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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the phytochemical, antioxidant activities, and α-amylase inhibition as say for methanolic extract of three ethnomedical plants, namely Persea americana Mill, Rubus ellipticus Sm, and Rhododendron arboresum Sm. collected from Arghakhanchi District of Nepal using in vitro studies.

Methods: Methanolic plant extracts were prepared by cold percolation method. Analysis of phytochemical constituents was carried out using standard methods. The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay was used to evaluate in vitro antioxidants activities. Furthermore, inhibition effect of extracts on α-amylase enzyme was carried out by using starch as a substrate, pancreatic α-amylase as the enzyme, and acarbose as standard.

Results: Phytochemical screening of methanolic extract of all three selected plants displayed the presence of different chemical constituents such as alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids, terpenoids, saponins, glycosides, and tannins. The results of DPPH assay revealed that R. ellipticus and R. arboreum were most active with half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values 33.41 μg/ml and 47.28 μg/ml, respectively. R. ellipticus was found to be effective toward α-amylase inhibition with IC_{50} values 269.94 μg/ml.

Conclusion: The preliminary results of this study have put forward R. ellipticus into promising herbs with good antioxidant activities and α-amylase inhibition potential although further studies are needed to assess its mechanism of action.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a thoughtful disease increasing at frightening rates around the world. Type II diabetes is a widespread metabolic disorder of fat and carbohydrate metabolism, which is totally connected with food that we ate [1]. Postprandial hyperglycemia (PPHG) is key factors for the development of diabetic complications such as cardiovascular disease, neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy [2-3]. The literature survey revealed that one of the best accepted therapeutic approaches for treating Type II diabetes mellitus is to lower PPHG by preventing the absorption of glucose through the inhibition of the α-glucosidase, and α-amylase enzymes. These two carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes in small intestine break oligosaccharides and disaccharides into monosaccharides, making them available for intestinal absorption to increase blood glucose level immediately after meal [4,5]. Unluckily, the inhibitors of the alpha-amylase enzyme designed as drugs so far, that is, acarbose and sulfonylureas are underwent with several side effects, as well as with financial cost [6,7].

It is well known fact that pancreatic cell damage initiated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) is root foundation of Type II diabetes. ROS also causes damage to cell components such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, which are responsible for causing oxidative stress in many diabetic patients [8]. It is identified that phytochemicals such as polyphenols and flavonoids act as chemopreventive agents against these ROS and also inhibit α-glucosidase, and α-amylase enzyme, helping to lower down the PPHG with no known side effects so far. These phytochemicals are commonly found in nuts, vegetables, fruits, tea, and medicinal plants [9]. Recently, many papers had been published exposing the chemistry relating the antidiabetic activity of plants [10-12].

Nepal is enrich with variable climate conditions, geographical variation and immense variety of plants with potential antidiabetic activities, but no efforts have been made to seek more safe and efficient antioxidant, α-amylase inhibitors from its natural sources so far. Many plants have been commonly used for diabetic treatment all around the world without their scientific evaluations. Therefore, it is urgent to identify and exploit the antioxidant amylase inhibitors from natural resources of Nepal. Thus, the present investigation was undertaken to make a comparative study of the ability to inhibit α-amylase activity, phytochemical constituents, and assessed their antioxidant properties of the selected plants, that is, Persea americana, Family: Lauraceae, Rhododendron arboreum (Family: Ericaceae), and Rubus ellipticus, belonging to family Rosaceae which are used traditionally for the treatment a number of illnesses including diabetic.

METHODS

Chemicals and reagent

The chemicals used in this study were methanol (Merck, Germany), porcine pancreatic α-amylase, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). All additional chemicals used in this research work were of the commercially available analytical grade.

Plant collection and extracts preparation

Leaves of P. americana, R. ellipticus, and Rhododendron arboresum were collected from Arghakhanchi District. All collected plants were identified by expert from the Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu. All collected plant materials were washed with tap water, dried in an oven and powdered. These powders were packed in separate labeled plastic bags and put away from sunlight in cool and dry place until used for the further experiment. The dried powdered were extracted with methanol by cold percolation method. The solvent
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was replaced every 24 h until extraction was completed. All extracts of each plant were combined and filtered through a porous plug of absorbable cotton. The rotary evaporator was used to concentrate filter at 60 °C temperature. Finally, the concentrated extracts were dried to yield a dry powder.

