COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ANTICANCER ACTIVITY OF ANGIOTENSIN RECEPTOR BLOCKERS - IRBESARTAN AND TELMISARTAN

PUNNAGAI K1, VIJAYBABU K2, GLORY JOSEPHINE I1, DARLING CHELLATHAI DAVID1
1Department of Pharmacology, Sree Balaji Medical College and Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. 2Department of Pharmacology, Annamalai Medical College and Hospital, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India. 

ABSTRACT

Objective: Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are effective hypertensive drugs. Reduction in risk of lung cancer with ARBs was proven in clinical studies. Telmisartan and irbesartan are the second-generation ARBs. This study screens the anticancer activity of these two drugs in a dose-dependent manner using A549 cell line.

Methods: Different concentrations of irbesartan and telmisartan were treated on A549 cells and the anticancer activity was evaluated through methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazolium [3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] cytotoxicity assay. The dot plot of the cytotoxicity results was used to extrapolate the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values. Microscopic changes in the cells post-treatment with these drugs were also recorded at ideal concentrations.

Results: A reduction in cell viability was noted in A549 cells with increasing concentration of the drug. The IC50 values for irbesartan and telmisartan were 31.1 µg and 15.6 µg, respectively. Microscopic observation of the cells shows more rounded and deformed dead cells on telmisartan- and irbesartan-treated cells when compared with the untreated control.

Conclusion: The results confirm the anticancer activity of both the drugs with telmisartan being more efficient. The anticancer activity could probably be due to the role of irbesartan and telmisartan in inhibiting mitogen-activated protein kinase cell survival pathway and local angiogenesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are antagonists to angiotensin II as it binds to angiotensin II receptor type 1 and blocks vasoconstriction, retention of sodium and water, cell proliferation, aldosterone release, and sympathetic nerve activation [1,2]. ARBs are safe approved drugs since the 1990s widely used to treat atherosclerosis, cardiovascular diseases, and blood pressure and have been included in commonly prescribed drug for the treatment of hypertension [3,4]. Losartan, candesartan, telmisartan, valsartan, and irbesartan are few of the approved ARBs. Telmisartan and irbesartan are metabolites classified under the second-generation ARBs with a protective effect on diabetic nephropathy [5]. Adding on telmisartan has also substantially proven neuroprotective effects by possessing anti-inflammatory properties, in stroke patients [6].

There were conflicting reports on the association of ARBs with cancer. Candesartan in heart failure assessment of reduction in mortality and morbidity study showed that there were more fatal cancers in patients administered candesartan than placebo [7]. Meta-analysis using randomized controlled trials performed by Sipahi et al. [8] reported association of unassuming increased risk of new cancer diagnosis and ARBs. Later, a cohort study observed an apparently protective association between the use of ARBs and lung cancer [9]. Another meta-analysis study reported decreased lung cancer risk with ARBs [10].

Lung cancer prevalence among newly diagnosed cases of cancer and cancer deaths constitutes 13% and 19%, respectively, worldwide [11]; 6.9% and 9.3%, respectively, in India [12]. Its prevalence and mortality are due to lack of early detection of the illness, which risks the patient’s life [13]. Due to the association of ARBs to lung cancer, the present study aims to demonstrate the anticancer activity of the two drugs telmisartan and irbesartan using methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay on cell lines. A lung cancer cell line human lung alveolar epithelial cells derived carcinoma cell line A549 was used for analysis. Telmisartan and irbesartan were added to A549 cells at different concentration to determine the effect of these drugs on cancer cell viability in a dose-dependent manner.

METHODS

Cell line and culture

A549 cell line was provided by the National Centre for Cell Sciences, Pune. The cells were cultured and maintained in cell culture media, minimal essential medium (MEM) composed with antibiotics such as streptomycin (100 µg/ml) and penicillin (100 U/ml), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and MEM were purchased from Cistron Laboratories (Chennai, India) and Hi-Media Laboratories (Mumbai, India), respectively. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), MTT, and trypsin were obtained from Sisco Research Laboratory Chemicals (Mumbai, India) and Hi-Media Laboratories (Mumbai, India), respectively.

Reagents

FBS and MEM were purchased from Cistron Laboratories (Chennai, India) and Hi-Media Laboratories (Mumbai, India), respectively. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), MTT, and trypsin were obtained from Sisco Research Laboratory Chemicals (Mumbai, India). Other reagents and chemicals were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (Mumbai).

MTT Cytotoxicity assay

The MTT assay for determining the tumor cell cytotoxicity of the two drugs was performed according to the method of Mosmann [14]. Briefly, cells (1×105/well) were plated in 24-well plates and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ atmosphere. On reaching confluence, the cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the medium was
changed. Increasing concentrations of both the drugs obtained through serial dilution were added to the different wells and were marked, respectively. One of the wells was treated only with the diluent which served as the control. Cell control and drug control were included in each assay. The culture plates were kept under incubation for 24 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The medium was separated from wells after incubation, then PBS with pH 7.4 was used for washing the cells. 100 µl/well of 0.5% MTT (5 mg/ml) was added to each well and the cell plate was kept under incubation for 4 h. After incubation, 1 ml of DMSO was added in all the wells. This helps in dissolving the insoluble crystalline formazan product for effective absorbance measurement. UV spectrophotometry was used to measure the absorbance at 570 nm; taking DMSO as blank, and the results were tabulated using the formula as follows:

\[
\text{Absorbance of Treated} = \text{Absorbance of Control} \times 100
\]

RESULTS

The absorbance results of MTT assay and the resultant cell viability percentage are tabulated in Table 1 for telmisartan and Table 2 for irbesartan.

