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ABSTRACT

Objective: The present paper describes a simple, accurate, and precise reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method for rapid and simultaneous quantification of netupitant (NTP) and palonosetron (PLS) in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form.

Methods: The chromatographic separation was achieved on Luna C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µ). Mobile phase contained a mixture of 0.1% orthophosphoric acid and acetonitrile in the ratio of 60:40 v/v, flow rate 1.0 ml/min, and ultraviolet detection at 222 nm.

Results: The proposed method shows a good linearity in the concentration range of 60–900 µg/ml for NTP and 0.1–1.5 µg/ml for PLS under optimized conditions. All the precision and recovery results are in between 98 and 102%. In the entire robustness conditions, percentage of relative standard deviation is <2.0%. Degradation has minimum effect in stress condition and solutions are stable up to 24 h. This method is validated different parameters such as precision, linearity, accuracy, limit of detection, limit of quantification, ruggedness, robustness, and forced degradation study were determined according to the International Conference of Harmonization (ICH) Q2B guidelines.

Conclusion: All the parameters of validation were found to be within the acceptance range of ICH guidelines. Since there is no HPLC method reported in the literature for the estimation of NTP and PLS in pharmaceutical dosage forms, there is a need to develop quantitative methods under different conditions to achieve improvement in sensitivity, selectivity, etc. Hence, the author has attempted to develop a validation and forced degradation study for simultaneous quantification of NTP and PLS.
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INTRODUCTION


The review of literature revealed that several analytical methods have been reported for NTP and PLS in spectrophotometry, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), high-performance thin-layer chromatography [14-22] individually, and in the combination. To date, there are no reports for stability-indicating simultaneous estimation and forced degradation study of NTP and PLS.

METHODS

Instrumentation

The analysis was performed on Water Alliance e-2695 chromatographic system equipped with a quaternary pump and photodiode array detector (PDA)-2996. Chromatographic software empower-2.0 was used for data collection.

Chemicals and reagents

Acetonitrile (HPLC grade), orthophosphoric acid (OPA) (HPLC grade), and Water (HPLC grade) were purchased from Merck (India) Ltd., Worli, Mumbai, India. Active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) of NTP and PLS reference standards were produced from Supriya Lifescience, Mumbai, India.

Chromatographic conditions

Chromatographic analysis was done using isocratic elution, mobile phase in the ratio of acetonitrile:buffer (0.1% OPA) (40:60 v/v) was filtered through 0.45 µ membrane filter paper. The flow rate of the mobile phase was monitored at 1.0 ml/min and eluents were detected at 222 nm. By injecting the volume 10 µl with a run time 10 min.

Selection of wavelength

Using PDA detector, the absorption spectra of the solution of two drugs are scanned in the ultraviolet region of 200–400 nm spectra shown in Fig. 1. The spectra of the NTP and PLS shown at different λmax, namely 245.0 and 273.3 nm, respectively. By overlay of the two spectra combined at 222 nm was selected as detection wavelength for HPLC chromatographic method.

Preparation of standard solution

600 mg of NTP working standard taken into a 100 ml volumetric flask. Add 70 ml of mobile phase sonicated for 20 min to dissolve makeup to the mark with mobile phase. 10 mg of PLS working standard taken into a 10 ml volumetric flask. Add 7 ml of mobile phase sonicated for 20 min to dissolve makeup to the
Preparation of sample solution
Weigh 20 tablets and take the one tablet equivalent weight. Crush the 20 tablets into powder form, take 640 mg of sample into a 100 ml volumetric flask, and add 70 ml mobile phase sonicated for 30 min after that make up to the mark with mobile phase.

Validation
System suitability
As per the test method, the standard solutions were prepared and injected into HPLC system from which the evaluated system suitability parameters are found to be within the limits.

Linearity
The ability of the method to produce results those are directly or indirectly proportional to the concentration of the analyte in samples within the limits.

Accuracy
The closeness of results was obtained by a method to the true value. It is a measure of the exactness of the method.

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)
The LOD and LOQ for each analyte were determined based on a signal-to-noise concept, as the lowest concentration at which signal-to-noise ratio between 3 or 2:1 and 10:1, respectively, with defined precision and accuracy under the given experimental conditions.

Robustness
Robustness of the method was studied by slightly changes in experimental conditions such as flow rate and organic composition. Robustness on performed same instrument different chromatographic conditions.

Ruggedness
Ruggedness of the method was studied using different source of analyst, instruments, and columns with same experimental conditions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

System suitability
600 µg/ml of NTP and 1.0 µg/ml of PLS was prepared and injected into system. The retention times of NTP and PLS were found to be 3.025 and 7.163 min, respectively. Resolution of the PLS was 11.28 from the NTP. The number of theoretical plate counts for NTP and PLS was 11,580 and 6878, respectively. Tailing factor for NTP and PLS was 0.68 and 0.84, respectively. All the parameters found to be within the limit (Fig. 2).