Phytochemical screening
Phytochemical screening is the method of finding the chief group of chemical constituents present in the plant extracts. The phytochemicals were analyzed by following the standard procedures put forward by Clulei [13]. The diverse phytochemicals in the extracts were identified by the color reaction with different reagents.

Free radical scavenging ability assay
We followed the adopted methods in our laboratory by Subba et al. 2014 to evaluate the antioxidant activity of test extracts [14,15].

Briefly, 1 ml each extracts solution comprising appropriate concentration was mixed with 1 ml, 0.4 mM DPPH in methanol. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 516 nm in a spectrophotometer immediately after incubation for 30 min in the dark. The DPPH free radical scavenging ability was then calculated using following formula thus:

% of free radical scavenging activity = \( \frac{A_b - A_t}{A_b} \times 100 \)

Where, \( A_b \) is the absorbance without samples extract and \( A_t \) is the absorbance of samples extract.

Alpha-amylase inhibition assay
Alpha-amylase inhibition assay was done using a standard protocol with minor variations as explain in brief [16]. The undigested starch due to enzyme inhibition was detected at 630 nm (blue, starch-iodine complex). The starch solution was ready by dissolving 200 mg starch in 25 ml of NaOH (0.4 M) through heating at 100 °C for 5 min, and it was left at room temperature to cool down. The pH of the cool solution was adjusted to 7.0, and finally, volume was made up 100 ml by adding distilled water.

Acarbose was used as a standard inhibitor for alpha-amylase enzyme. 400 μl of starch solution was preincubated at 37 °C for 5 min with 200 μl of acarbose or plant extract at varying concentrations (40, 80, 160, 320, 640, and 1000 μg/ml), followed by 200 μl of 50 mM α-amylase (20 mM phosphate buffer with 6.7 mM NaCl, pH 6.9), and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reactions were terminated by adding 800 μl of HCl (0.1 M). Then, 1000 μl of iodine reagent (2.5 mM) was added, and absorbance was measured at 630 nm. Each assay was carried out in triplicates. Percentage of inhibition was calculated using the formula:

% Inhibition = \( 1 - \frac{\text{Abs1}}{\text{Abs2}} \times 100 \)

Where, \( \text{Abs1} \) is the absorbance of the reaction mixture containing plant sample, starch, and α-amylase, \( \text{Abs2} \) is the absorbance of the reaction mixture of sample and starch, \( \text{Abs3} \) is the absorbance of the reaction mixture of starch and α-amylase, \( \text{Abs4} \) is the absorbance of reaction mixture containing starch only.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant collections
The tested plants on this research work were selected and collected on the basis of their used traditionally for the treatment a number of illnesses including diabetic (Table 1).

Phytochemical screening
The result obtained from phytochemical screening for each plant is tabulated as follows in Table 2. Preliminary phytochemical analysis of P. americana, R. ellipticus, and R. arboreum showed positive results for alkaloids, terpenoids, quinones, and flavonoids. These phytochemicals are reported for their various biological activities.

DPPH as an antioxidant
The methanol extractives of P. americana, R. ellipticus, and R. arboreum were evaluated for free radical scavenging activity. The graph of concentration against the corresponding percentage radical scavenging activity of different samples was plotted (Fig. 1) and concentration providing 50% inhibition was determined. Ascorbic acid was used as the standard in this experiment.

An half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)) value of P. americana, R. ellipticus, and Rhododendron arboreum was found 121.39±0.1333 μg/ml, 31±0.2641 μg/ml, and 38±0.15 μg/ml respectively. IC\(_{50}\) value of the standard, that is, ascorbic acid was found to be 22.17 μg/ml. Among the test plants, R. ellipticus has lower IC\(_{50}\) values followed by other R. arboreum, and P. americana, respectively.

The high antioxidant activity of the plant R. ellipticus is may be due to the phytochemicals such as quinones, flavonoids, terpenoids, and glycosides. These are the major phytochemicals which are known for their antioxidant behavior [17]. Flavonoids isolated from the leaves of R. arboreum were reported to have potent antioxidant property [18]. The result is well supported by previously reported the literature.

In vitro α-amylase inhibition study
The mode of α-amylase inhibition by the tested plant’s leaf extract was determined by the lowest IC\(_{50}\) according to the method given by Bernfeld [16]. Alpha-amylase inhibitory properties are presented in Fig. 2.

