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ABSTRACT

Objective: Prolonged and persistent hyperglycemia in diabetes mellitus (DM) leads to a variety of vascular complications, including the retinal disorder of diabetic retinopathy (DR). The mechanism of fructose formation of sorbitol assisted by sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH) causing the loss of pericytes in the blood vessel is affected by epigenetic work comprised of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) methylation, histone acetylation, and microRNA-320. This study aimed to determine the correlation of DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and microRNA-320 with SDH in DR.

Methods: This case–control study was conducted at a tertiary general hospital from July 2014 to June 2016. Study subjects were type 2 DM patients with and without DR, over 40 years old, suffered from DM for > 10 years. DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and microRNA-320 were examined by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction, while SDH level examination was carried out by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Analyses were performed with independent t-test, Mann–Whitney, Spearman correlation, and multiple linear regression.

Results: With respect to SDH, DNA methylation showed no significant correlation so as histone acetylation, in contrary to microRNA-320 with a very strong negative correlation (r=−0.968, P < 0.005).

Conclusion: MicroRNA-320 was correlated to SDH in a manner of protective properties against the occurrence of DR. Involvement of DNA methylation and histone acetylation was perceptible in influencing SDH enzyme despite their insignificance if they took place individually.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic disease characterized by an elevated plasma glucose level or hyperglycemia due to impaired insulin secretion and/or insulin resistance. Prolonged and persistent hyperglycemia in DM leads to a variety of vascular complications, in which one of the microvascular complications is a major cause of vision problems worldwide, diabetic retinopathy (DR) [1‑3]. The number of DM sufferers worldwide in 2000 was around 171 million, and it is estimated that, by 2030, it will reach 366 million. Complication of DM aside from blindness is increased overall health financing. In United States (US), the cost for the treatment and prevention of DM and its complications is estimated to reach US$490 billion in 2030 [1,4,5].

Retinal vascular disorders occur as a result of hyperglycemia through the pathways of polyols, protein kinase C (PKC), advanced glycation end products (AGEs), hexosamine, and oxidative stress. Evasive reactive oxygen species (ROS) causes reduced pericytes, microaneurysms, exudates, edema, and bleeding around the retina, eventually leading to blindness [6‑8]. In addition to the loss of pericytes, there is also another role for ROS to damage retinal endothelial cells. Damage to retinal endothelial cells is also exacerbated by an increase in AGEs production due to hyperglycemia [6,9].

Blindness is not only related to the role of sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH) and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate co-factor but also other factors such as genetic or epigenetic. Genetics influence the level of methylation of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), acetylation of histones, and ribonucleic acid (RNA). Examination is suggested on the role of DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and microRNA-320 with SDH enzyme in type 2 DM patients with a complication of retinopathy as an early detection of DR to prevent permanent blindness. This study aimed to determine the correlation between DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and microRNA-320 with SDH in DR.

METHODS

This case–control study was carried out in the Eye Polyclinic of Vitrreoretina, Mohammad Hoesin General Hospital, Palembang, Indonesia, from July 2014 to June 2016. Consents from participants were legitimated by signing an informed consent. This study obtained ethical approval from the health research ethics committee (094/UN6. C1.3.2/KEPK/PN/2015). DM was defined as a condition with fasting plasma glucose level of > 120 mg/dl and postprandial of > 200 mg/dl and had endured from DM for > 5 year. Ophthalmoscopy findings (Neitz Instruments Co., Ltd., Japan) of microaneurysms, exudates, bleeding, and neovascularization were defined as DR.

Patients’ eligibility

The study subjects were DR patients at an Eye Polyclinic of Vitrreoretina Subdivision, aged over 40 years, who had experienced DM for > 10 years, as cases. Age- and gender-matched DM patients without non-DR (NDR) were selected as controls. Patients with leukemia retinopathy, retinitis pigmentosa, and chorioterinitis were excluded from the study.

Blood specimen preparation

As many as 5 ml of venous blood specimens was withdrawn and put into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes for DNA and RNA isolation and non-EDTA tubes for SDH enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). DNA methylation (Abcam plc., Cambridge UK) histone acetylation (Abcam plc., Cambridge, UK), and microRNA-320 were examined by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., California, USA), while SDH level examination (Cloud-Clone Corp., California, USA).
RESULTS