Table 1: Effect of various concentrations of telmisartan on the cell viability of A549 cell line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Dilutions</th>
<th>Absorbance (O.D)</th>
<th>Cell viability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>23.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>29.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>36.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>41.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1:16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>45.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1:32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1:64</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>61.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cell control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.D: Optical density

Table 2: Effect of various concentrations of irbesartan on the cell viability of A549 cell line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Dilutions</th>
<th>Absorbance (O.D)</th>
<th>Cell viability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>44.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1:16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>51.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1:32</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>55.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1:64</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>58.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cell control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.D: Optical density

Fig. 1: Microscopic observation of the telmisartan-treated A549 cell line. Panel A represents the control untreated cells, the control panel shows more viable elongated slender healthy cells; Panel B represents treatment with 1000 µg/ml of the drug, this being the maximal drug concentration shows no viable cells in the field; Panel C represents treatment with 31.2 µg/ml of the drug, this shows very few cells on the whole in the field with more distorted and apoptotic morphology; and Panel D represents 15.6 µg/ml of the drug, being the half maximal inhibitory concentration, it shows the presence of few dead cells and few live cells

DISCUSSION

Our study proves the anticancer activity of these antihypertensive drugs. Both the drugs were able to establish a good cytotoxicity at lower concentrations indicating that more studies on this field will potentially establish an effective anticancer drug for treating lung cancers. The dot plots of the MTT assay reveal a steep decrease in the cell viability with increasing concentrations of both telmisartan and irbesartan. On extrapolating the IC50 values from the graph, it is shown that telmisartan is more cytotoxic than irbesartan. MTT cytotoxicity assay reveals an increase in cell death corresponding to an increase in the concentration of both irbesartan and telmisartan.

Effect of the drug telmisartan and irbesartan on the A549 cells was observed in a phase contrast microscope, and the results are presented in Fig. 1 (telmisartan) and Fig. 2 (irbesartan).

Previous studies on telmisartan and irbesartan have demonstrated their ability to block cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. This property of these drugs was found to be due to its ability to block extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation in aortic vascular smooth muscle [15]. ERK is one of the major mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signals that the cancer cells use for cell proliferation [17]. This could probably be the reason for the anticancer property noted in our study. More study in this line is required to demonstrate the involvement of MAPK signals in telmisartan- and irbesartan-induced anticancer activity. Further, the effect of irbesartan in blocking hypoxia-induced angiogenesis has also been reported [17].

The main reasons for cancer cell survival and proliferation are increased local angiogenesis [18,19], growth suppressor evasion, cell death inhibition, and increased activation of MAPK survival pathway which keeps the cells always in the proliferative phase.
thus promoting the cancerous property [20]. This uncontrolled cell growth, activation of invasion and metastasis, and host immunity avoidance are few of the other properties that retain the cancerous nature of these cells [21]. Nowadays, surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, hormones, and immunotherapy are the main approaches for the cancer treatment. Several problems are associated with the existing treatment methods which include limited efficacy, severe toxicity, and multidrug resistance [22]. This work is the first of its kind in demonstrating the anticancer activity of telmisartan and irbesartan in a dose-dependent manner. These antihypertensive drugs have been found to have inhibitory roles on the two key processes of cancer, i.e., angiogenesis and MAPK pathway and thus can stand as an effective anticancer drug. This will pave the way for generating novel anticancer drugs with the drugs existing in the market which are relatively less toxic and more effective. The in vitro screening of drugs for anticancer activity is technically simple, quick, cost-effective, and reproducible and provides information about the targets of anticancer action. However, this method has its own limitations as it is error prone and only few molecules reach the human clinical trials. Hence, we need to further extend the study in various in vitro methods and in vivo experimentation in animal models of cancer [23].

CONCLUSION

The study drugs ARBs telmisartan and irbesartan show anticancer activity on the A549 lung carcinoma cell lines. These study results establish a good anticancer activity at lower concentrations of these drugs indicating that more studies on this field are further needed to establish their molecular mechanism of action and to substitute them as effective adjuvant anticancer drugs for lung carcinoma and other cancers which might be safe, tolerable, and effective.
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Fig. 2: Microscopic observation of the effect of irbesartan on A549 cell line. Panel A represents the control untreated cells with more live cells showing a healthy morphology. Panel B represents treatment with 1000 µg/ml of the drug with a meager few dead cells on the field, Panel C represents treatment with 62.5 µg/ml of the drug showing extended dying cells and rounded dead cells in the field, and Panel D represents 31.2 µg/ml of the drug, it shows the presence of few dead cells and few live cells.