In the present study, among the medicinal plants tested, R. ellipticus leaves and R. arboreum leaves showed moderate inhibition of α-amylase activity and weak inhibition activity by P. americana on comparison with standard acarbose Table 3.

The aqueous leaves extracts of P. americana possess hypoglycemic activity [19]. Similarly, ether, ethanolic and aqueous extracts from R. ellipticus fruits (200 mg/kg) are reported for its antidiabetic activity on alloxan-induced diabetes and glucose tolerance test in rats [20]. Bhandary and Kawabata reported the α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of R. arboreum and isolated active compounds from it [21]. According to the previous studies, the phytochemicals such as alkaloids, terpenoids, and polyphenols are the major antioxidants. Similarly, the presence of flavonoids and tannins in the extracts is accountable for the antidiabetic activity of the plants [22].

Hence, here the observed α-amylase inhibitory activity of test plant extracts might be due to the presence of analogous phytoconstituents which were marked during phytochemical screening, and also due to the witnessed antioxidant potential of the tested plant’s extracts. Hence, this study here suggests R. ellipticus leaves as good antioxidant as well as good antidiabetic, and R. arboreum leaves as a good antioxidant but weak antidiabetic. Both plants have lower α-amylase inhibition IC\(_{50}\) value in comparison with acarbose so might be handler in controlling Type II diabetics than acarbose because its strong inhibitory activity toward α-amylase is credited for its side effects, that is, due to fermentation of excess undigested carbohydrates in the colon [23].

CONCLUSION

This study provides some scientific support for their traditional use for diabetes management and other ailments. The author also anticipated that antioxidant properties and inhibition of α-amylase by R. ellipticus, R. arboreum, and P. americana leaves extract as a probable mechanism for the antidiabetic action. Thus, it can be firm that use of these plant extracts will be greatly subsidized for effective management of Type II diabetes, but further experiments are necessary to find out whether the extract possesses antidiabetic activity under in vivo conditions. Here, it is concluded that further bioassay-guided fractionation approaches will be required on R. ellipticus and R. arboreum to identify the compounds responsible for their promising in vitro antidiabetic activity.
Fig. 1: Results of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl scavenging activities of the methanolic extracts of the plants

Table 1: Names of the plants, respective family, parts used, and their uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific names</th>
<th>Common nepali names</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Parts used</th>
<th>Medicinal uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persea americana</td>
<td>Ghew Phal</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Leprosy, fever, asthma, jaundice, and cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus ellipticus</td>
<td>Ainselu</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Bronchitis, ulcers, diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhododendron arboreum</td>
<td>Gurans</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Constipation, ringworm infection, and anti-inflammatory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results of phytochemical screening of different plant extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemicals</th>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Alkaloids</th>
<th>Flavonoids</th>
<th>Glycosides</th>
<th>Polyphenols</th>
<th>Terpenoids</th>
<th>Steroids</th>
<th>Carbohydrates</th>
<th>Saponins</th>
<th>Tannins</th>
<th>Quinones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persea americana</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus ellipticus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhododendron arboreum</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, (+)=Present and (-)=Absent
Table 3: IC_{50} value of extracts on inhibition of α-amylase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>IC_{50} (μg/ml) (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acarbose</td>
<td>144.56±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Persea americana</em></td>
<td>479.16±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rubus ellipticus</em></td>
<td>269.94±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>298.52±0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SD; Values are from triplicate readings. IC_{50}: Half maximal inhibitory concentration.

STATISTICS

All the analysis was carried out in triplicate, and the results are expressed as mean±SD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work was supported by a faculty research grant from University Grant Commission Nepal (UGC) (faculty research grants 2073–2075). B.S. is a recipient of Faculty research grant, and graciously acknowledges UGC-Nepal for providing Grant. The authors are thankful to the respected Prof. Dr. Megh Raj Pokhrel, former Head of the Central Department of Chemistry, Tribhuvan University, for providing laboratory services to conduct this research work. We would especially like to thank Central Department of Botany, for the identification of collected medicinal plants.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

B. Subba analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript, whereas Sanjay Gaire carried out the laboratory work in the guidance of Dr. Khagra Sharma. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All authors have none to declare.

REFERENCES