammation [24]. Increased active GLP-1 levels also could inhibit vascular inflammation which accounts for another mechanism. It has been reported that GLP-1 inhibits upregulation of VCAM-1 mRNA levels in the endothelial cells of blood vessels, induced by advanced glycation end products, at concentrations which Sitagliptin treatment has been shown to reach [25]. GLP-1 receptor was proven to be present on endothelial cells of the human umbilical vein, and its presence was confirmed in human glomerular endothelial cells also. Thus, Sitagliptin may exert an anti-inflammatory effect in the kidney through GLP-1 receptor by augmenting active GLP-1, causing the decrease of albuminuria. The anti-inflammatory effect of GLP-1 by the involvement of the cyclic AMP/Protein Kinase A pathway may also contribute to reducing albuminuria [26].

**Effect of Sitagliptin on BMI**

Although it has been proved in many studies that DPP-IV inhibitors are neutral on weight, our study showed there was a mild, statistically insignificant (p=0.07) reduction in BMI progressively (Fig. 5 and Table 2) [27].

**LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY**

Since this was a non-randomized study, the pleiotropic effects of Sitagliptin as add-on therapy were not clearly derived. To know the
unbiased effects of Sitagliptin, a randomized multicentric double-blind controlled study with Sitagliptin in one arm and placebo in another arm along with conventional antidiabetic drugs in both the groups can be done in the future. Furthermore, the drug is very expensive, which puts the affordability of all the patients into question.

CONCLUSION
The clinical use of the DPP-4 inhibitor Sitagliptin has beneficial effects not only on blood glucose control but also for improving blood pressure, lipid profile, and albuminuria in addition to a high rate of adherence to treatment.
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