DESIGNING A VACCINE FOR CANCER: A LOOK INTO DENDRITIC CELL CANCER VACCINE
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ABSTRACT

The fundamental premise behind clinical approaches for dendritic cell-mediated immunization in cancer is that the limiting defect in natural antitumor immunity is at the level of antigen presentation. In contrast to vaccines for the prevention of infections, cancer vaccines are administered in a therapeutic mode, to eradicate antigen-bearing tumor cells already present in the host. Over the decades, the identification of antigens that can serve as targets for immune effectors has resulted in a profusion of strategies for activating tumor antigen-specific immune responses. Therapeutic vaccines, unlike prophylactic vaccines for the prevention of infections, all share some basic attributes, the presence of target antigens, and a method for delivering the antigen into the antigen-presentation machinery in conjunction with other molecules required to provide T-and/or B-cell activation.
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INTRODUCTION

Dendritic cells (DCs) are potent antigen-presenting cells (APC’s) [1], roles of APCs in the body are to ingest [2], digest [3], and present antigens to other cells of the immune system [4]. Presentation of antigens to white blood cells is a crucial step in the development of an adaptive immune response [5]; it activates ‘naive’ [6] or ‘inert’ T cells [7] whose T cell receptor is specific for the particular antigen being presented by the APC [8]. The cytotoxic T lymphocyte (killer T cell) adaptive immune response is the principle way in which tumors can be destroyed by the body [9]. Targeting tumor antigens to DCs, either ex vivo or in vivo [10], therefore, allow an opportunity to bypass these defects in antigen presentation [8], and take advantage of the many specialized features of DC as potent APC [9]. It has long been realized that many tumors are poorly immunogenic [10]. That is, if they are merely disaggregated and reinserted, they frequently grow unabated and do not activate a protective immune response [11]. The modified white blood cell injections can be used as a potential therapeutic vaccine against lethal diseases [12]. If successful, this vaccine will revolutionize the future of cancer treatment [13]. The DC cancer vaccine exploits the powerful antigen-presenting capacity of the DC and uses it to develop therapeutic immunity against cancer-associated antigens [14].

MATURATION OF DCS AND IMMUNOTOLERANCE

DCs are a class of bone-marrow-derived cells arising from lymphoid and myeloid hematopoiesis [15] that form an essential interface between innate sensing of pathogens and the activation of adaptive immunity [16]. Immature DCs are found in peripheral tissues and circulation [17]. The concentration of chemokine receptors is increased by the DCs on receiving the maturation signals [18]. This, in turn, increases the antigen presentation by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules and aids in the amplification of T cell responses [19]. Further, additional danger signals are required by the DCs to turn them to activated form [20]. The maturation of DCs depends on the various types of signals for maturation (Fig. 1) [21]. The resultant mature phenotype affects T cell interaction and cytokine secretion [22]. Other than activation of the immune system, DCs can also produce immune tolerance, which can be used as a strategy for the production of a successful vaccine [23]. From the previous studies, it is known that immature DCs are more likely to exhibit tolerance [24]. Some other studies also suggest that immature or not fully mature DCs will not produce any desired effect in vaccination [25]. With the help of these studies, we can say that DC maturation is most essential to overcome immune tolerance and its barriers [26]. In particular, research on DCs has recently emerged as a fundamental aspect for the comprehension of the mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of viral diseases, [27] as well as for the progress on the development of prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines [28]. In addition, the recent advances in DC biology have opened perspectives in the research on new adjuvants and novel strategies for the in vivo targeting of antigens to DCs, which are instrumental in the development of cancer vaccines [29].

ANTIGEN PRESENTATION BY THE DCS

After a DC has ingested and processed an antigen, it must communicate its finding to the rest of the immune system [30]. This may be achieved by physically bringing the pieces of the antigen to other immune cells [31]. However, since other cells do not have ready access to the engulfed particle inside the cell, the antigen fragment must be presented on the cell surface [32]. One of the ways this is achieved is through antigen binding to a special “presenting” molecule, MHC class I [33]. This allows the small morsel of antigen to be held in place on the cell surface and gives context to other immune cells, allowing them to respond properly [34]. Usually, proteins that APCs ingest (exogenous proteins) [35] are presented on MHC class II, not MHC class I; that is, MHC class I is reserved for fragments of proteins that cells produce themselves (endogenous proteins) [36]. However, APCs have a special ability to cross-present exogenous antigens on MHC class I, [37] which allows APCs to activate cells that can recognize tumor cells expressing tumor-specific antigens in the context of MHC class I [38].

DEVELOPING AN IMMUNE RESPONSE

After a DC has successfully presented an antigen bound to an MHC class I molecule on its cell surface, it migrates to a lymph node where many other white blood cells are waiting [36]. Here, DCs interact with CD8+ T lymphocytes (Fig. 2) [37]. DC antigen/MHC class I complexes bind with T cell receptors on CD8+ T lymphocytes [38]. This contact,
in conjunction with other costimulatory and adhesive processes, causes CD8+ T lymphocytes to multiply and mature into selective cellular perforin, commonly known as killer T cells (or cytotoxic T lymphocytes) [39]. These cells then migrate from the lymph node back into the blood and throughout the body in search of the antigen by which they were stimulated [40]. When they find cells that express the antigen presented with an MHC class I molecule, they destroy them [41]. Since cells normally present parts of their internal proteins on MHC class I molecules, cancer cells produce antigens can be recognized and destroyed in this way [42].

