INTRODUCTION

Free radicals or reactive oxygen species (ROS) are highly unstable molecules produced during normal cellular metabolism by living organisms [1]. Imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants is termed as "oxidative stress" [2]. Oxidative stress is the predominant predisposing factors of diseases such as cancer, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and neurodegenerative disorders. Hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide are the major free radicals which are involved in the development of autoimmune disorders such as RA and systemic lupus erythematosus [3]. RA is a chronic and systemic autoimmune joint disease that is characterized by non-specific inflammation of peripheral joints and destruction of cartilage and bone with resultant disability [4]. RA affects 0.5%-1% of adults in industrialized countries. Its incidence and prevalence are higher in women than in men, and in older adults than in younger ones [5,6]. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-1β, and IL-6 are major proinflammatory cytokines that play a key role in the pathological process of RA [7]. Its typical pathological findings are synovial hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration, pannus formation, articular cartilage damage, bone erosion as well as extra-articular manifestations [8,9]. Joint swelling, joint pain, reduced ability to move the joint, redness of the skin around a joint, and morning stiffness are the symptoms of RA [5]. Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, and biologic drugs are the main agents used to treat RA in the current clinical trial. However, lack of optimum efficacy and potential safety concerns limit their long-term use as monotherapy in RA, and therefore, combination therapy is recommended [10,11].

Azathioprine constitutes a mainstay in the therapy of RA. Its suggested mechanisms include (i) inhibition of DNA synthesis, (ii) inhibition of clonal proliferation during the induction phase of the immune response, and (iii) suppression of cell-mediated and antibody-mediated immune reactions [12]. Pioglitazone, an oral antidiabetic agent, acts as a ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma. It suppresses the production of inflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases [13] and causes inhibition of proinflammatory gene expression [14]. Further, it causes induction of apoptosis in macrophages and T-lymphocytes which are vital in perpetuating the RA disease progress [15,16]. Some experiments have shown the efficacy of pioglitazone in preclinical arthritic models.

For both azathioprine and pioglitazone exert anti-inflammatory actions in inflamed joints through different mechanisms, it was assumed that azathioprine and pioglitazone combination therapy might have synergistic efficacy in patients with RA.

In the above context, the present study was conducted to explore add on the benefit of azathioprine and pioglitazone combination therapy over monotherapy with azathioprine or pioglitazone in animal models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Azathioprine was purchased from Rakshit Drugs Private Limited, Hyderabad. Pioglitazone was purchased from Hetero Drugs Limited, Hyderabad. Chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade. Complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) was procured from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, Mumbai.

Animals

Wistar albino rats (Approx. 150–200 g) were procured from Albino research, Hyderabad. The care and maintenance of the animals were carried out as per the approved guidelines of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (Registration number: 1175/PO/Re/S/08/CPSEA).

METHODS:

The antioxidant activity of test drugs and their combination was screened by H2O2 and nitric oxide scavenging assays. They were further evaluated for anti-arthritic activity using in vitro models such as protein denaturation and membrane stabilization and in vivo methods such as formaldehyde and complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA)-induced arthritis.

RESULTS:

The combination of test drugs showed better inhibition of free radicals in both H2O2 and nitric oxide scavenging assay than individual counterparts revealing its potential antioxidant activity. They also showed significant inhibition of protein denaturation and proliferation of the red blood cell in in vitro models. The test drugs showed significant inhibition of the paw volume in both the formaldehyde and CFA-induced arthritis along with reverting the altered biochemical parameters. These findings were corroborated by radiological and histopathological studies.

CONCLUSIONS:

Combination of azathioprine and pioglitazone exhibited better antioxidant and anti-arthritic effect than the individual drugs showing synergistic interaction between them.
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Methods

In vitro antioxidant assays
Hydrogen peroxide radical scavenging assay
A solution of hydrogen peroxide (40 mM) was prepared in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Drugs at the concentration of 10 mg/10 µL were added to 0.6 mL of H₂O₂ solution. The total volume was made up to 3 mL with phosphate buffer. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was recorded at 230 nm. The blank solution contained phosphate buffer without H₂O₂ [17].

Nitric oxide scavenging activity
Nitric oxide scavenging activity can be estimated using Griess-Illosvay reaction. Nitric oxide scavenging activity was measured using a spectrophotometer. Drug was prepared in DMSO, was added to different test tubes in varying concentrations sodium nitroprusside (5 mM) in phosphate buffer was added to each test tube to make volume up to 1.5 mL. Solutions were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Add 1.5 mL of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 0.1% naphthyl ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, and 3% phosphoric acid) was added to each test tube. The absorbance was measured immediately, at 546 nm and the percentage of scavenging activity was measured with reference to ascorbic acid as standard [18].

