

A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY ON DISTRIBUTION PATTERN OF ADVERSE EFFECTS OF BRONCHODILATORS AMONG BRONCHIAL ASTHMA AND CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL IN A RURAL AREA OF KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT

KEERTHANA K¹, LAVAKUMAR SOMU^{1*}, VISHWAMBHAR²

¹Department of Pharmacology, Shri Sathya Sai Medical College and Research Institute, SBV Deemed to be University, Pondicherry, India.

²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Shri Sathya Sai Medical College and Research Institute, SBV Deemed to be University, Pondicherry, India. Email: lavakumarsomu@gmail.com

Received: 07 November 2020, Revised and Accepted: 07 December 2020

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The objectives of the study were (i) to study the distribution pattern of adverse effects of bronchodilators at initiation or during the course of therapy, (ii) to make a causality assessment of adverse effect identified using the WHO adverse drug reaction (ADR) probability scale, and (iii) to identify next drug tolerated better by him/her.

Methods: This is an observational study that lasted for duration of 2 months.

All patients reporting ADR after initiation of bronchodilator or during the course of bronchodilator therapy for bronchial asthma/ chronic obstructive pulmonary disease within the study period were included in the study. The suspected adverse effect was noted and documented. Causality assessment based on the WHO scale was employed.

Results: During the study period, ten patients reported to have ADR for bronchodilators were identified and the WHO Causality Scale for ADR was applied and the better drug tolerated by the patient was noted.

Conclusion: Inhalational forms of longer acting beta-2 agonists were better compliant to the patients with no observable adverse effects.

Keywords: Adverse effects, Bronchodilators, Shri Sathya Sai Medical College.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2021v14i2.40195>. Journal homepage: <https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ajpcr>

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is characterized by chronic inflammatory disorder of airway in which many cells play a role including mast cells and eosinophil. In susceptible individuals this inflammation causes symptoms which are associated with widespread but variable airflow obstruction that is often reversible either spontaneously or with treatment and causes an associated increase in airway responsiveness to stimuli [1]. The patient usually presents with symptoms of breathlessness, wheezing, cough, and chest tightness with worsening at night. Asthma is quite prevalent around the world with about 300 million people suffering from this disease. In India, it is estimated to be around 3–38% in children and 2–12% among adults. National burden is around 18 million [2].

The objective of this study is to find the distribution pattern of adverse effects of bronchodilators in tertiary care teaching hospital and to make a causality assessment of the adverse drug reaction (ADR) and thereby identifying the next better tolerated drug by the patient.

METHODS

This study was carried out in the Department of Respiratory Medicine, Shri Sathya Sai Medical College and Research Institute after getting the approval of Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC No: 2019/507 Dated: April 30, 2019). It was an observational study that lasted for duration of 2 months between July and August 2019.

Inclusion criteria

All patients reporting ADR after initiation of bronchodilator or during the course of bronchodilator therapy for bronchial asthma/chronic obstructive

pulmonary disease (COPD) within the study period were included in the study. The suspected adverse effect was noted and documented.

Causality assessment based on the WHO scale was employed.

RESULTS

In this study period of 2 months, we were able to identify ten patients who reported ADR on usage of bronchodilators for COPD and bronchial asthma. Of these cases, six were female and four were male.

The adverse effects reported were entered into a Performa and CDSCO ADR reporting form. On doing the WHO causality assessment scale, all the above drugs suspected of causing ADR were subjected to de-challenge and the suspected ADR did not occur. Hence, the scale was probable or possible in relation to the causality assessment.

Since the study was of 2 months duration and as re-challenge was not possible, we were not able to make a definite association between the suspected ADR and the causative drug. However, on choosing an alternate drug therapy for the patients, the ADR that was reported with the previous drug did not occur with the alternate drugs.

Patients who were given prophylactic leukotriene antagonist such as Montelukast did not report any ADR with Montelukast.

DISCUSSION

In our study, the common adverse drug reactions that were reported were tremors, giddiness, tachycardia, and headache. In a study

