INTRODUCTION

Hypertension (HTN) with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is an important predictor for cardiovascular events. LVH increases the risk for atrial fibrillation, diastolic heart failure, systolic heart failure, and sudden death in patients with HTN [1]. The mechanisms responsible for progression to hypertrophy include not only a response to the mechanical stress from elevated blood pressure but also the influences of neurohormones, growth factors, and cytokines. Electrocardiography (ECG) is recommended and commonly employed in the diagnosis of LVH. However, the sensitivity and specificity of ECG is poor for the diagnosis. Echocardiography is an imaging technique which is more sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of LVH when compared with ECG. However, it is not possible to perform echocardiography in all the hypertensive patients in all the time [2]. Hence, there is a need of inexpensive and noninvasive method for the early diagnosis of LVH associated with HTN [3].

Circulating peptides play a major role in the early detection of cardiovascular disease, but still, it is inconclusive in case of LVH. The Brain natriuretic peptides (BNP) have attracted much attention for LVH. BNP belong to a family of vasopeptidase hormone that have main role in regulating blood pressure and volume through direct effect on kidney and systemic vasculature and represent a favorable aspect of neurohumoral activation [4]. The BNP and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) is released from ventricular myocytes in response to ventricular wall tension and wall stretch, which are released in equimolar amount into circulation [5,6].

In systemic circulation, the BNP interact with natriuretic peptide receptor type A and causes increased cyclic guanosine monophosphate production, which will mediate different biological effects. BNP mostly eliminated by peptide receptor type C or proteolysis of endothpeptidases. These enzymes found in liver, lung, vascular endothelium, and kidney. But NT-proBNP principally cleared by renal excretion [7,8]. The circulating BNP half-life is 23 min. The inactive fragment of BNP, NT-proBNP has a much longer half-life (60-120 minutes) this difference makes NT-proBNP as a better biomarker for diagnosis LVH, but the glomerular filtration should be within the normal [9,10].

Myoglobin and creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) are common traditional cardiac biomarkers for myocardial infarction [11] but not raised in LVH. The aim of this study is to determine the role of NT-proBNP in assessing the LVH associated with HTN in compared to traditional serum cardiac biomarkers such as myoglobin and CK-MB.

METHODS

Selection of control

The control group comprised healthy individuals (n=50) with age and sex matched who are free from features of cardiovascular ailments.

Selection of cases

The study group comprised hypertensive patients (n=95) who were admitted into Medical Unit and attending OPD of MIMS Hospital, Nellimarla. The cases were further divided into two groups, i.e., hypertensive without LVH (n=45) patients and hypertensive with LVH (n=50) patients. These groups were included in this study based on clinical signs, ECG findings, and echocardiography data.

Inclusion criteria

Diagnosed cases of HTN without LVH and with LVH were included and no prior medical treatment for cardiac ailments.

Exclusion criteria

Patients with diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, viral hepatitis, HIV positive, cancer, myocardial infarction, smokers, and alcoholics were exclude as these conditions may alter the levels of markers in the serum.
Ethical committee approval was obtained from MIMS, Nellimarla, Vizianagaram. Informed consent was taken from all the participants in this study. The patients were informed about the importance of the study, procedures to be performed and benefits of the study. The blood was drawn from all the participants by venipuncture, and the blood is transferred into plain tube for estimation of NT-proBNP, CK-MB, and myoglobin. The blood samples were preserved at –4°C till further analyses.

Statistical analysis
The data were expressed as mean and standard deviation. To determine the statistical significance between control and patient groups, the Z-test was carried out by using Microsoft Excel and SPSS software 16.0 (USA). The statistical significance was determined at 5% (p<0.05) level.

Blood pressure measurement
Using mercury sphygmomanometer, the systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was measured basen on 1st Korotkoff phase and 5th Korotkoff phase. An average of 2 readings was taken into consideration.

ECG
All the individuals enrolled in this study were subjected to 12-lead ECG. The ECG changes were recorded at 25 mm/s and 1-mV/cm calibration. Based on Sokolow-Lyon voltage criteria, the total amplitude of the S wave in lead V₁ and the R wave in lead V₅ or V₆, is more than 3.5 mV (35 mm) was considered as LVH [12].