In vitro evaluation of anti-arthritic activity

Inhibition of protein denaturation method
The reaction mixture (0.5 mL) consisted of 0.45 mL bovine serum albumin (5% aqueous solution) and 0.05 mL of test drugs (100 and 200 µg/mL of final volume). pH was adjusted to 6.3 using a small amount of 1 N HCl. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 20 min and then heated at 57°C for 3 min. After cooling the samples, 2.5 mL phosphate buffer saline (pH 6.3) was added to each tube. Turbidity was measured spectrophotometrically at 660 nm. For control tests, 0.05 mL distilled water was used instead of test drug [19]. The percentage inhibition of protein denaturation was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\text{absorbance of test sample}}{\text{absorbance of control}}\right)
\]

Membrane stabilization method
Fresh rat blood was collected into a centrifuge tube containing 0.5 mL of 200 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. The tubes were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and washed thrice with an equal volume of normal saline. The volume of red blood cell (RBC) was measured and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and washed thrice with an equal volume of normal saline. The percentage inhibition of percentage inhibition was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Percent inhibition} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\text{absorbance of test sample}}{\text{absorbance of control}}\right)
\]

Hydronitrogen solution induced hemolysis
The reaction mixtures (4.5 mL) consisted of 2 mL hypotonic saline (0.05% NaCl), 1 mL of 0.15 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and 1 mL test solution (100 and 200 µg/mL of final volume) in normal saline. 0.5 mL of 10% rat RBC in normal saline was added. For control tests, 1 mL of isotonic saline was used instead of the test solution. The mixtures were incubated at 56°C for 30 min. The tubes were cooled under running tap water for 20 min. The mixtures were centrifuged and the optical density of the supernatants read at 560 nm [21]. The percent inhibition was calculated.

In vitro antioxidant assays

Hydrogen peroxide radical scavenging assay
The hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity was recorded in terms of percentage inhibition. It was observed that azathioprine and pioglitazone showed prominent inhibition of free radicals with increased concentrations.

Pioglitazone produced better antioxidant potential than azathioprine. The combination of azathioprine and pioglitazone exhibited prominent inhibition of hydrogen peroxide radicals, which indicate the synergistic effect of the test drugs. The effect of the combination was found to be comparable to that of standard ascorbic acid (Fig. 1).

The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of azathioprine and pioglitazone was found to be 81 and 70, respectively. The IC₅₀ of the combination was found to be 56 which was comparable with standard ascorbic acid (30) (Fig. 1).

Nitric oxide scavenging activity
The nitric oxide scavenging activity was recorded in terms of percentage inhibition. It was observed that azathioprine and pioglitazone have shown prominent inhibition of the free radicals.
The antioxidant property of pioglitazone was found to be better than that of azathioprine. The percentage inhibition was increased with increase in the concentration of the drug. The combination of azathioprine and pioglitazone exhibited significant inhibition of nitric oxide radicals which indicates the synergistic effect of the test drugs. The effect of the combination was comparable to that of standard ascorbic acid (Fig 2).

The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) of azathioprine and pioglitazone was found to be 88 and 68, respectively. The IC$_{50}$ of the combination was found to be 59 which was comparable with standard ascorbic acid (34) (Fig 2).

**In vitro evaluation of anti-arthritic activity**

**Inhibition of protein denaturation method**

In inhibition of protein denaturation, azathioprine at dose 100 and 200 µg/mL showed of 49.5% and 68% inhibition, respectively, whereas pioglitazone at dose 100 and 200 µg/mL showed of 38% and 58% inhibition, respectively. The combination of above two drugs at a dose of 100 and 200 µg/mL showed of 62.5% and 81.6% inhibition, respectively, which was better than that of standard indomethacin (Fig 3).

The percentage inhibition of azathioprine, pioglitazone, their combination, and indomethacin at 100 and 200 µg/mL in inhibition of protein denaturation method (Fig 3).

**Membrane stabilization method**

In membrane stabilization activity, azathioprine showed percent inhibition of 58.2% and 82.5% at dose 100 and 200 µg/mL, respectively, whereas pioglitazone at dose 100 and 200 µg/mL showed percent inhibition of 43.5% and 71.1%, respectively.

The combination of above two drugs at a dose of 100 and 200 µg/mL showed percent inhibition of 63.8% and 89.1%, respectively, which was better than that of standard indomethacin (Fig 4).

