EVALUATION OF NOOTROPIC ACTIVITY OF ACHYRANTHES ASPERA LEAVES EXTRACT IN WISTAR RATS
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INTRODUCTION

Memory is the power of our mind to store and process facts, impressions, habits, and skills [1]. Memory makes us capable to build a relationship and to learn and adapt from previous experiences, whereas learning is a process by which we acquire knowledge and modify our behavior accordingly. Memory and learning are interconnected processes controlled by the coordinated activity of molecules, synapses, cells, and neural network within the brain [2].

Cognitive function is a process of thought information processing view of an individual’s psychological functions. It involves attainment of information and thereby knowledge [3]. Cognitive abilities are brain-based skills we need to carry out any task from the simplest to the most complex. Cognitive function is negatively affected by aging, poor physical health, depression, low education, and socioeconomic status [4]. Brain functions involved in cognition are perception, attention, memory, motor skills, language, visual and spatial processing, and executive functions [5].

Thinking skills or intellectual abilities are used for perceptions, acquiring understanding, and responding to information presented to a patient. Cognitive dysfunction is the loss of these abilities. This can affect person’s thought, memories, and reasoning capabilities [6]. Most severe form of cognitive dysfunction is commonly shown in Alzheimer’s, chronic fatigue syndrome, multiple sclerosis, schizophrenia, etc. Some of the cognitive dysfunctions are temporary and can improve over time as the disease or disorder begins to improve or can worsen without improvement at all [7,8].

Achyranthes aspera (AA) is widely used as a nootropic agent in traditional medicine not many studies have been done in this regard [9]. AA belongs to Amaranthaceae family and is commonly known in English as rough chaff tree. AA is a herb with a woody base which grows to 2 m height. The leaves are thick, ovate, or rounded [10]. AA is commonly found at wasteland and as a roadside weed throughout India. Its roots, shoots, and leaves are commonly used in traditional medicine for various ailments [11]. Studies have reported that AA has antiparasitic, hypoglycemic, hepatoprotective, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, nephroprotective, antidepressant, and antioxidant properties [12-15]. Phytochemical analysis of AA has reported the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, oleanolic acid, and ecodyestone [16].

METHODS

Preparation of AA hydroethanolic extract

The leaves were collected and identified by a botanist. They were shade dried and coarsely powdered. This powder was extracted with 90% ethanol in a Soxhlet apparatus. The extract was evaporated using rotary evaporator and administered to the rats. The yield of the extract was 12%.

Animals

Wistar albino rats of female sex weighing about 150–200 g were used for the study. The animals were procured from animal house, KSHEMA. They were housed in polypropylene cages and maintained under standard temperature conditions. They were given food pellets and water ad libitum. Animal ethics committee approval was taken before the study. This study was carried out with the approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, KSHEMA. The animals were divided into three groups, Group 1 - control, Group 2 received 200 mg/kg of AA p.o, and Group 3 received 400 mg/kg of AA p.o. The experimental period was for 4 weeks.

Experimental procedure

All animals were evaluated for spatial memory by elevated plus maze and radial arm maze 1 h after the last dose.

Assessment of learning and memory using elevated plus maze

The elevated plus maze consists of two open arms and two closed arms fixed to a central platform. The arms were opposite to each other, and the maze was elevated to a height of 50 cm. 1 h after the last dose, each rat was placed at the end of the open arm facing away from the
platform. Time taken by the animal to move from one end of the open arm into one of the closed arms with its four legs was recorded known as transfer latency. Retention of this memory was examined 24 h after the 1st day. The reduction in transfer latency can be attributed to the improvement of memory [17].

Assessment of learning and memory using radial arm maze
The radial arm maze consists of equally spaced eight arms extending from a central platform and was positioned 50 cm above the floor. Partially baited radial arm method was followed in this study where food cups located at the end of four arms were baited with food reinforcements (Kellogg’s moons and stars). The experiments were carried out in a well light room with distinct cues, which was constant throughout the experiment. The animals were kept on a restricted diet, and the body weight was maintained at 85% of free-feeding weight. The rats were given acclimatization sessions on the maze 2 days before the beginning of training where each rat was allowed to explore the maze for 10 min [18].