Echocardiography
LVH diagnosed by ECG was further confirmed using two-dimensional echocardiography. All the echocardiography data were recorded according to the guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography. Based on Framingham criteria LV mass index more than 131 g/m² in male and more than 100 g/m² in female was considered. The wall thickness of interventricular septum or the posterior wall if >1.2 cm was also considered as LVH [13].

Estimation of serum cardiac markers
Serum myoglobin, CK-MB was estimated using standard ELISA kit supplied by AccuBind Laboratories (USA) [14,15]. The NT-proBNP was estimated using standard ELISA kit from SunRed Laboratories (Shanghai) [16].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Demographic features and diagnostic parameters
A total of 145 subjects were studied, including 50 normal healthy individuals [control] and 95 HTN diagnosed patients. The HTN group was further divided into HTN without LVH (45) and HTN with LVH (50).

The mean age of the control was 52.46±11.02. In case of HTN without LVH it was 54.8±10.78 and HTN with LVH it was 55.24±11.03. As regard to the sex distribution, the majority of the subjects in the control group were 58.14±26.23 in male and 60% in female was considered. The wall thickness of interventricular septum or the posterior wall if >1.2 cm was also considered as LVH [13].

In this study, the serum NT-proBNP value was not significantly raised in HTN without LVH group when compared with control. It was also observed that the NT-proBNP is significantly raised in hemodialysis with LVH group when compared to control and hemodialysis without LVH group (p<0.001; Table 1).

The main reason for the increased levels of NT-proBNP in LVH is due to excessive ventricular stretch. The elevated NT-proBNP levels are strongly associated with structural and functional abnormalities of left ventricle along with systolic dysfunction [18]. However, the recent studies shown that NT-proBNP also raised in heart failure and can be used as marker for mortality in acute coronary syndrome and myocardial infarction [19]. The NT-proBNP is mostly cleared from renal system and any dysfunction or failure of renal system reveals the abnormality in the renal function.

NT-proBNP can also acts on kidney and causes the diuresis and tubular natriuresis, by acting against the effect of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. The rise of intravascular volume due to heart failure or renal dysfunction, NT-proBNP secretion is also increased and restoration of blood volume by promoting the diuresis. Morillas et al. (2008) showed a significant rise of NT-proBNP level in hypertensive patients with LVH. Helder et al. (2011) reported a correlation between serum NT-proBNP level and LVH. Our study in corroborated with these authors and observed a strong association between LVH and NT-proBNP [20,21].

In this study, the serum levels of CK-MB and myoglobin in HTN without LVH and HTN with LVH groups have not shown any significant change when compared with control (Table 3). Therefore, these two markers may not be considered as markers for LVH.

Table 1: Demographic features of control and cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic features</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>52.46±11.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>118.7±6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>78.20±5.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagnostic criteria based on SBP and DBP for both the hypertensive groups were significantly higher when compared to control (***p<0.001). SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, SD: Standard deviation, HTN: Hypertension, LVH: Left ventricular hypertrophy

Table 2: NT-proBNP level in control and cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP (pg/ml)</td>
<td>74.19±21.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean serum NT-proBNP was increased in HTN with LVH patients when compared to control and HTN without LVH. The increase is statistically significant (**p<0.001). SD: Standard deviation, HTN: Hypertension, LVH: Left ventricular hypertrophy, NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide

Table 3: CK-MB and myoglobin level in control and cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK-MB (ng/ml)</td>
<td>3.01±0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoglobin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>58.14±26.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean serum CK-MB and myoglobin has not shown any significant change in both the HTN groups when compared with control. N.S: Not significant, SD: Standard deviation, HTN: Hypertension, LVH: Left ventricular hypertrophy, CK-MB: Creatine kinase-MB
CONCLUSION
In the current study, we found that all LVH cases associated with HTN showed marked rise of NT-proBNP levels in serum when compared to HTN without LVH. Hence, the determination of serum NT-proBNP can be considered as a promising marker for LVH screening.
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