Most DC-based vaccines [43] are usually composed of the following four basic steps (Fig. 3).

1. Collect DCs.
2. Culture DCs in vitro.
3. Expose DCs to the cancer antigen(s) of your choice.
4. Administer the DCs into a patient as a vaccine.

IMPROVING THE VACCINE

At present, mild therapeutic effects of DC vaccines are available [44]. Scientists are now looking for new ways to increase this therapeutic effect. Some of the concepts and techniques that are being used to bring a curative vaccine for cancer closer to fruition are presented below [45].

Gene transduction

In addition to methods that apply the antigen to the DC directly, it is also possible to transfer the gene encoding the tumor-specific antigen into the DC (Fig. 4) [46]. Such an approach can be beneficial because it provides:

1. A continuous production of antigenic fragments
2. An intracellular source of antigen, easily accessible to the MHC class I pathway.

Continuous production of antigen allows for prolonged availability for loading into the MHC class I pathway [47]. Compared with peptide-pulsing techniques that provide short-term exposure, antigen gene transduction provides long-term exposure [48]. Given that MHC class I/antigen complexes are unstable and degrade relatively rapidly with time, it is believed helpful to have constant antigen present for continuous loading onto MHC class I. By providing an intracellular antigenic source, gene transduction improves the access of antigen fragments to the MHC class I pathway [49]. Exogenous antigen sources, as in peptide pulsing, are normally presented on the MHC class II pathway and require cross-presentation by the DC [50]. However, if the antigen is produced within the cell, it will be naturally loaded onto MHC class I without the need for the less-efficient cross-presentation process.

To achieve gene transduction, viruses are normally used, [51] one of the most effective techniques for DC gene transduction [52] makes use of genetically modified adenoviruses [53]. The adenoviral vector boasts high transfection rates and allows for several vectors to be introduced into the same DC population [54]. In addition, this technique can also be used to transduce genes encoding immunostimulatory cytokines that stimulate the killer T lymphocyte response (cytotoxic T lymphocyte response) [55].

Gene technology can be united with DC cancer vaccine research; the results have been promising [56]. DC vaccine effectiveness could be increased by a combination of both antigen and immuno stimulatory cytokine gene transduction [57]. The cytokine interleukin 12 (IL-12) was chosen for the experiment due to its ability to activate immune cells and strengthen the killer T cell response in Mycobacterium tuberculosis [58]. Using adenoviral vectors, we can simultaneously introduce a breast cancer antigen (ErbB-2/neu) [59,60] and an IL-12 gene into DCs ex vivo before administering the vaccine (Fig. 5). The result was a significant strengthening of the protective and therapeutic immunity of mice against injected breast cancer cells [61].

Likewise, new natural [62,63] and synthetic molecules [64,65] capable of restoring and/or enhancing DC activities, often impaired in patients,
with cancer antigens [67,68] as a potentially more effective strategy of therapeutic vaccination in cancer individuals [69]. Of particular note, DCs are important targets of cancer, and attention should be paid to the choice of DCs used in clinical studies [70]. Different types of DCs may exhibit not only a different potential in inducing antiviral immunity but also a different degree of susceptibility to cancer and the capability to transfer the virus to the target cell [71]. Thus, both preclinical and clinical studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of DC-based vaccines in the immunotherapy of cancer [72]. We conclude this review by emphasizing that although the possible future validation of DC-based vaccines for the immunotherapy of cancer [73] will certainly not solve the drastic needs of cancer individuals in the developing countries, [74] the progress of the research in this field will help us to identify novel and practical strategies for the in vivo targeting of the relevant cancer antigens to the right DCs [75]. All this will lead to the definition of new cost-effective immunotherapy for various types of cancer [76].

CONCLUSION

Although it is too early to determine the ultimate role for cancer vaccines, the results do provide an increasingly clear picture of the challenges that require attention. First, it will be necessary to identify from among the many strategies a few vaccines with enough promise to warrant large-scale clinical trials. This will require novel clinical trial designs and intermediate markers of activity such as immunologic assays to determine which induce the most potent antigen-specific immune responses. Recent attempts to reach a consensus on the immune assays to use and how to interpret them should simplify comparison across various studies. Second, the level of immune response detected by these assays is still fairly low. If one was to assume that the magnitude of the T-cell response necessary to clear viral infections is similar to the magnitude required to destroy tumors, then most cancer vaccines activate T-cell responses two or more orders of magnitude less than is necessary. Third, tumors possess a variety of mechanisms for evading even a high-level T-cell or antibody response. Finally, before a vaccine can be administered to patients, it will require considerable regulatory scrutiny to ensure that it is safe and effective. Although the regulatory requirements for infectious-disease vaccines have been honed over many years, the use of cellular vaccines poses new issues for the Food and Drug Administration and other regulators.
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Fig. 4: Using viral gene transduction to introduce antigen genes into a DC

Fig. 5: Successful transduction of breast cancer antigen, ErbB-2/neu, and interleukin-12 genes into dendritic cells (DCs) before administering the DCs as a vaccine to induce protective and therapeutic immunity against injected breast cancer cells
CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest exist among them regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES


