INTRODUCTION

The production of shrimp waste from shrimp processing industries and restaurants has increased dramatically in recent years. In Indonesia, the amount of shrimp exported from Indonesia increased up to 8.5%. However, the shrimp is usually exported after peeling, with head and shrimp shells are left as a waste. Moreover, the waste itself constitute about 70–80% of the landed catch [1]. Several methods have been used to reduce the waste of the crustacean, especially shrimp such as ocean dumping, incineration, or disposal to landfill sites. In recent years, techniques to utilize the biopolymer waste including shrimp have been developed. Shellfish wastes are mainly protein and chitin. The shrimp waste contains 8–10% chitin concentration, hence, increasing the development on how to separate this compound for better function [2].

Chitin is a polysaccharide which can be further processed into chitosan and glucosamine. Glucosamine is known to maintain strength, flexibility, and elasticity of tissues such as cartilage, mucous membranes, and synovial fluid which then used to treated symptoms of osteoarthritus and having properties of anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, and anticancer effects [3]. Previous research stated that the use of glucosamine combined with chondroitin sulfate is an effective treatment for osteoarthritus knee [4].

Glucosamine is also reported as one of the componets in the supplement consumed by people who undergo warfarin therapy [5]. Glucosamine can be found naturally in human body mainly in cartilage and present in three forms which are D-glucosamine, N-acetyl glucosamine, and glucosamine hydrochloride. Glucosamine hydrochloride produced from chemical reaction of acid hydrolysis of chitin while D-glucosamine and N-acetyl glucosamine are produced by the help of microorganisms through fermentation or DNA recombinants [6].

Chemical treatment is a popular method to produce glucosamine; however, a big concern came across in this method because concentrated acid waste is produced and exposed to the environment [7]. Furthermore, chemically produced glucosamine usually tastes bitter due to these residual substances [8]. Some researchers have developed fermentation process of glucosamine production using the crude enzyme of the chitinolytic bacteria such as Escherichia coli. Chitinase is an enzyme which catalyzes the degradation of chitin to its oligomers (chito-oligosaccharides) and monomers (N-acetyl glucosamine) [9]. Due to its high cost to extract and produce its crude enzyme, fermentation process using its own bacteria rather than using the enzyme might be developed and there are still a few researches regarding the fermentation process and factors that influence the glucosamine produced.

In nature, bacteria produce several chitinases that can hydrolyze different form of chitin [10]. Serratia marcescens is one of the chitinolytic bacteria which can be used for producing glucosamine. S. marcescens itself can be found many in the soil and in the water [11]. The chitinase enzyme activity of Serratia spp. has been analyzed including its production and activity affected by several factors such as pH and temperature. Thus, this research was aimed to determine the optimum condition of pH, temperature, and fermentation time for S. marcescens to produce optimum amount of N-acetyl glucosamine.

METHODS

Preparation of stock culture and working culture

Stock culture of S. marcescens used in this research was obtained from Bandung Institute of Technology. The bacteria were then grown on slant nutrient agar. About 6 ml of agar was poured into the tubes and then sterilized using autoclave for 20 min at 121°C. After sterilization, tubes were transferred into the rack and placed with 20° angle then let it cool to create a slant. One loop of stock culture of S. marcescens from the culture tube was taken and streaked into the slant agar. The bacteria were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After 24 h, the agar and bacteria were stored at 4°C in refrigerator. Working culture was then
prepared by taking one loop of the bacteria from the nutrient agar and transferred it into tube filled with 10 ml of nutrient broth [12].

**Bacterial cell counting**

Bacterial cell counting was performed to determine the number of bacteria should be added during the fermentation. The bacteria cell was counted using hemocytometer. 1 ml of bacteria suspension in Nutrient Broth was taken and added with 1 ml of methylene blue as a color indicator. The methylene blue was diluted in ratio 1:5. The suspension was then mixed vigorously until homogenized. Afterward, 0.1 ml of the bacteria suspension was taken and put inside the hemocytometer [13]. Calculation of bacteria cells was done based on the following formula:

\[
\text{Colony count} \left( \frac{cfu}{ml} \right) = \frac{\text{average of cells in square } \times 25 \text{ square}}{x \times 10^3 \times \text{dilution factor}(df)}
\]

**Preparation of isolated chitin**

Tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) shell used in this research was obtained from PT. Lola Mina in Muara Baru, Jakarta, Indonesia. Approximately 40 kg of shrimp shell was cleaned thoroughly then sundried for 1–2 days. The shrimp shell powder was then further size reduced using dry blender and sieved using 35-mesh sieve shaker, resulting in a smooth shrimp shell powder [14]. Shrimp shell powder was then analyzed for its moisture content [15], ash content [15], and protein content using Bradford method [16].