During the acquisition trial, the rats were given two trials per day until they achieved the learning criteria. The maze was cleaned with alcohol after each trial and was baited with food. The rats were released into the center of the maze and allowed free choice. When the rats reached the end of the baited arm or ate the bait, an arm choice was recorded and the time taken for the acquisition was noted. A reference memory error (RME) marks an entry into an unbaited arm and working memory error was a reentry into the arm. Training was continued till the animal attained the criteria of 80% correct choices. A retention trial was conducted 10 days after the learning trial and percentage correct choices, reference and working memory errors were recorded [19].

Statistics
Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out and statistical comparisons among groups were performed by Tukey’s test. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
The AA-treated group reveals a significant increase in the percentage of the correct choices compared to the control groups (p<0.001, whereas no significant difference was found between the two treated groups. Treated rats reached a criterion of 80% correct choices after 14 trials on the 7th day of training, they reached a value of 87.37±11.24 and 84.43±5.06% of correct choices, respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

The total time required for acquisition
The total time required to complete the task was also significantly less in the treated group (p<0.001). By the final day of training, average latencies were <10 s. No rat made an arm entry error on the final day of acquisition. Performances improved over sessions, but the effect was considerably more for the treated group (Fig. 2).

The rats in treated group showed fewer RMEs and working memory errors compared to control from the 1st to 9th day. For AA-200 group showed no RME on day 8 and day 9 (p<0.001) (Figs. 3 and 4).

| Table 1: Effect of Achyranthes aspera on the behavior of rats in elevated plus maze |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Group | Transfer latency on the 14th day | Transfer latency after 24 h |
| Control | 55±1.9 | 25±1.1 |
| AA200 | 46.25±2.18 | 18.25±3.53a |
| AA400 | 54.5±5.96 | 21.25±3.48 |

Data expressed as mean±SD, *p<0.001 when compared to control rats. n=6, AA 200: Achyranthes aspera 200 mg/kg, AA 400: Achyranthes aspera 400 mg/kg, SD: Standard deviation

Fig. 1: Percentage of correct choices in partially baited radial arm maze. Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation, n=6

Fig. 2: Time taken for acquisition in partially baited radial arm maze. Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation. n=6

Fig. 3: Working memory errors in partially baited radial arm maze. Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation, n=6

DISCUSSION
In this study, nootropic activity of AA was evaluated by elevated plus maze and radial arm maze. Both elevated plus maze and radial arm maze clearly demonstrated the improvement in learning and memory.

Elevated plus maze serves as an exteroceptive model to evaluate learning and memory. Transfer latency is defined as the time taken by the rat to move from open arm to closed arm. Reduced transfer latency indicates memory improvement [20]. AA extract given for 4 weeks showed improvement in memory compared to control groups.
The radial arm maze is a standard and well-validated test of spatial learning and memory. The previous studies of radial arm maze behavior in rodents reports, working errors are regarded as “short-term” memory deficits and reference errors have been regarded as evidence of “long-term” memory deficits [21].

In this study, fewer sessions were required to reach criterion performance for the treated rats than the control group. The rats in the treated group showed more progress in the selection of correct choices compared to the control group from the 1st to 9th day. The rats treated with AA 200 mg/kg showed better learning curve, made more correct choices, fewer RME, and lesser time is taken for acquisition compared to AA 400 mg/kg in radial arm maze and decrease in transfer latency in elevated plus maze.

In silico guided nootropic prediction of AA plant has been reported in an earlier study and confirmed in vivo using radial arm maze, passive shock avoidance, and novel object recognition tests in mice [22].

The protective effects of AA extract can be explained by its antioxidant and apoptotic effects reported in the previous studies [23].

To summarize, we demonstrated that AA extract significantly improves the working memory assessed by eight-arm radial maze and elevated plus maze. The findings from this study indicate that AA has protective effect on hippocampus-dependent spatial learning and memory. However, further studies are required to know the molecular basis of beneficiary actions of AA.
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