ASIAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND CLINICAL RESEARCH



QUALITY BY DESIGN APPROACH TO DEVELOP STABILITY INDICATING REVERSED-PHASE HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY METHOD DEVELOPMENT FOR AMBROXOL

SUFIYAN AHMAD^{1*}, PRANIT KHABIYA¹, TATIYA AU¹, ABDURL RAHEMAN BAKHSHI²

¹Department of Quality Assurance, Gangamai College of Pharmacy, Nagaon Bari, Maharashtra, India. ²Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Royal College of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Sayane Kh, Maharashtra, India. Email: sufimpharm@rediffmail.com

Received: 26 October 2021, Revised and Accepted: 23 October 2021

ABSTRACT

Objective: As per requisition of current regulatory requirements, simple, rapid and sensitive method by 3³ factorial quality by design approach was established and validated for Ambroxol (AMB) by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC).

Methods: A simple RP-HPLC method has been developed and validated with different parameters such as linearity, precision, repeatability, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantitation (LOQ), accuracy as per International Conference for Harmonisation guidelines (Q2R1). Statistical data analysis was done for data obtained from different aliquots Runs on Agilent Tech. Gradient System with Auto injector, ultraviolet (UV) diode-array detection and Gradient Detector.

Results: Equipped with Reverse Phase (Agilent) C_{18} column (4.6 mm × 100 mm; 2.5 µm), a 20 µl injection loop and UV730D Absorbance detector at 244 nm wave length and running chemstation 10.1 software and drugs along with degradants were separated via Methanol: (0.1% orthophosphoric acid) Water (75:25) of pH 3 as mobile phase setting flow rate 0.7 ml/min at ambient temperature the retention time of AMB were found to be 4.85 min. The industrialized method was found linear over the concentration range of 10–50 µg/ml for AMB while the LOD and LOQ of AMB was found to be 0.5174–0.2739 µg/ml, analytical method that concluded.

Conclusion: There are no interfering peaks underperformed degradation conditions. Therefore, a sensitive, robust, accurate, and stability indicating method was developed with high degree of practical utility.

Keywords: Ambroxol, Quality by design, Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, Stability study, Method development, Validation.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2021v14i12.42939. Journal homepage: https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ajpcr

INTRODUCTION

The concept of "quality by design" (QbD) was defined as an approach that covers a better scientific understanding of critical process and product qualities, designing controls and tests based on the scientific limits of understanding throughout the development phase and by the knowledge obtained during the life-cycle of the product to work on a continuous improvement environment. QbD describes a pharmaceutical development approach referring to formulation design and development and manufacturing processes to maintain the prescribed product quality. Guidelines and mathematical models are used to ensure the establishment and use of the knowledge on the subject in an independent and integrated way [1-3].

Ambroxol hydrochloride (HCL) [4,5] is a potent mucolytic and mucokinetic. Ambroxol (AMB) HCL is chemically Trans-4-([2-amino-3, 5-dibromobenzyl] amino)-cyclohexanol HCL (Fig. 1). AMB is indicated as "secretolytic therapy in bronchopulmonary diseases associated with abnormal mucus secretion and impaired mucus transport. It promotes mucus clearance, facilitates expectoration, and eases productive cough, allowing patients to breathe freely and deeply."

Moreover, the extensive literature inspection revealed that there is no reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method obtainable for simultaneous estimation of AMB in the pharmaceutical dosage forms using experimental design approach QbD. A few analytical methods [6,7] have been reported in the literature for the determination of levofloxacin or AMB alone or combination with other drugs biological fluids, and pharmaceutical dosage forms. They include derivative spectrophotometric [8,9] methods and other methods such as HPLC with ultraviolet (UV) detection, high-performance thinlayer chromatography [10,11], and liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry were also reported for the determination of AMB from human body fluids and pharmaceutical dosage form.