The percentage inhibition of azathioprine, pioglitazone, their combination, and indomethacin at 100 and 200 µg/mL in inhibition of membrane stabilization method (Fig 4).

**In vivo evaluation of anti-arthritic activity**

**Formaldehyde-induced arthritis**

Subplantar injection of formaldehyde on the 1st and 3rd days of the experiment resulted in significant increase in paw volumes in Group 2 on days 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 compared with mean paw volumes of untreated control group rats (Group I) (p<0.01). Azathioprine-treated arthritic rats (Group 3) shown a significant decrease in mean paw volumes compared with the arthritic control group (Group II) (p<0.01). Percent inhibition rates of paw volumes were 1.47%, 9.2%, 18.9%, 21%, and 22.5%, respectively.

Pioglitazone-treated arthritic rats (Group IV) show significant improvement in mean paw volumes on days 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 of the
experiment compared with arthritic control group (Group II) \( (p<0.05) \). Percent inhibition rates of paw volumes were 0.73%, 7.8%, 14.1%, 18.7%, and 20.5%, respectively.

Combination of above two drugs produced a better reduction of mean paw volumes than individual drugs \( (p<0.01) \). Percent inhibition rates of paw volumes were 4.4%, 12%, 22.2%, 26.1%, and 34%, respectively. The results indicate synergistic interaction between azathioprine and pioglitazone (Fig. 5).

Acute treatment with azathioprine (4.5 mg/kg), pioglitazone (1.35 mg/kg), their combination (4.5+1.35 mg/kg) and *\( p<0.05 \), **\( p<0.01 \) compared with normal, tested by ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. Data were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6) (Fig. 5).

**Complete Freund’s adjuvant-induced arthritis**

CFA-induced arthritis in experimental animals is one of the best and most widely used animal models since it has a close analogy to human rheumatoid disease. The results showed that the paw volume measured using plethysmometer gradually increased from the day of injection and reached its maximum (3.64 mL) on the 16th day in CFA-induced rats, whereas the azathioprine (4.5 mg/kg) and pioglitazone (1.35 mg/kg) showed significant decrease in edema volume to about 1.49 and 1.68 mL, respectively, on the 20th day as compared to CFA-induced edematous rats. Among the drugs azathioprine produced a relatively better effect than that of pioglitazone and the combination of these two drugs showed a better effect (67.2%) than that of individual drugs (Fig. 6).

Chronic treatment with azathioprine (4.5 mg/kg), pioglitazone (1.35 mg/kg), their combination (4.5+1.35 mg/kg) and *\( p<0.05 \), **\( p<0.01 \) compared with normal, tested by ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. Data were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6) (Fig. 6).

**Biochemical parameters**

SGOT levels measured on day 21 showed higher values in case of arthritic group (107.16±0.30) compared to the non-arthritic group (62.14±0.47). Treatment with azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly reduced the elevated SGOT levels (76±0.55 and 80±0.36) compared to arthritic control group. Azathioprine showed relatively better effect than pioglitazone. The combination of these two drugs showed a significantly better effect than that of individual drugs (67±0.44) \( (p<0.01) \).

There was a significant rise in SGPT levels of the arthritic group (88.16±0.3) compared to the normal group (32.5±0.42). Treatment with azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly reduced the elevated
SGPT levels (54±0.36 and 61.16±0.47) compared to arthritic control group. Azathioprine showed relatively better effect than pioglitazone. The combination of these two drugs exhibited a significantly better effect than that of individual drugs (41.6±0.4) (p<0.01).

Albumin levels measured on the day 21 significantly showed reduced values in the arthritic group (2.34±0.24) compared to the non-arthritic group (4.87±0.23). Treatment with azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly increased the albumin levels (3.82±0.18 and 4.13±0.21) compared to arthritic control group. Pioglitazone showed relatively better effect than azathioprine. The combination of these two drugs produced a significantly better effect than that of individual drugs (4.52±0.26).

Calcium levels were found to reduce significantly in the arthritic group (4.89±0.37) and compared to the non-arthritic group (11.39±0.2). Treatment with azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly increased the calcium levels (8.68±0.24 and 7.93±0.21) compared to arthritic control group. Azathioprine showed relatively better effect than pioglitazone. The combination of these two drugs showed a significantly better effect than that of individual drugs (9.61±0.51) (p<0.01).