Afterward, shrimp shell powder was then demineralized and deproteinized to obtain chitin. Shrimp shell powder was treated with 1 M HCl at 75°C for 2 h in the ratio of 1:10 (w/v). Then, shrimp shell powder was rinsed with water to remove the acid and dried in the oven at 60°C for 24 h. The dried, demineralized powder was then treated with 3.5% NaOH with ratio 1:10 (w/v) at 80°C for 2 h. After 2 h, the powder was then rinsed with water until the pH reached neutral and then dried in the oven at 60°C for 24 h. The dried powder obtained was isolated chitin powder. The isolated chitin was then analyzed for its moisture content [15], ash content [15], protein content [16], and degree of acetylation [18]. The determination of the degree of deacetylation of the isolated chitin produced was conducted by LIPI Physics Research Center. The degree of acetylation then was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Degree of acetylation} \% = \frac{A_{1655} \times 115}{A_{3450}}
\]

A1655 and A3450 represented the spectrum bands used, respectively, which are at 1655 cm\(^{-1}\) that represent the absorbance of the carboxyl group present in N-acetyl group and at 3450 cm\(^{-1}\) which represent the absorbance of NH\(_2\) group [19].

**Preparation of colloidal chitin**

It was made by mixing 100 g chitin powder with concentrated HCl with the ratio (w/v) of 1:12 and stirred for 1.5 h using magnetic stirrer until all the chitin powder had dissolved thoroughly. Afterward, about 500 ml of cold ethanol was added into the mixture. The precipitate was then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min and the solid was separated from its supernatant. Concentrated NaOH solution was added to the supernatant until the pH became neutral. Centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 10 min was then performed repetitively to obtain solid part, which was the colloidal chitin [20]. Colloidal chitin was then used as a part of the media used in the fermentation.

**Determination of chitinolytic activity of S. marcescens**

The chitinolytic activity of S. marcescens was determined by measuring the clear zone produced after addition of Bromresol purple for 0.1% into the selective media. The selective media were prepared according to Table 1. A 6 mm paper disc was dipped into S. marcescens containing Nutrient Broth and placed on the top of the selective media in the Petri dish. Afterward, plates were incubated at 37°C for 2 days and clear zone was measured at day 1 and day 2. Furthermore, chitinolytic activity was expressed as chitinolytic index [21]. The formula of chitinolytic index is as follows:

\[
\text{Chitinolytic index} = \frac{\text{Diameter of clear zone (mm)} - \text{diameter of colony (mm)}}{\text{Diameter of colony (mm)}}
\]

**Determination of optimum temperature for N-acetyl glucosamine production**

The fermentation conducted in this research was submerged fermentation. Fermentation media were prepared according to the formula shown in Table 2. The amount of bacteria inoculated into the media was based on the result from bacterial cell counting, i.e., 1 ml. The fermentation was then carried out at various temperatures, which are 20°C, 30°C, and 37°C for 6 days. After 6 days, the samples were heated at water bath for 45 min at 70°C to kill the bacteria and the liquid part of the sample was taken by filtering using Buchner filter. The liquid part obtained was N-acetyl glucosamine and it was further quantified using an Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-VIS) spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-2000) [22]. The experimental design used was completely randomized factorial design with one factor, i.e., temperature. There were three replications carried out in this experiment.

**Determination of optimum pH and incubation period for N-acetyl glucosamine production**

The optimum temperature obtained from previous result was then used to determine the optimum pH and incubation period. The fermentation media were prepared according to Table 2. However, the media were adjusted into various pH condition, i.e., pH 6, 7, and 8 by either adding NaOH 1 M or HCl 1 M, and the fermentation was carried out with several incubation periods, i.e., for 2, 4, 6, and 8 days. The N-acetyl glucosamine was then obtained by heating the sample at 70°C for 45 min to stop the fermentation process then was filtered using Buchner filter. The liquid part was N-acetyl glucosamine and analyzed using Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-VIS) spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-2000) [22]. The experimental design used was completely randomized factorial design with two factors, i.e., pH and incubation period. There were three replications carried out in this experiment.

**Quantification of N-acetyl glucosamine**

Before quantification, N-acetyl glucosamine standard curve was prepared. N-acetyl glucosamine standard was made into several concentrations which are 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000, and 6000 ppm. Before quantification, N-acetyl glucosamine standard curve was prepared. N-acetyl glucosamine standard was made into several concentrations which are 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000, and 6000 ppm. The samples of different concentration were added with 0.8% n-hydroxy and pH buffer 7. Afterward, the samples were heated for 15 min at 95°C. The samples were then measured for their absorbance using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-2000) [22]. The experimental design used was completely randomized factorial design with two factors, i.e., pH and incubation period. There were three replications carried out in this experiment.