Regulatory authorities such as Food and Drug Administration International Conference for Harmonisation and (ICH) guidelines [12-14] are promoting and requesting the application of experimental design approach to understand chromatographic selectivity and support better method control, including method transfer. This prompted the investigators to adopt the experimental design in HPLC, and many papers were published related to this work [15-18]. The main impartial of our work is to develop an improved RP-HPLC method suitable for the routine quality control of AMB in a pharmaceutical industry and provide information on the sensitivity of chromatographic factors and their interaction effects on the separation characteristics. In our studies, no method has been reported for simultaneous estimation of the AMB drugs by using QbD based 3³ factorial designing.

METHODS

Chemicals and reagents

Reference standards of Naproxen HCL were obtained as gift sample from R.S.I.T.C Jalgaon, India. Pharmaceutical formulation was purchased after local market (Brand: Ambrolite tablet labeled claim AMB 30 mg tablets India Ltd. 100 tab). The HPLC grade solvents used were of E-Merck (India) Ltd., Mumbai. HPLC grade Methanol and Ortho Phosphoric Acid (Merck, Mumbai, India) were used in the analysis. HPLC grade water was prepared using Millipore purification system.

Instruments

The analysis of the drug was conceded out on Agilent Tech. Gradient System with Autoinjector, UV diode-array detection and Gradient Detector. Equipped with Reverse Phase (Agilent) C_{18} column (4.6 mm × 100 mm; 2.5 µm), a 20 µl injection loop and UV730D Absorbance detector and running chemstation 10.1 software.

RP-HPLC optimised chromatographic condition using QbD

Column C_{18} (100 mm × 4.6 mm); particle size packing 5 µm; detection wavelength 244 nm; flow rate 0.7 ml/min; temperature 26°C ambient; sample size 20 µl; mobile phase methanol: Water (Orthophosphoric acid 0.1% PH 3) (75:25); run time 15 min. The retention time (RT) for AMB was found at 3.822 min (Fig. 2).

Preparation of std. AMB solution: (Stock I)

An accurately weighed quantity, 10 mg of AMB was dissolved in methanol in a 10 ml volumetric flask and volume made up to 10.0 ml to produce a solution of 1000 μ g/ml. From the freshly prepared standard stock solution (1000 μ g/ml), 0.1ml stock solution was pipetted out in 10 ml of volumetric flask, and volume was made up to 10 ml with mobile phase to get final concentration of 10 μ g/ml.

Preparation of std. AMB solution: (Stock III)

From the freshly prepared standard stock solution (1000 μ g/ml), 0.1 ml stock solution was pipette out in 10 ml of volumetric flask, and volume was made up to 10 ml with mobile phase to get final concentration 10 μ g/ml.

QbD approach to analysis

The application of QbD in HPLC method growth commences with establishing analytical objectives based on sound science to ensure consistent method presentation characteristics are achieved. The use of QbD for an analytical way begins with defining the target analytical profile in which the pre-defined objectives for method performance must be appropriately validated and documented.

Thus the objective of this work was to perform experimental design by using Design Expert Software leading to develop simple, rapid, and sensitive method by QbD approach and validated as per ICH Guidelines (Q2R1) for Naproxen and Pantoprazole and its stability indicating method by RP-HPLC. Further statistical data analysis to be done along



Fig. 1: Structure of ambroxol



Fig. 2: Chromatogram of standard ambroxol at 244 nm

with numerical and graphical optimization to develop Analytical Design Space.

Method validation [19-21]

Calibration curve

A calibration curve was constructed succeeding replicate (n=6) analysis of five standards of 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 μ g/ml of AMB. The peak height ratio of drugs was calculated and plotted area under the curve (AUC) versus concentration after which least squares linear regression analysis of data was undertaken to establish the equation for the best fit line and the correlation coefficient (R²) to authorize linearity. Samples were injected and peaks were recorded at 244 nm and the graph plotted as concentration of drug versus peak area as shown in (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

Precision

Intra-day (repeatability) precision was established following analysis of replicate samples (n=6) at three concentrations indicative of low, medium, and tall levels within the linear range *viz.*, 20, 30 and 40 μ g/ml of AMB. Analysis was performed over a small period of time on the same day. Inter-day precision or reproducibility was assessed at low, medium, and high concentration on three consecutive days and the percent relative standard deviation (% RSD) was used to assess intra- and inter-day precision. An upper limit of 2% was used to confirm precision in our laboratory. Precision of an analytical method is usually expressed as standard deviation or RSD (Table 2).