Phosphorous levels were found to significantly reduce in the arthritic group (2.53±0.18) compared to the non-arthritic group (7.81±0.19). Treatment with azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly increased the phosphorous levels (6.02±0.19 and 5.38±0.24) compared to arthritic control group. Azathioprine showed relatively better effect than pioglitazone. The combination of these two drugs showed a significantly better effect than that of individual drugs (7.11±0.25) (p<0.01).

Rheumatoid factor was significantly elevated in the arthritic group (18±0.50) and least in the non-arthritic group (8.66±0.17). Treatment with azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly decreased the Rheumatoid Factor (RF) levels (10.4±0.39 and 12.25±0.22) compared to arthritic control group. Azathioprine showed relatively better effect than pioglitazone. The combination of these two drugs exhibited a significantly better effect than that of individual drugs (9.28±0.21) (p<0.01) (**p<0.01 compared with normal, tested by ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. Data were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6) (Figs. 7 and 8).

Radiological examinations
The improved anti-inflammatory efficacy of azathioprine in combination with pioglitazone was substantiated by radiological examination.
of the animals. Arthritic rats showed bone erosion representing bone destruction, mild-to-moderate cartilage damage. This may be attributed to chronic exposure of bones to proinflammatory chemical mediators such as TNF-α and IL-1, stimulating the enhanced production of proteolytic enzymes by chondrocytes resulting in degradation of cartilage. In case of azathioprine, pioglitazone, and their combination, these changes were observed to have normalized, and the effect of combination has protected the joint tissue better than that of individual drugs (Fig. 9).

**Histopathological studies**

There was dense lymphocyte infiltration in subcutaneous fat with focal cartilage erosion and foreign-body giant cell reaction in the disease control group. There was mild-to-moderate synovial cell hyperplasia with pannus formation, and no signs of cartilage erosion in animals treated with azathioprine and pioglitazone. There was no synovial cell hyperplasia, pannus formation, and cartilage erosion in animals treated with both azathioprine and pioglitazone indicating the better effect of combination (Fig. 10).

(a) Joint tissues of normal control rat. Absence of synovial hyperplasia, bone erosion, inflammation and cartilage destruction. (b) Joint tissues of disease control rat. Dense infiltration of lymphocytes in subcutaneous fat focal cartilage erosion and foreign-body giant cell reaction. (c) Joint tissues of azathioprine-treated group rat. Mild synovial hyperplasia with pannus formation but no signs of cartilage erosion. (d) Joint tissues of pioglitazone-treated group. Moderate synovial cell hyperplasia with pannus formation and foreign-body giant cell reaction without signs of cartilage erosion. (e) Joint tissues of azathioprine+pioglitazone-treated group. No synovial cell hyperplasia, pannus formation, and cartilage erosion within discriminate focal fat necrosis (Fig. 10).

**DISCUSSION**

ROS, as well as reactive nitrogen species, can damage basic articular constituents either directly or indirectly and lead to the clinical expression of inflammatory arthritis. Hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide are major free radicals implicated in the pathogenesis of RA [3]. Hence, the antioxidant potential of azathioprine, pioglitazone, and their combination was evaluated using hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide radical scavenging activity models.

Hydrogen peroxide, being a weak oxidizing agent, can inactivate few enzymes by oxidation of essential thiol (-SH) groups. H$_2$O$_2$ probably reacts with Fe$^{2+}$ and possibly Cu$^{2+}$ ions to form hydroxyl radical, which may produce many of its damaging effects [25]. Azathioprine, pioglitazone, and their combination showed prominent hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity. The H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging activity of azathioprine and pioglitazone might be attributed to the electron
The anti-arthritic activity of the test drugs was further depicted by their inhibition of paw inflammation, which is a key indicator of arthritis activity. The test drugs significantly inhibited paw inflammation, which might be due to their effect on inhibiting the release of inflammatory mediators. The combination of the two drugs produced a synergistic interaction, which might be due to the reinforcement of azathioprine action by pioglitazone.

Exposure of RBCs to injurious substances such as hypotonic medium, heat, methyl salicylate, or phenylhydrazine results in lysis of the membranes with subsequent hemolysis and oxidation of hemoglobin. The inhibition of hypotonicity and heat-induced RBC membrane lysis was taken as a measure of the mechanism of anti-inflammatory activity because RBC membranes are similar to lysosomal membrane components [29]. Both azathioprine and pioglitazone showed prominent inhibition of the hemolytic effect of the hypotonic solution and its consequent increased permeability caused by inflammatory mediators. Combination of the above two drugs produced significant and better membrane stabilization due to similarity in the prevention of hypotonic solution-induced hemolysis.