The study subjects were comprised of 40 DR and 40 NDR patients obtained consecutively. There were no significant differences present on age, gender, and blood pressure between DR and NDR groups as shown in Table 1. Nevertheless, the DR group exhibited slight older mean of age and larger number of participant with normal blood pressure (systolic blood pressure < 140 mmHg and diastolic < 90 mmHg) compared to NDR. Both groups of DR and NDR consisted of the same number of male and female participants. There were no significant differences in DNA methylation and histone acetylation between DR and NDR groups. Nevertheless, DR group exhibited lower DNA methylation and higher histone acetylation, whereas, for microRNA-320 and SDH, significant differences were observed between DR and NDR groups. MicroRNA-320 copy for DR was found to be lower compared to NDR group. DR group exhibited higher SDH level compared to NDR.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the incidence of DR was at mean age of 56.88 ± 6.35 years and the NDR group 54.30 ± 4.83 years. Other studies discovered the average age affected by DR which was between 40 and 65 years [10]. In a multicenter study in Mexican-American, it was found that the average age of 60–69 years suffered more from DR [2,3]. Of all type 2 DM patients, 23% suffers from non-proliferative DR after 5–13 years, 41% after 14–16 years, and 60% after 16 year [4,7,11–13].

In the gender of DR and NDR participants in this study, female dominated over male in number. Similar to a multicenter study in Mexican-American, those affected by DR were 37.3% of men and 62.7% of women [2,3]. On subjects with the age as in this study, high
Table 2: Correlation between DNA methylation, histone acetylation, microRNA-320, and SDH in DR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA methylation</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.345*</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.359*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone acetylation</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>0.393*</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.871*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MicroRNA-320</td>
<td>-0.968</td>
<td>&lt;0.005*</td>
<td>-0.727</td>
<td>&lt;0.005*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid, RNA: Ribonucleic acid, *Spearman correlation, *Multiple linear regression, *p < 0.05, SDH: Sorbitol dehydrogenase

possibility of female participants entering menopause was observed with hormonal factors aggravated the onset of retinopathy [14].

Less DR occurred at younger age without hypertension as observed in this study. The occurrence of DR depends on retinal blood vessel abnormalities. Pathogenesis of hypertensive retinopathy and DR is distinct. One is the damage to the peripheral vessels and the other is the damage to the endothelial wall and pericyte. A study discovered that any reduction in systolic blood pressure by 10 mmHg was equivalent to a reduced risk of retinopathy by 40% [7,11-13].

The mean of DNA methylation in DR group was lower compared to NDR. It was assumed that there was an inhibition of DNA methylation so that gene expression increased to form an enzyme, in this case, SDH. DNA methylation affects gene transcription in two ways. First, DNA methylation itself physically inhibits the binding of transcriptional proteins to genes. Second and perhaps more importantly, methylated DNA is bound by proteins known as methyl-CpG binding proteins. This protein then recruits additional proteins to the locus, such as histone deacetylase and other remodeling protein chromatin which can modify histones, thus forming inactive and less accessible chromatin for transcription processes [15,16]. The binding proteins also form complexes with proteins involved in histone deacetylation. Therefore, when DNA is methylated, the nearby histones will be acetylated and produced a compound inhibitory effect on transcription. Likewise, demethylated DNA does not attract deacetylation enzymes to the histones, thus allowing them to remain mobile and encouraging transcription [17,18].

On histone acetylation examination, the mean histone acetylation in DR group was greater compared to NDR. In another study of in vitro and in vivo, increased histone deacetylation and decreased histone acetyltransferase were exhibited, which participated in acetylation of the retina and capillary cells. Contrary to this, Kadiyala et al. as quoted in Kowhru et al. showed an increase in histone acetylation in diabetes [14]. Histone itself is divided into four, where the one used in this study was histone acetylation of H3. The results obtained in this study exhibited an increase in gene expression of SDH enzyme in DR group. Although, in this multivariate analysis, histone acetylation and DNA methylation did not possess significant roles in elevating SDH enzyme, their interaction with microRNA-320 would still lead to DR. DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and micro-RNA are non-coding factors that contribute to DR so that prevention of DR can be exerted by examining these factors. Further study on epigenetic factors such as histone phosphorylation and methylation, HbA1C, lipid levels, ROS, AGEs, and PKC are suggested to dissect risk factors of DR.

Limitations of this study comprised the uncollected data on subjects such as glycemic control, HbA1C, and the types of drugs used. This might contribute to differences in this study results. Another was the need for a third comparison in this case the population without diabetes to yield better analysis. The pathogenesis of DR was broadly complex, so this study required other epigenetic examinations such as histone methylation and histone phosphorylation. In addition, examinations of other enzymes simultaneously such as AGES, PKC, and ROS were to obtain more accurate results. Because the processes in DR were multi-factorial, further studies are in necessity to obtain exact patterns in DR pathogenesis.

CONCLUSION

MicroRNA-320 was correlated to SDH in a manner of protective properties against the occurrence of DR. Involvement of DNA methylation and histone acetylation were perceptible in influencing SDH enzyme despite their insignificance if they took place individually.
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