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INTRODUCTION

Stability plays an important role in the herbal drug development process. It explains several factors that affect the expiration dating of herbal drug products including the chemical and physical stability during the preclinical formulation stages, process development, packaging development, and post-marketing life. The evaluation of the physicochemical stability of a given herbal product requires an understanding of the chemical and physical properties of the drug substance. Lack of drug substance or drug product stability may affect the purity, potency, and safety of the drug product [1]. Instability may also lead to the formation of toxic degradants. If instability of an herbal drug product leads to these unwelcome effects on patients, it could also lead to expensive costs to manufacturers as they attempt to discover the reasons for instability and methods of minimizing them.

By employing proper guidelines, it is possible to generate a sound stability data of herbal products and predict their shelf-life, which will help in improving global acceptability of herbal products [2]. The International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guideline Q1A on stability testing of new drug substances suggests that the testing of those features which are susceptible to changes during storage and are likely to influence quality, safety, and efficacy must be done by validated stability-indicating methods. Stress testing should be carried out on a drug to establish its inherent stability characteristics and to support the suitability of the proposed analytical method. It also suggested that stress testing should include the effects of temperature, susceptibility across a wide range of pH values, as well as oxidative and photolytic conditions [3].

Forced degradation studies typically involve the exposure of representative sample of the herbal drug substance or herbal drug product to the relevant stress conditions of light, heat, humidity, acid/base hydrolysis, and oxidation [4,5]. The parent drug stability guidelines by the ICH (Q1AR) require that the stress testing of drug substance should include the effect of elevated temperature, humidity, light, oxidizing agents, as well as the susceptibility across a range of pH values [6]. These experiments play an important role in the herbal drug development process to facilitate: Stability-indicating method development, herbal drug formulation design, selection of storage conditions and packaging, and better understanding of the potential liabilities of the drug molecule chemistry.

Achillea millefolium L. (Yarrow) is a well-known medicinal plant, widely used in folk medicine against gastrointestinal disorders, lack of appetite [7]. The main active compounds in yarrow are flavonoids (apigenin [Fig. 1], luteolin [Fig. 2], rutin, and campeol) and essential oils (82 essential oil compounds have been identified). According to literature, the pharmacological effects are mainly due to the essential oil, phenolic compounds such as flavonoids and dicaffeoylquinic acids, and other sesquiterpene lactones. However, the phenolic compounds such as flavonoids and phenol carbonic acids are considered as one of the most important groups of pharmacologically active compounds present in Achillea species [8,9].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical and solvents

Apigenin and luteolin reference substances were procured from Natural Remedies, Bangalore, Methanol, trifluoroacetic acid, ammonium acetate, orthophosphoric acid, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrogen peroxide, perchloric acid, and sodium hydroxide were supplied by Qualigens Fine Chemicals and S.D. Fine chemicals.
Preparation of sample solution
About 50 mg of each extract was weighed and transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask. To this, 10 ml of mobile phase solution was added and sonicated for 10 min. The resulting solution was made up to 10 ml with acetonitrile [10,11]. These stock solutions were stored in light-resistant containers. Aliquots of apigenin and luteolin (1-5 µg/ml) were prepared in the mobile phase for the analysis.

Preparation of standard solution
The standard stock solution (1 mg/ml) of apigenin and luteolin was prepared using methanol. 10 mg of each apigenin and luteolin was taken and dissolved in 10 ml methanol (1 mg/ml solution). Depending on the extent of degradation observed, the studies were prolonged by certain variations in the concentrations of the degradation medium [16,17]. The studies were performed at room temperature, and in certain cases, it was extended to 24 h at room temperature.

Oxidative degradation
Degradation medium: Hydrogen peroxide (3%)
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with 3% hydrogen peroxide. The solution was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The samples were analyzed after 2 h. 1 ml of sample was diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. The samples were injected and the chromatograms were recorded. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h. The samples were further diluted and analyzed by the optimized chromatographic conditions.

Neutral degradation
Degradation medium: Sodium hydroxide (1 N)
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide. The solution was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The samples were analyzed after 2 h. 1 ml of sample was diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. The samples were injected and the chromatograms were recorded. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h.

Acid degradation
Degradation medium: HCl (1 N)
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with 0.1 N HCl. The solution was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The samples were analyzed after 2 h. 1 ml of sample was diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. The samples were injected and the chromatograms were recorded. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h.

Standard stock solution
About 10 mg of each apigenin and luteolin was dissolved in 10 ml methanol (1 mg/ml solution). Depending on the extent of degradation observed, the studies were prolonged by certain variations in the concentrations of the degradation medium [16,17]. The studies were performed at room temperature, and in certain cases, it was extended to 24 h at room temperature.

Method validation
The methods of analysis were validated as per the ICH guidelines [12,13] for parameters such as accuracy, linearity, precision, detection limit, quantitation limit, and robustness. The accuracy of the method was determined by calculating percentage recovery of apigenin and luteolin.

Water (high-performance liquid chromatography [HPLC] grade) was obtained from Mill-Q RO system. All the reagents and chemicals used were of HPLC and analytical grade.

