INTRODUCTION

Dronedarone is a Class III antiarrhythmic drug recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2009 for the treatment of non-permanent atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter [1]. The chemical name is N-[2-butyl-3-[4-[3-(dibutylamino)propoxy]benzoyl]-1-benzofuran-5-yl] methanesulfonamide hydrochloride according to IUPAC. Its molecular formulae are C_{31}H_{47}N_{3}O_{5}S.HCl with a molecular weight of 593.22 g/mol [2]. Chemically, it is a benzofuran derivative containing a heterocyclic compound which is a structural analog of amiodarone. DRO reduces the toxic effects in amiodarone by replacing the iodine group with a methanesulfonyl group [3]. Due to reduced lipophilicity, it has lower toxicity and superior pharmacokinetic characteristics than the amiodarone belonging to the same Class III antiarrhythmic drug [4]. DRO is crystalline in nature with a melting point of 149–153°C [5], with white to practically white, non-hygroscopic fine powder. It is a new heterocyclic compound which is a structural analog of amiodarone.

Various analytical strategies were utilized for the determination of inorganic metal impurities including titration, ion-exchange chromatography, capillary electrophoresis and spectroscopic techniques such as flame photometry, fluorimetry, atomic absorption spectroscopy, and inductively coupled plasma. The titration strategies are not exact, whereas ion-exchange chromatography and capillary electrophoresis stabilization is a time-taking procedure and sensitivities are low when contrasted with atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS). For the above reasons, AAS has become a tool of choice for estimating metals. The present study was to carry out method development and validated using atomic absorption spectrophotometry to determine nickel content in dronedarone.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals and reagents

Nickle standard from Merck, nitric acid and perchloric acid from SD Fine Chemicals, Milli-Q water, and API as a gift sample were used in the study.

Instrumentation and operating conditions

AAS of model AA-6300 (Shimadzu) equipped with fully integrated atomizers of Shimadzu make was used for the analysis. The system was regulated from an interfaced PC running Wizard software. Atomic absorption measurements were carried out at 232 nm analysis wavelength, using nickel hollow cathode lamp, lamp current -7 mA, slit width -0.2 mm, burner height -7 mm, oxidant flow -15 L/min, acetylene flow -1.6 L/min, lamp mode - BCG-D2, pre-spray time -5.0 s, integration time -5 s, and recommended flame -air acetylene.

Preparation of solutions

Preparation of nickel standard stock solution (solution A)

The 10 mL of 1000 mg/L concentrated nickel standard solution is taken into a 100 mL volumetric flask and dilute up to mark with Milli-Q
water which contains 100 mg/L of nickel. Prepare 5 mg/L of nickel by transferring 5 mL of above solution into a 100 mL volumetric flask and make up to volume with Milli-Q water.

**Preparation of blank solution**
Take 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid and 10 mL of perchloric acid to a 100 mL beaker. Heat on a hot plate until the volume is reduced to about 6 mL to 7 mL and white fumes are evolved. Cool the solution and transfer to 10 mL volumetric flask and dilute up to mark with Milli-Q water.

**Preparation of sample solution**
Weigh accurately 1.0023 g of sample and transfer into 100 mL beaker. To this add 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid and 10 mL of perchloric acid. Heat on hot plate until the volume is reduced to about 6 mL to 7 mL and white fumes are evolved. Cool the solution and transfer to 10 mL of clean and dry volumetric flask and dilute up to mark with Milli-Q water.

**Preparation of LOQ standard solution**
The limit of quantification (LOQ) standard solutions were prepared by taking 0.77 mL of the above solution A to a 25 mL volumetric flask and dilute up to volume with Milli-Q water which contains 0.154 ppm of nickel. This solution has been used for the determination of precision at LOQ level.

**ESTABLISHMENT OF THE METHOD**

**Selection of wavelength**
The wavelength for the selected metal is taken as a default by the AAS instrument. The wavelength which is used in the determination of metal is given in Fig. 1. The prepared sample was aspirated in the Shimadzu AA-6300, AAS instrument. Here, a light shaft from a nickel hollow cathode lamp is gone through the sample which is atomized in a flame into a monochromator and onto a detector that estimates the amount of absorbed light.

**Validation of the established method**
The validation of the systematic method for quantitative assurance of nickel was performed as per the USP guidelines by evaluating linearity, specificity, detection limit, quantitation limit, accuracy, precision at LOQ level, and method precision. The goal of analytical estimation is to get steady, solid, and exact information. Approved analytical strategies assume a noteworthy job in accomplishing this objective. The outcomes from strategy approval can be utilized to pass judgment on the quality, unequivocally quality, and consistency of logical outcomes, which is an indispensable piece of any great analytical practice.

**Fig. 1: Wavelength selection**

**System suitability**
To guarantee the execution of the framework, the system suitability is measured, which is an essential piece of analytical systems. The system suitability test was carried out to validate whether nickel content can be identified by injecting system suitability solution. The standard working solution with a concentration of 0.5 mg/L, 1 mg/L, 1.5 mg/L, 2 mg/L, and 2.5 mg/L was prepared using solution A and aspirated into the flame of AAS.

**Specificity**
Specificity is the capacity to evaluate the analyte in the test with presents of startling different components which meddle the consequences of analyte in test. One hundred percent nickel standard solution is prepared by taking 5 mL of solution A into a 25 mL volumetric flask and make up to volume with Milli-Q water. The obtained solution with a concentration of 1 mg/L of nickel solution is used as 100% standard solution. The blank and sample solutions which are prepared have been aspirated into the flame of AAS.