Accuracy

Recovery studies were performed to validate the accuracy of developed method. To pre-analyzed tablet solution, a definite concentration of standard drug (80%, 100%, and 120%) was added and then its recovery was analyzed. Statistical validation of recovery studies shown in (Table 3).

Specificity

The specificity of an analytical method is defined as the ability of a method to ensure that the peak(s) of interest elute as distinct responses in the presence of excipients, impurities or degradation compounds.

Robustness

To evaluate robustness few parameters were deliberately varied. The parameters include variation of flow rate, percentage of methanol as described in (Table 4).

Study of system suitability parameters

The system suitability is used to verify, whether the resolution and reproducibility of the chromatographic system are adequate for analysis to be done. The test was performed by collecting data from five replicate injections of standard solution as shown in (Table 5).

Analytical method for tablet formulation

Weigh 20 AMB tablets and calculated the average weight, accurately weigh and transfer the sample equivalent to 21 mg AMB into 10 ml volumetric flask. Add about 10ml MEOH of diluent and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with diluent. Mix well and filter through 0.45 μm filter. Further pipette 0.1 ml of the above stock solution into a 10 ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the



Fig. 3: Calibration curve of ambroxol

Method	Conc. µg/ml	Peak	area (µV.s)	Average peak area (µV.s)	S.D. of Peak Area	% RSD of Peak Area
		1	2			
UHPLC Method	1	10	88.711	88.5226	0.2664	0.3010
	2	20	173.5036	173.5963	0.1310	0.0755
	3	30	260.3951	260.6494	0.3596	0.1380
	4	40	350.7162	350.5986	0.1664	0.0475
	5	50	438.3293	438.1269	0.2863	0.0653
	Equation		y=8.762×-0.	564		
	R^2		0.999			

Table 1: Linearity study AMB

AMB: Ambroxol, UHPLC: Ultra-high performance liquid-chromatography

Table 2: Intraday and Inter day Precision studies on RP-HPLC method for AMB

Drug	Method	Conc. (µg/ml)	Interday Precision		Intraday Precision	n
			Mean±SD	%Amt Found	Mean±SD	%Amt Found
АМВ	HPLC	10 30 50	462.24±1.43 1431.44±1.62 2427.94±1.48	101.76 99.70 100.44	460.97±0.78 1430.78±0.67 2429.47±1.56	101.50 99.65 100.46

AMB: Ambroxol, HPLC: High performance liquid-chromatography, *Mean of each 3 reading for reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography method

Table 3: Results of recovery studies AMB for RP-HPLC method

Level (%)	Amt. taken (µg/ml)	Amt. Added (µg/ml)	Absorbance Mean*±S.D.	Amt. recovered Mean *±S.D.	%recovery Mean*±S.D.
80	10	8	18.00±0.065	8.00±0.067	100.04±0.82
100	10	10	20.01±0.043	20.58±0.043	100.19±0.42
120	10	12	22.00±0.022	20.58±0.23	100.01±0.18

*mean of each 3 reading, AMB: Ambroxol, RP-HPLC: Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography

Table 4: Robustness evaluation of the HPLC method for AMB

Parameters	Conc. (µg/ml)	Amt. of detected (mean±SD)	%RSD
Chromatogram of flow change 0.6 ml	50	512.18±0.73	0.14
Chromatogram of flow change 0.8 ml	50	383.69±2.36	0.62
Chrom. of comp change wavelength change 243 nm	50	418.1±0.66	0.16
Chrom. of comp change wavelength change 245 nm	50	466.68±1.20	0.26
Chrom. of mobile phase change 74+26 ml	50	439.4±1.20	0.27
Chrom. of mobile phase change 76+24 ml	50	438.83±0.66	0.16

