ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was aimed to evaluate the antioxidant potential of sinapic acid in both in vitro and in vivo. Recently, we have reported that oral administration of sinapic acid (3,5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxycinnamic acid) an active phyto ingredient widely distributed in rye, mustard, berries, and vegetables has been shown to ameliorate hyperglycemia.

Methods: Experimental Type 2 diabetes was induced in male Wistar rats by feeding high-fat diet to induce insulin resistance followed by intraperitoneal administration of a single low dose streptozotocin (35 mg/kg body weight [bw]). Sinapic acid was administered orally at a concentration of 25 mg/kg bw/nt/day for 30 days, and its efficacy was compared with metformin. In vitro, antioxidant scavenging properties of sinapic acid were determined using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), superoxide, and nitric oxide (NO) assay.

Results: Sinapic acid treatment showed a significant decline in the levels of lipid peroxides, hydroperoxides and protein carbonyls in the plasma and vital tissues of diabetic rats. The treatment also improved the antioxidant status in diabetic rats indicating the antioxidant potential of sinapic acid. In addition, the results of DPPH, ABTS, superoxide, and NO radical scavenging assays substantiate the free radical scavenging efficacy of sinapic acid.

Conclusion: The results of this study evidenced that sinapic acid possesses significant antioxidant properties which in turn may be responsible for its antidiabetic properties.
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Type 2 diabetes is strongly associated with obesity and cardiovascular risk. High-fat diet (HFD) fed rats present a metabolic syndrome which is characterized by central obesity, insulin resistance, and hyperglycemia as well as dyslipidemia which is similar to human metabolic syndrome caused by obesity. As the metabolism of carbohydrate and lipid are closely linked processes, derangement in the carbohydrate metabolism may result in dyslipidemia, hence, HFD fed – low dose streptozotocin (STZ) induced model is one of the ideal models for screening of antiobesity activity in diabetic rats. Recent reports on the etiology, epidemiology, and consequences of T2DM necessitate an urgency to find better prognosis, and prevention strategies [15]. Furthermore, STZ damages pancreatic β-cells, resulting in hypoinsulinemia and chronic hyperglycemia [16].

Various researchers suggest that phytochemicals from traditionally known medicinal plants have been extensively used as an alternative medicine for the management of diabetes [17]. Most of the antidiabetic synthetic drugs in use for long-term therapy have been associated with various toxicities owing to which the developmental process in antidiabetic drug discovery has shifted its focus toward natural plant sources which are having minimal or no side-effects [18]. Some natural products have the ability to lower blood glucose and it should be safer than allopathic drugs in utilization over a prolonged period. Among the natural products, the phenolic compounds are attracting much interest because of their beneficial and pharmacological effects on T2DM and its secondary complications.

Sinapic acid (3,5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid) is a naturally occurring carboxylic acid. It is a member of the phenylpropanoid family [19]. It is widely distributed in the plant kingdom and is obtained from various sources such as rye, mustard, berries, and vegetables [20]. Sinapic acid has demonstrated potent antioxidant capacity [21], anti-inflammatory [22], anxiolytic [23], peroxynitrite scavenging [24], neuroprotective [25], and antihyperglycemic properties [26]. Serum albumin has been reported to be responsible for the transport of sinapic acid in blood due to its ability to bind with serum albumin through hydrophobic interaction and hydrogen bonding [27,28]. Maximum plasma-sinapic acid level has been described as 40 nM with a bioavailability of 3% of the total phenolics present in the non-processed cereal meal [29,30]. Moreover, the small intestine was reported as the best site for absorption of orally administered sinapic acid through active Na+ gradient-driven transport [31]. Plasma sinapic acid level has also been quantified (1.5 µg/mL) after intake of cranberry juice in human using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry [32]. However, metabolism of sinapic acid takes place mainly in the epithelium of the small intestine [33]. Recently, we have evaluated the antidiabetic properties of sinapic acid in HFD-STZ induced Type 2 diabetic rats.

In the absence of systemic reports in literature regarding the antioxidant properties of sinapic acid, the aim of this study is to determine the antioxidant status of sinapic acid in vitro and in vivo.

**METHODS**

**Experimental animals**

Male albino Wistar rats (160-180 g) were purchased from Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai. The rats were housed in polypropylene cages lined with husk. The rats were fed with commercial pellet rats chow (Hindustan Lever Ltd., Bengaluru, India), and had free access to water. Rats were maintained in a controlled environment (12:12 hrs light/dark cycle and temperature (30±2°C). The rats were acclimatized for 1 week before starting the experiments. The experiments were designed and conducted in accordance with the current ethical norms approved by Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India (IAEC No. 05/01/2014).

**HFD fed**

The rats were divided into four groups each comprising six rats and allocated into two dietary regimens by feeding either normal or HFD for the initial period of 2 weeks. The ingredients and chemical composition of the HFD were followed as before reported [34]. After 2 weeks of dietary manipulation for the onset of insulin resistance, the groups of rats fed with HFD were injected intraperitoneally with a low dose of STZ (35 mg/kg b.w.) dissolved in freshly prepared 0.1 M cold citrate buffer, pH 4.5. 1 week after STZ injection, the rats were screened for blood glucose level. Rats are having fasting blood glucose >250 mg/dl that exhibited random hyperglycemia and glycosuria were selected for the experiment. The rats were allowed to continue to feed on the respective diets until the end of the experiments.

**Experimental design**

The rats were divided into four groups each group comprising six rats.