Instrumentation
Chromatographic separation and quantitative determination were performed using a high-performance liquid chromatographic system, from Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with LC-10 A/VP solvent data station delivery system, an SPD M10 A ultraviolet (UV) detector, and LC-2010 and an HT autosampler with loop volume of 100 µL, and the class VP data station was used. Eu stationary phase Hibar Lichrospher C18 column (150 mm x 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5 µ). UV detector at wavelength of 269 nm was used for the separation of the herbal constituents such as apigenin and luteolin.

Preparation of sample solution
About 50 mg of each extract was weighed and transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask. To this, 10 ml of mobile phase solution was added and sonicated for 10 min. The resulting solution was made up to 50 ml with mobile phase and filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 42. Aliquots of the sample were prepared in the mobile phase. The standard and sample solutions were analyzed by the optimized chromatographic conditions and the chromatograms were recorded.

Preparation of standard solution
About 10 mg of each extract was weighed and transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask. To this, 10 ml of mobile phase solution was added and sonicated for 10 min. The resulting solution was made up to 50 ml with mobile phase and filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 42. About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask. To this, 10 ml of mobile phase solution was added and sonicated for 10 min. The resulting solution was made up to 10 ml with acetonitrile [10,11]. These stock solutions were stored in light-resistant containers. 1 ml aliquots of the sample were prepared in the mobile phase. The standard and sample solutions were analyzed by the optimized chromatographic conditions and the chromatograms were recorded.

Degradation medium: HCl (1 N)
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with 0.1 N HCl. The solution was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The samples were analyzed after 2 h. 1 ml of sample was diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. The samples were injected and the chromatograms were recorded. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h.

Degradation medium: Sodium hydroxide (1 N)
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide. The solution was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The samples were analyzed after 2 h. 1 ml of sample was diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. The samples were injected and the chromatograms were recorded. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h.

Degradation medium: HCl (1 N)
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with 0.1 N HCl. The solution was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The samples were analyzed after 2 h. 1 ml of sample was diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. The samples were injected and the chromatograms were recorded. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h.
Photolytic degradation
About 1 ml of standard stock solution was taken into 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up with water. The solution was exposed to UV light for 24 h. 1 ml aliquots of the samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h, diluted with mobile phase, and analyzed by the optimized chromatographic conditions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Optimization of chromatographic conditions
For the method development, a number of trials were carried out with different columns and mobile phases. The final optimized chromatographic conditions for the separation and quantification of apigenin and luteolin were obtained using an Hibar Lichrospher C8 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5 μ) and a mobile phase containing methanol and 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid (80:20 % v/v) for the effective separation of these two constituents. Using C8 column, elution at a flow rate of 1 ml/min and detection wavelength of 269 nm with injection volume of 20 μl afforded the best separation of these constituents. The chromatogram of the optimized method is shown in Fig. 3 and the system suitability parameters are shown in Table 1.

Forced degradation studies on apigenin and luteolin were carried out, and it was found that apigenin was more susceptible to acid (90.1%) and neutral degradation (78.0%), moderate to oxidation (65.9%) and to lesser extent to basic degradation (46.1%), and photodegradation (30.2%). Luteolin was found to be more susceptible to basic degradation (86.1%) and oxidation (63.8%). Moderate degradation was found in acid degradation (35.4%) and to lesser extent to light (28.8%) and neutral degradation (12.1%). The HPLC method developed for both the constituents resolves the degradation conditions, thus providing information on intrinsic stability of apigenin and luteolin. The forced degradation studies on apigenin and luteolin were performed, and it was concluded that these findings provide an insight and information about the storage and intrinsic stability conditions of apigenin and luteolin with respect to the advanced formulation aspects. The results of stress degradation studies of apigenin and luteolin by HPLC are summarized in Table 2 and acid degradation of apigenin and luteolin with 0.1 N HCl is shown in Fig. 4.

CONCLUSION
The study concludes that apigenin is most liable to acid hydrolysis followed by neutral degradation and oxidation. It is moderately stable to basic degradation and photolysis. Luteolin is most liable to basic

Table 1: System suitability studies and validation for estimation of apigenin and luteolin by HPLC method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Apigenin</th>
<th>Luteolin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Linearity range</td>
<td>1-5 μg/ml</td>
<td>1-5 μg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regression equation</td>
<td>Y=163491 + 384</td>
<td>Y=48642 + 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Asymmetric factor</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LOD (ng/ml)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LOQ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography

Fig. 3: Typical high-performance liquid chromatography

Fig. 4: Acid degradation of apigenin and luteolin with 1 N hydrochloric acid
Table 2: Results of stress degradation studies of apigenin and luteolin by HPLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Acid hydrolysis % degradation 1 N HCl</th>
<th>Basic hydrolysis % degradation 1 N NaOH</th>
<th>Neutral degradation % degradation (H_2O_2)</th>
<th>Oxidative degradation % degradation 3% (H_2O_2)</th>
<th>Photo degradation % degradation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>Apigenin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography

Fig. 5: The bar diagram of the acidic degradation of apigenin and luteolin

degradation followed by oxidation. It is stable to neutral degradation and photolytic degradation. The proposed method is sensitive, precise, accurate, and stability indicating. Thus, the proposed method can have its application in the determination of apigenin and luteolin in bulk drug, pharmaceutical formulation. The ICH guidelines have been followed throughout the study for method validation and stress studies, and thus, the proposed method has wide industrial applicability.
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