**Linearity**
Linearity is assessed through graphical portrayal of the deliberate absorbance and concentration of the solution. The calibration plot was constructed with blank samples and five different concentrations from 0.5 mg/L to 2.5 mg/L. From the linear solutions, correlation coefficient, Y-intercept, slope was calculated.

The execution of an instrument or an analytical technique is evaluated by determining detection limit and quantitation limit.

**Detection limit**
The detection limit is the most reduced measure of analyte in a sample that can be distinguished, yet not really quantitated, under the expressed experimental conditions. In this manner, limit tests only substantiate that the measure of analyte is above or underneath a specific level. As far as possible, it is normally expressed as the concentration of analyte (% or ppb) in the sample.

**Quantitation limit**
It is the most reduced measure of analyte in a sample that can be resolved with adequate precision and accuracy under the expressed experimental conditions. As far as possible, it is expressed as the concentration of analyte (e.g., % or ppb) which can be quantitatively measured in the sample.

**Precision at LOQ level**
The prepared LOQ sample was taken and used in the precision studies. Precision was reported as percentage relative standard deviation (% RSD) by aspirating the nickel standard for 6 times. The results were presented in a table, which are within the range of acceptance criteria (% RSD ±5).

**Accuracy**
Accuracy is the closeness of the test outcomes gotten by the technique to the genuine esteem value which was obtained by spiking 50%, 100%, and 150% of dronedarone working standard concentrations in triplicates, and accuracy/recovery was shown in % RSD and its percentage recovery should be in between 80% and 120%.

**Method precision**
The analytical procedure should be surveyed by estimating the concentrations of six autonomously prepared sample solutions at 100% of the assay test concentration.

**Batch analysis**
Weigh precisely 1.0033 g of sample and transfer into 100 mL of beaker. Add 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid and 10 mL of perchloric acid. Heat on hot plate until the volume is reduced to about 6 mL to 7 mL and white fumes are evolved. Cool the solution and transfer to 10 mL of clean and dry volumetric flask and dilute up to mark with Milli-Q water.
DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

Using the above sophisticated AAS method, samples were analyzed using the wavelength 232.53 nm which was default selected by the AAS (Fig. 1). The nickel content in the given dronedarone hydrochloride was found to be 3.0 ppm. The developed technique was approved according to USP rules, and the linearity was within the scope of 0.5 mg/L to 2.5 mg/L and is shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1.

The detection limit and LOQ values were established from linearity and were found to be 0.050698 ppm and 0.15363 ppm, respectively. The % RSD for absorbance of nickel standard solution (LOQ solution) six replicates was found to be 6.237. The method was specific, and the absorbance obtained with the blank solution was below the absorbance obtained for 100% standard solution and is shown in Table 2. The repeatability of the method was determined by injection of six preparations, and the % RSD was found to be 1.52608. The percentage recovery was determined at LOQ, 50%, 100%, and 150% levels, and their percentage recovery and % RSD are represented in Table 2. The percentage recovery by performing accuracy was within the acceptable limit and is represented in the table.

CONCLUSIONS

The state-of-the-art describes the development and validation of a method for the examination of nickel in dronedarone using flame atomic absorption spectroscopy. The allowed nickel content is up to 20 ppm as per USP-NF 40. The sample which is analyzed using the method developed is 3.0 ppm, so the nickel content in the taken sample is unacceptable range. Hence, the method can be successfully used for the quantification of nickel in dronedarone drug substance. The validation results are displayed and sorted out in tables with the end goal to give a simple overview of the method execution. The validation information demonstrates that the present developed technique has met all acceptance criteria.
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Fig. 2: Calibration graph

Table 1: Calibration curve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Concentration (PPM)</th>
<th>Absorbance</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard 1 (0.5 mg/L)</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard 2 (1.0 mg/L)</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Standard 3 (1.5 mg/L)</td>
<td>0.1221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Standard 4 (2.0 mg/L)</td>
<td>0.1651</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Standard 5 (2.5 mg/L)</td>
<td>0.2066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Acceptance criteria</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>System suitability</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient should be NLT 0.99</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>Any absorbance obtained with the blank solution should be ±5% of the absorbance obtained for 100% standard solution</td>
<td>Meeting the acceptance criteria</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Linearity</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient should be NLT 0.99</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LOD and LOQ precision at LOQ</td>
<td>LOD and LOQ values established from linearity</td>
<td>6.237%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Accuracy/recovery</td>
<td>The percentage recovery at each level should be between 80%–120% and for LOQ level should be between 70%–130%</td>
<td>At LOQ - 95.55%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The percentage RSD for absorbance of Nickel standard solution (LOQ solution) six replicates should be NMT 5.0</td>
<td>At 50% - 1.0933%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At 100% - 96.00%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At 150% - 97.55%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At LOQ - 4.03%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At 50% - 4.22%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At 100% - 1.04%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At 150% - 0.3965%</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Method precision</td>
<td>The percentage RSD for nickel content six preparations should be NMT 15.0</td>
<td>1.526</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Batch analysis</td>
<td>Obtained results are reported</td>
<td>3.0 ppm</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOD: Limit of detection, LOQ: Limit of quantification, NLT: Not less than, NMT: Not more than, RSD: Relative standard deviation.