AMB: Ambroxol, HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography, RSD: Relative standard deviation

Table 5: Repeatability studies on RP-HPLC method for AMB

Conc. of AMB (mg/ml)	Peak area	Amount found (mg)	% Amount found
40	349.84027		
40	350.1496		
40	351.2675		
Mean	350.4191	40.0574	100.1435
SD	0.7508		
%RSD	0.2143		

AMB: Ambroxol, RP-HPLC: Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, RSD: Relative standard deviation

mark with diluents (10 μ g/ml). The simple chromatogram of test AMB Shown in (Fig. 4) the amounts of AMB per tablet were calculated by extrapolating the value of area from the calibration curve. Analysis procedure was repeated five times with tablet formulation. Tablet Assay for %Label claim for % RSD Calculated, Result was shown in (Table 6).

Forced degradation studies

Forced degradation study was performed to evaluate the stability of the developed method using the stress conditions such as exposure of sample solution to acid, base, Hydrogen peroxides (H_2O_2) , and Neutral. Investigation was done for the degradation products in different conditions and are shown in (Table 7).

Table 6: Analysis of marketed formulation

Method	Amt. Found	% Label Claim	SD	% RSD
UHPLC	50.14	99.89	0.06	0.06
	50.14	99.33	0.03	0.03

UHPLC: Ultra-high performance liquid-chromatography, RSD: Relative standard deviation



Fig. 4: Chromatogram for marketed formulation



Fig. 5: Normal plot of residuals for retention time and plot of predicted versus actual data for retention time of cp



Fig. 6: Contour plot for flow rate, mobile phase composition and wave length



Fig. 8: Alkaline degradation

Procedure for AMB degradation

Acid hydrolysis

The acid hydrolysis performed using 0.1N HCl at 70°C for 1st h for AMB to indicate degradation. The major degradation product for AMB was observed at relative RT (RRT) for 1st h.

Alkaline hydrolysis

The alkaline hydrolysis condition was performed using 0.1N NaOH at 70°C for 1st h for AMB. The major degradation product for AMB was observed at RRT for 1st h.

Oxidation

In the oxidation condition with $3\% H_2O_2$ for 1st h AMB show oxidative stress degradation peak in the chromatogram.

Neutral

There was no major degradation observed for AMB and hence they were not sensitive to light at 70° C for 1^{st} h.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Such analytical methods are, in fact, an indicator of a quality product and the robustness of that product for the duration on the lifecycle of that product. The main goal of any HPLC method is to separate and quantitate analyte(s) of interest from any impurity and/or excipients. Initially, it is important to establish the critical quality attributes of a system that may impact the quality of the analytical method. Development of Analytical RP-HPLC Method with Design Space and Control Strategy determination by optimization study all the computations for the current optimization study and statistical analysis were performed using Design Expert® software (Design Expert trial version). State-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Application of design of experiments (DOE-1) for method optimization DOE-1

Thus, 3 randomized response surface designs with a full fraction design were used with 17 trial runs to study the impact of three factors on the three key response variables. In this design three factors were evaluated, each at three levels and experimental trials were performed at all three possible combinations. The mobile phase composition (χ^1), Wavelength (χ^2), and flow rate (χ^3) were selected as independent variables and RT and Resolution were selected as dependent variables. The resulting data were fitted into Design Expert 10 Software and analyzed statistically using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and F-Test (Fig. 5) indicates the normal plot of residuals for RT with other chromatographic parameters. The data were also subjected to 3-D response surface methodology to determine the influence of flow rate, Wavelength, and mobile phase composition on dependent variables as shown in (Fig. 6). The probable trial runs using 3³ full fraction designs are as shown in (Table 4). Further ANOVA and F-test with variables are shown in (Tables 8-11). Moreover degradation peaks of API were shown in (Figs. 7-10) from acidic, alkaline, peroxide, and Heat.