Linearity
Linearity of the method was evaluated by preparing a standard solution containing 600 µg/ml of NTP and 1.0 µg/ml of PLS (100% targeted level of the assay concentration). Sequential dilutions were performed to give solutions at 10, 25, 50, 100, 125, and 150% of the target concentrations. These were injected and peak areas used to plot calibration curves against the concentration. The correlation coefficient values of these three analyte were 0.999. The results are shown in Table 1 and Figs 3 and 4.

LOD and LOQ
LOD and LOQ minimum concentration level at which the analyte can be reliably detected, quantified using the standard formulas (3.3 times σ/s and 10 times σ/s for LOD and LOQ, respectively). LOD values for NTP and PLS were 1.5005 and 0.0026 µg/ml and s/n values are 3 and 5, respectively. LOQ values for NTP and PLS were 3.001 and 0.0052 µg/ml and s/n values are 23 and 27, respectively.

Precision
Method precision was investigated by the analysis of six separately prepared samples of the same batch. From this, six separate sample solutions were injected and the peak areas obtained used to calculate mean and percentage of relative standard deviation (%RSD) values. The present method was found to be precise as %RSD of the <2%, and also, the percentage assay values were closed to be 100%. The results are given in Table 2 and Fig. 3.
Accuracy
Accuracy was determined by recovery studies which were carried out in three different concentrations levels (50%, 100%, and 150%) APIs with concentration of 300, 600, and 900 µg/ml of NTP and 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 µg/ml of PLS were prepared. As per the test method, the test solution was injected three preparations each spike level and the assay was performed. The percentage of recovery values was found to be in the range of 100.08–100.24% of NTP and 100.38–100.65% of PLS. RSD values were found to be <2%. The results are given in Table 3.

Ruggedness
Ruggedness of the method was studied and showed that chromatographic patterns did not significantly change when different HPLC system, analyst, and column. The value of %RSD was <2% and exhibits the ruggedness of the developed method.

Robustness
Robustness of the method found to be %RSD should be <2%. Slightly variations were done in the optimized method parameters such as flow rate (±20%) and organic content in mobile phase (±5%). The results are given in Table 4.

Stability
Stability of standard and sample solutions is studied initial to 24 h in stored RT and 2–8°C. These solutions are analyzed initial to 24 h at different time intervals and results were recorded. The % deviation should not be more than 5.0%. There are no effects in storage conditions for NTP and PLS drugs. The results are shown in Table 5.

Forced degradation
Forced degradation conditions such as acidic, basic, oxidative, reduction, thermal, hydrolysis, and photolytic stresses were attempted as per the International Conference of Harmonization (ICH) guidelines Q2B. There is an effect of assay results. The results are shown in Table 6.

CONCLUSION
This method described the quantification of NTP and PLS in bulk and pharmaceutical formulation as per the ICH guidelines. The developed method was found to be accurate, precise, linear, and reliable. These two drugs are novel and strong discussion on developed method their validation. The advantage lies in the simplicity of sample preparation and economically fewer reagents were used. In addition, two compounds are eluted within 10 min. The proposed HPLC method was suitable resolution to precise quantification of the compounds. Statistical analysis of the experimental result indicates that the precision and reproducibility data are satisfactory. The developed chromatographic method can be effectively applied for routine analysis in drug research.
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**Table 1: Linearity study results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Linearity range</th>
<th>Equation of calibration curve</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>60–900 µg/ml</td>
<td>Y=8392x+27701</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>0.1–1.5 µg/ml</td>
<td>Y=3088899x+158</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NTP: Netupitant, PLS: Palonosetron

**Table 2: Method precision results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Amount present</th>
<th>% assay as is (mean)</th>
<th>%RSD of assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>600 µg/ml</td>
<td>100.26</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>1.0 µg/ml</td>
<td>100.05</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NTP: Netupitant, %RSD: Percentage of relative standard deviation, PLS: Palonosetron

**Table 3: Accuracy (recovery) study results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of target conc.</th>
<th>NTP (%recovery)</th>
<th>NTP (%RSD)</th>
<th>PLS (%recovery)</th>
<th>PLS (%RSD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>100.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>100.35</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>100.68</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>100.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>100.51</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NTP: Netupitant, %RSD: Percentage of relative standard deviation, PLS: Palonosetron

**Table 4: Robustness results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug name</th>
<th>Flow plus (1.2 ml/min) (%RSD)</th>
<th>Flow minus (0.8 ml/min) (%RSD)</th>
<th>Organic plus (45:55) (%RSD)</th>
<th>Organic minus (35:65) (%RSD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NTP: Netupitant, %RSD: Percentage of relative standard deviation, PLS: Palonosetron
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Fig. 2: Standard chromatogram
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