**Table 1: Formulation for making selective media [18]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KH$_2$PO$_4$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$HPO$_4$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal chitin</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient agar</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Formula for making fermentation media [22]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount (w/v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH$_2$PO$_4$.7H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen source</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquadest</td>
<td>Until 50 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spectrophotometer at 324 nm. Based on the absorbance data obtained, standard curve was then prepared.

To measure the concentration of N-acetyl glucosamine obtained after the fermentation. The samples were diluted in the ratio of 1:5 (v/v). From the diluted sample, 4 ml was taken then added with 0.5 ml of 0.8% ninhydrin and 0.5 ml of pH buffer 7 to control the pH at neutral condition. The mixture was then homogenized using vortex and the samples were then heated for 15 min at 95°C. The samples were measured for their absorbance at 324 nm. The quantity of the N-acetyl glucosamine in the samples was calculated using equation formed from the standard curve [22].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of shrimp shell powder

There were several analyses performed to determine the characteristics of shrimp shell powder obtained from demineralization and deproteinization process. Results of analyses can be observed in Table 3.

The moisture content of the shrimp shell powder was determined as it affects the shelf life of the powder and yield of the chitin produced. Moisture content of the shrimp shell powder obtained is 8.55±0.35%. This result is slightly lower compared to moisture content of shrimp shell as stated in previous research, i.e., about 12.3±0.1% [23].

The initial ash content was important to see the completion of demineralization process of chitin. From Table 3, the ash content of the shrimp shell powder obtained is 40.7±0.54% which is higher than the previous researches done. Previous researches reported that the ash content of the shrimp shell is 26.6%, 27.09%, and 26.45%, respectively [23-25]. The different amount in the ash content can be affected by the feed of the shrimp itself and the environment of the shrimp.

The last property analyzed is protein content of the shrimp shell. The amount of initial protein content was needed to check the completion of deproteinization in the isolation of chitin. The protein content obtained from the analysis is 33.71±0.10%. The result of the protein content analysis has similar value to a previous research, i.e., about 32.5±0.1% [23].

Analysis of isolated chitin

Isolated chitin obtained from the shrimp shell powder was analyzed to see the quality of the chitin produced. The results can be shown in Table 4.

The moisture content of the isolated chitin produced is 5.29±0.11%. The result of moisture content of isolated chitin produced is only slightly different from result of a previous research, which was about 6.8% [17]. Lesser moisture content is favorable as moisture content might increase the ability of chitin to bind with water which can reduce the shelf life if produced commercially.

Ash content of isolated chitin was measured to determine completion of the demineralization process. Demineralization process is one of the most important steps in chitin purification [26]. Ash content of the isolated chitin in this research is 0.67±0.18%. Compared to a previous research, which is 7.8% [27], the isolated chitin produced has much less amount of ash content after demineralization process. The process of demineralization in another research was done by heating at 60°C for 30 min [27], while in this research, the demineralization process was done at 75°C for 2 h. Higher heating temperature at longer time might help the demineralization process to run faster. Thus, the isolated chitin in this research has less amount of ash.

Other than demineralization, isolated chitin produced also gone through deproteinization process. It is because chitin is naturally linked to proteins by glycosidic bonds; therefore, it is required to perform deproteinization to obtain chitin [28]. It was also stated that deproteinization of shrimp shell is a crucial step in chitin production [29]. The protein content of the isolated chitin is 1.93±0.01%. A previous research performed the deproteinization process using 2 N NaOH while heated at 50°C for 4 h [28]. The concentration of NaOH used is higher than what used in this research which is 3.5% NaOH and even the temperature for heating is lower, the process was done longer so that more protein was extracted.

Yield of the chitin isolated from the shrimp shell is 13.98±0.29%. This value is lesser than other result stated in the previous research which is 20–27% [29]. However, yield of chitin obtained in this research is slightly higher than another research, which stated that shrimp waste usually contains about 8–10% chitin [30]. Furthermore, one of the major concerns in chitin production that determines the quality of the final product was the degree of acetylation [31]. The degree of acetylation can be used to differentiate the chitin and chitosan. Chitin has degree of acetylation >50% and insoluble, whereas chitosan has less value and soluble [32]. The degree of acetylation of the isolated chitin obtained in this research is 92.2%, which shows that the compound obtained from deproteinization and demineralization process was indeed chitin, not chitosan. The result is also in accordance to the previous research which stated that the degree of acetylation of the chitin produced was 95% [33].

Bacteria cell counting

The bacteria count of S. marcescens reaches the value of 6.8×10⁷ CFU/ml. The bacteria were counted at the early stationary stage of S. marcescens which was after 50 h of incubation [34]. Early stationary stage was the stage of the bacteria to start producing chitinase enzyme [35]. The fermentation process started as soon as the bacterial counts reached 10⁶–10⁷ CFU/ml [36]. As from the result of counting, the cell bacteria per ml are 6.8×10⁷ CFU/ml, the amount of the bacteria suspension added to the fermentation media is 1 ml.