Table 7: Forced degradation

Sample exposure condition	Total number of products with their Rt	АМВ	
		Degradation remained (20 µg/ml)	Recovery (%)
Acidic, 0.1N, 1 h	3 (1.65, 2.91, 3.62)	15.594	77.97
Basic, 0.1N, 1 h	4 (1.58, 1.68, 1.95, 2.61)	12.293	61.465
Per oxide, 3%, 1 h	6 (1.57, 1.87, 2.50, 2.67, 2.92, 3.64)	7.134	35.67
Heat, 70°C, 1 h	3 (1.88, 2.92, 3.65)	18.20	91.05

AMB: Ambroxol, RT: Retention time

Table 8: Probable trial runs using full fraction designs

Std	Run	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Response 1	Response 2	Response 3
		A: Flow rate	B: Methanol	C: Wave length	RT	Area	ТР
		ml/min	%	Nm	min	AUC	Number
9	1	0.8	80	230	4.8	141.02	11675
6	2	0.8	75	231	5.9	143.55	10892
8	3	0.7	80	232	5.5	160.76	11693
5	4	0.7	75	231	6.7	163.82	12789
3	5	0.8	70	230	7.5	144.17	11458
2	6	0.7	70	232	8.5	163.9	9810
4	7	0.6	75	232	7.8	191.94	11373
1	8	0.6	70	229.3	10	191.78	10645
7	9	0.6	80	230	6.5	189.27	9777

RT: Retention time, AUC: Area under the curve

Table 9: ANOVA for reduced quadratic model (response 1: RT)

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
Model	20.76	5	4.15	700.57	< 0.0001	significant
A-Flow rate	6.20	1	6.20	1046.53	< 0.0001	
B-Methanol	14.11	1	14.11	2380.50	< 0.0001	
AB	0.1600	1	0.1600	27.00	0.0138	
A ²	0.0672	1	0.0672	11.34	0.0435	
B ²	0.2222	1	0.2222	37.50	0.0088	
Residual	0.0178	3	0.0059			

ANOVA: Analysis of variance, RT: Retention time

Table 10: ANOVA for reduced quadratic model (response 3: Tp)

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
Model	3518.31	4	879.58	14284.03	< 0.0001	Significant
A-Flow rate	3468.01	1	3468.01	56319.19	< 0.0001	
B-Methanol	12.91	1	12.91	209.60	0.0001	
A ²	34.09	1	34.09	553.55	< 0.0001	
B ²	3.31	1	3.31	53.77	0.0018	
Residual	0.2463	4	0.0616			
Cor Total	3518.56	8				

ANOVA: Analysis of variance

Table 11: Sequential Model Sum of Squares (Type I)

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Mean versus Total	2.467E + 05	1	2.467E + 05		
Linear versus Mean	3480.92	2	1740.46	277.41	< 0.0001
2FI versus Linear	0.1024	1	0.1024	0.0136	0.9116
Quadratic versus 2FI	37.40	2	18.70	389.80	0.0002 Suggested
Cubic versus Quadratic	0.1026	2	0.0513	1.24	0.5360 Aliased
Residual	0.0413	1	0.0413		
Total	2.503E + 05	9	27807.32		