In the present study, the anti-arthritic activity of azathioprine, pioglitazone, and their combination was evaluated using two in vivo experimental models of arthritis, namely formaldehyde and CFA-induced arthritis.

Formaldehyde develops biphasic localized inflammation. In early phase (neurogenic phase), substance P is released, while in the late phase (Inflammatory phase), histamine, serotonin, bradykinin, and prostaglandins are produced, which results in pronounced vasodilation and permeability [30]. The results of the present study showed that azathioprine and pioglitazone subdued proliferative edematous reaction, which tenably could be due to the inhibition of the late phase involving the inflammatory mediators. The combination showed synergistic effect due to the prominent inhibitory effect on the release of inflammatory mediators by preventing activation of macrophage. Thus, to further confirm the activity of the test drugs, their efficacy in reducing joint inflammation in CFA-induced arthritis in rats was evaluated.

Adjuvant arthritis has been used as a model of subchronic or chronic inflammation in rats and is highly relevant to the study of pathophysiology and pharmacological control of inflammatory processes, as well as for the screening of anti-arthritic drugs [31,32]. CFA produces arthritis in two phases – an acute periarticular inflammation followed by a phase of bone involvement. It provokes joint swelling, synovial membrane inflammation, and cartilage destruction.

Administration of azathioprine and pioglitazone showed significant inhibition of paw inflammation, which might be due to their effect on the first phase of CFA-induced inflammation, i.e., activation of macrophages and the subsequent release of inflammatory mediators. Combination of the two drugs produced synergistic effect due to their combined inhibitory action on the release of inflammatory cytokines.

RA involves liver and kidney function impairment along with joint tissue damage. Under such conditions, there is an increase in serum levels of aminotransferases (SGOT and SGPT) due to the cellular release of these enzymes from affected tissues. Serum albumin acts as a negative acute phase indicator of arthritis both in rats and humans [33]. There is reduced calcium and phosphorous which might be due to decreased calciuria and tissue hypoxia due to increased Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) degradation. Hypertrophy and hyperplasia create a hypoxic environment in synovial joints, and this was improved significantly on azathioprine and pioglitazone treatment [34].

RA is usually characterized macroscopically by paw edema and a decrease in body weight. In vitro anti-arthritic activity of the azathioprine, pioglitazone, and their combination was carried out by the protein denaturation and membrane stabilization method.

Denaturation of protein is a kind of cellular response to various stimuli that influence homeostasis. Protein denaturation and macroglobulin formation are suggested to cause the protein to become autoantigenic, which initiates the immune response and produce biochemical changes in connective tissue which ultimately leads to RA [28]. In the present study, azathioprine and pioglitazone produced dose-dependent inhibition of protein denaturation and its subsequent macroglobulin formation and autoantigen production. The combination of the above two drugs produced synergistic interaction which might be due to the reinforcement of azathioprine action by pioglitazone.

NO reacts with O$_2^-$ radical to form peroxynitrite radicals (ONOO$^-$) that damages biomolecules such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids [26]. During the process of inflammation, cells of the immune system produce superoxide radicals by the help of Reduced Neutaminide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate (NADPH) oxidase, which plays an important role in the induction of vascular complications [27]. Azathioprine and pioglitazone significantly inhibit generation of NO and O$_2^-$ radicals in a dose-dependent manner. This effect of the test drugs might be due to their electron donating nature. The synergistic interaction observed with the combination of drugs in both models might be due to the combined electron donating nature of the two drugs.

RA is usually characterized macroscopically by paw edema and a decrease in body weight. In vitro anti-arthritic activity of the azathioprine, pioglitazone, and their combination was carried out by the protein denaturation and membrane stabilization method.
The activity of test compounds was further corroborated by radiological and histopathological studies. The possible synergistic interaction might be due to the inhibition of the release of matrix metalloproteinases by azathioprine and inhibition of inflammatory mediators by pioglitazone which together prominently alleviated erosion of cartilage and subsequent bone damage (Fig. 11).

Possible mechanism of synergistic interaction between azathioprine and pioglitazone (Fig. 11).

CONCLUSIONS

The combination of azathioprine and pioglitazone exhibited significant anti-arthritic activity than their counterparts, which might be due to their free radical scavenging activity and inhibitory effect on the release of inflammatory mediators. This study clearly highlights add on the benefit of combination therapy over monotherapy in the management of arthritis. This should be further evaluated clinically to prove its efficacy in humans, which can result in the development of a better remedy for the management of RA.
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