Chitinolytic index

The chitinolytic index was determined to ensure that Serratia marcescens used in this research possess the chitinolytic activity. Chitinolytic index was observed for 2 days, and the result of can be shown in Table 5.

The highest chitinolytic index of the bacteria was shown at 2 days of incubation which is 2.20±0.59. This result also confirms the ability of Serratia marcescens to produce chitinase.

Table 3: Characteristics of shrimp shell powder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Amount (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content</td>
<td>8.55±0.35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>40.7±0.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein content</td>
<td>33.71±0.10*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The analyses were done in three replications

Table 4: Characteristics of chitin powder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Amount (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content</td>
<td>5.29±0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>0.67±0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein content</td>
<td>1.93±0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>13.98±0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of acetylation</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The analyses were done in three replications

Table 5: Chitinolytic index of Serratia marcescens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Chitinolytic index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.967±0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.203±0.59*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The analyses were done in three replications
Effect of temperature on N-acetyl glucosamine production

The amount of N-acetyl glucosamine produced by the fermentation which done for 6 days at 3 different temperatures which are 20°C, 30°C, and 37°C. Incubation period of 6 days was chosen because the time needed for the *Serratia marcescens* to produce optimum amount of chitinase was after 144 h or 6 days of incubation [9]. From the statistical analysis, different temperatures gave significant effect on the production of N-acetyl glucosamine (p<0.05). The concentration of N-acetyl glucosamine produced in each temperature is shown in Fig. 1.

The highest amount of glucosamine produced was at 30°C, i.e., 29,188.33±1,169.17 mg/l. This result is in accordance with the previous research which stated that the optimum temperature for the chitinase enzyme to produce maximum amount of glucosamine was at 30°C [35]. This result is also supported by a previous research which stated that *S. marcescens* QMB1466 has the optimum temperature of 30°C to produce chitinase [37]. *S. marcescens* can live in wide range of temperature and it is proven that the N-acetyl glucosamine can still be produced at incubation temperature of 20°C and 37°C [38]. The second highest concentration of N-acetyl glucosamine produced was at 37°C, i.e., about 19,305.01±2,770.52 mg/l. At 20°C, *S. marcescens* produced the least amount of N-acetyl glucosamine, i.e. about 14,377.22±1,525.46 mg/l.

Effect of pH and incubation period on N-acetyl glucosamine production

The optimum temperature obtained from the previous stage of research, i.e., 30°C was then used to determine the optimum pH and incubation period to produce N-acetyl glucosamine. The pH range of 6, 7, and 8 at four different incubation periods of 2, 4, 6, and 8 days was used. Statistical analyses show that there was a significant effect of both pH and incubation period on N-acetyl glucosamine production (p<0.05). The interaction between the effect of pH and fermentation period to the amount of N-acetyl glucosamine produced can be observed in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 1: Effect of temperature on production of N-acetyl glucosamine. The different notations indicate a significant difference (p<0.05)](image)

![Fig. 2: Effect of pH and incubation period on production of N-acetyl glucosamine. The different notations indicate a significant difference (p<0.05)](image)
which, both conditions gave the highest amount of N-acetyl glucosamine produced, i.e., 41,166.11±4480.59 mg/l and 39,582.78±4020.52 mg/l respectively. However, fermentation period of 6 days is considered more efficient; therefore, fermentation at pH 8 for 6 days is considered as the optimum condition for N-acetyl glucosamine production by S. marcescens. The optimum incubation period for optimum chitinase production by Serratia marcescens was after 6 days of incubation [35], which in accordance to the result from this research. This result is also supported by a previous research which stated that the optimum activity and the optimum amount of chitinase enzyme produced by S. marcescens were produced at pH 7.9 [39].

The highest amount of N-acetyl glucosamine obtained from fermentation by S. marcescens in this research, i.e., 41,166.11±4480.59 mg/l, is lower compared to fermentation by E. coli, which was 110,000 mg/l [7].

Furthermore, in the optimum conditions of fermentation (pH media 8, temperature 30°C, 6 days of incubation), the yield of N-acetyl glucosamine obtained was about 66.6±7.02% in dry basis from the initial chitin produced from P. monodon shrimp shells. This yield is comparable to N-acetyl glucosamine yield obtained from chemical hydrolysis, with the highest yield reported was about 70% [40] and higher compared to N-acetyl glucosamine yield obtained from fermentation using Bacillus licheniformis, which was about 41% [41].

CONCLUSION
N-acetyl glucosamine could be produced by fermentation process using S. marcescens with submerged fermentation method. Temperature, pH, and incubation period have effect on the production of glucosamine. The optimum condition of the fermentation was at temperature of 30°C and pH 8 for 6 days with the amount of N-acetyl glucosamine produced was about 41,166.11±4480.59 mg/l.
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