CONCLUSION

A simple, rapid, reliable, robust, and optimized reversed phase highperformance liquid chromatographic method for estimation of Naproxen and Pantoprazole was successfully developed and validated as per ICH guidelines. Percentage of mobile phase, flow rate, and wavelength was optimized by using QbD approach *i.e.* 3³ factorial design. There are no interfering peaks underperformed degradation conditions. Therefore, a sensitive, accurate, and stability-indicating method was developed with high degree of practical utility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful thanks to the Management and Principal of Gangamai College of Pharmacy, Nagaon, Dhule, Maharashtra, for providing timely support for the research work.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Raman NV, Reddy U. Analytical quality by design approach to test method development and validation in drug substance manufacturing. J Chem 2015;2015:435129.
- Patel MN, Kothari CS. Multivariate approaches for simultaneous determination of avanafil and dapoxetine by UV chemometrics and HPLC-QbD in binary mixtures and pharmaceutical product. J AOAC Int 2016;99:649-63.
- Tol T, Kadam N, Raotole N, Desai A, Samanta G. A simultaneous determination of related substances by high performance liquid chromatography in a drug product using quality by design approach. J Chromatogr A 2016;1432:26-38.
- Indian Pharmacopoeia. Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Vol. 2. Ghaziabad: The Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission; 2010. p. 1272-3.
- Heinanen M, Barbas C. Validation of an HPLC method for the quantification of ambroxol hydrochloride and benzoic acid in a syrup as pharmaceutical form stress test for stability evaluation. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2001;24:1005-10.
- Indrayanto G, Handayani R. Quantitative determination of ambroxol hydrochloride in tablets. J Pharm Biomed Anal 1993;11:781-4.
- Pérez-Ruiz T, Martínez-Lozano C, Sanz A, Teresa San Miguel M. Automatic extraction spectrophotometric method for the determination of ambroxol in pharmaceutical preparations. Talanta 1996;43:1029-34.
- Agrawal OD, Shirkhedkar AA, Surana SJ. Simultaneous determination of levofloxacin hemihydrate and ambroxol hydrochloride in tablets by

thin layer chromatography combined with densitometry. J Anal Chem 2010;65:418-22.

- Krupa M, Kothekara B, Balasundaramjayakar N. Quantitative determination of levofloxacin and ambroxol hydrochloride in pharmaceutical dosage form by reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography. Eur J Anal Chem 2007;2:21-2.
- Zar JH. Biostatical Analysis. 5th ed. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.; 2010.
- US Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services. Pharmaceutical Quality for the 21st Century, A Risk-based Approach Progress Report. United States: Food and Drug Administration; 2007.
- ICH Q8 (R2). Pharmaceutical Development; 2009. Available from: http:// www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/ Quality/Q1A_R2/Step 4/Q1A_R2_Guideline.pdf>(ICHonline)
- ICH Q2 (R1). Validation of Analytical Procedures; 1994. Available from: http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/ Guidelines/Quality/Q1A_R1/Step 4/Q1A_R1_Guideline. pdf>(ICHonline)
- ICH Q9 (R2). Quality Risk Management; 2005. Available from: http:// www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/ Quality/Q1A_R2/Step 4/Q1A_R2_Guideline.pdf>(ICHonline)
- Ermer J, Miller JH, editors. Method Validation in Pharmaceutical Analysis: A Guide to Best Practice. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH and Co. KGaA; 2005.
- Schmidt AH, Molnár I. Using an innovative quality-by-design approach for development of a stability indicating UHPLC method for ebastine in the API and pharmaceutical formulations. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2013;78-79:65-74.
- 17. Ebrahimzadeh H, Asgharinezhad AA, Abedi H, Kamarei F. Optimization of carrier-mediated three-phase hollow fiber microextraction combined with HPLC-UV for determination of propylthiouracil in biological samples. Talanta 2011;85:1043-9.
- 18. Ebrahimzadeh H, Shekari N, Saharkhiz Z, Asgharinezhad AA. Simultaneous determination of chloropheniramine maleate and dextromethorphan hydrobromide in plasma sample by hollow fiber liquid phase microextraction and high performance liquid chromatography with the aid of chemometrics. Talanta 2012;94:77-83.
- Reddy GS, Kumar SA, Debnath M, Kumar VR. Analytical method development and validation for simultaneous determination of dutasteride and tamsulosin in bulk as well as in pharmaceutical dosage form by using RP-HPLC. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2014;6:77-84.
- Patel MM, Patel HD. Development and validation of RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of terbinafine hydrochloride and mometasone furoate in combined dosage form. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2014;6:106-9.
- 21. Ahmad S, Rageeb M. Analytical method development and validation for the simultaneous estimation of emtricitabine and tenofovir by reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography in bulk and table t dosage forms. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 2017;10:59-64.