- **Group 1**: Control rats
- **Group 2**: Diabetic rats (HFD-low dose STZ [35 mg/kg body weight [bw]])
- **Group 3**: Diabetic rats treated with sinapic acid (25 mg/kg bw)
- **Group 4**: Diabetic rats treated with metformin (50 mg/kg bw)

Sinapic acid was dissolved in 0.2% dimethyl sulfoxide and administrated to rats orally using an intragastric tube daily for a period of 30 days.

**Sample collection**

After 30 days of the experimental period, the animals were fasted overnight and then sacrificed by cervical decapsulation. Blood was collected, and serum was separated by centrifugation. The pancreas, liver, and kidney were carefully removed, weighed and washed in ice-cold saline. The tissues were sliced into pieces and homogenized in an appropriate buffer (pH 7.0). The homogenates were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 0°C in cold centrifuge. The supernatant was separated and used for various biochemical estimations.

**Assay of antioxidant status**

**In vitro antioxidant assays**

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of sinapic acid was determined by the method of Brand-Williams et al. with some modifications [35]. The methanolic solution of DPPH (60 µM) was mixed with an equivalent aliquot of different concentration (3,125-100 µM) of sinapic acid in methanol. The absorbance was determined at 515 nm after 2 minutes incubation in the dark, spectrophotometrically.

2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) assay

ABTS radical scavenging activity of sinapic acid was determined according to the method of Re et al. (1999) [36]. Briefly, ABTS radical cation was produced by mixing ABTS stock solution (7 mM in water) with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and allowing the mixture to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 hrs before use. Then, ABTS radical solution was diluted with ethanol to an absorbance of 0.7 at 734 nm. To 3.0 ml of diluted ABTS radical solution, 30 µl of different concentration (3,125-100 µM) of sinapic acid in ethanol was added and after 1 minute, the decrease in absorbance was measured at 734 nm spectrophotometrically.

Superoxide anion radical scavenging assay

The superoxide radical scavenging activity of sinapic acid was measured by the method of Fontana et al., (2001) [37]. In this method, the activity is measured by reduction of riboflavin/light/nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). The 1 ml of reaction mixture contained phosphate buffer, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH), NBT and various concentrations of the sample solution. The method is based on the generation of superoxide radical by autooxidation of riboflavin in the presence of light. The superoxide radical reduces NBT to a blue colored formazan that can be measured at 560 nm.

**Assay for nitric oxide (NO) scavenging activity**

Sodium nitroprusside (5 mM) in phosphate buffer with pH 7.7 was incubated with 3,125-100 µM concentrations of drug dissolved in a suitable solvent (alcohol), and tubes were incubated at 25°C for 120 minutes. At intervals, 0.5 ml of incubation solution was
removed and diluted with 0.5 ml of Griess reagent. The absorbance of the chromophore formed during diazotization of nitrite with sulphanilamide and subsequent N-naphthyl ethylenediamine was measured at 546 nm [38].

In vivo antioxidant assay
Assay of antioxidant status
The levels of lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides were determined in plasma and tissue homogenates [39-41]. The activities of enzymatic antioxidants such as SOD [42], catalase [43], GPx [44], glutathione-S-transferase (GST) [45], and GR [46] were assayed in the tissue homogenates of control and experimental groups of rats. The levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants such as vitamin C, vitamin E, ceruloplasmin, and glutathione (GSH) were also determined [47-50].

Statistical analysis
The results were expressed as mean±standard error of mean of six rats per group, and statistical significance was evaluated by one-way analysis of variance using SPSS (version 16) program followed by the least significant difference. Values were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.

RESULTS
Figs. 1 and 2 depict the dose-dependent effect of sinapic acid on the percentage inhibition of DPPH and ABTS radicals present in the reaction mixtures. Sinapic acid scavenges both DPPH and ABTS radicals in a concentration-dependent manner. However, at a concentration of 100 μg/ml, sinapic acid significantly scavenged 82% of DPPH and 86.5% ABTS radicals. Superoxide and NO scavenging potential of the sinapic acid are presented in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Sinapic acid exhibited a maximum of 88.6% superoxide scavenging activity and 78% NO scavenging potential.

Tables 1-4 exemplify the levels of TBARS, hydroperoxides and protein carbonyls in plasma, pancreatic, hepatic and renal tissues of control and experimental groups of rats. The significant (p<0.05) increase noted on the levels of these oxidative stress markers in plasma as well as in the tissues of diabetic group of rats were declined (p<0.05) significantly to near normalcy by sinapic acid as well as metformin treatment to diabetic groups of rats.

The activities of enzymatic antioxidants such as SOD, CAT, GPx and GST (Tables 5-7) in the pancreatic, hepatic, and renal tissues were significantly improved in sinapic acid treated diabetic rats indicating the antioxidant potential of sinapic acid. Furthermore, the plasma levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants such as vitamin C, vitamin E, reduced glutathione and ceruloplasmin (Table 8), and hepatic as well as renal GSH (Table 9) content were found to be increased on oral treatment with sinapic acid.

DISCUSSION
Antioxidants derived from medicinal plants provide protection to cells by scavenging the excessive free radicals through offsetting ROS. This has been made possible due to the presence of certain bioactive substances, such as phenolic compounds, flavonoids and essential oils, and rendering plants with antioxidant activity [51].

In vitro antioxidant potential
The DPPH and ABTS radicals scavenging activity of sinapic acid is depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. DPPH radical scavenging activity was quantified in terms of percentage inhibition of a pre-formed free radical by antioxidants. There was a significant improvement in the percentage inhibition of the DPPH radicals...
Table 1: The levels of lipid peroxides, hydroperoxides and protein carbonyls in plasma of control and experimental groups of rats after 30 days of experimental period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Lipid peroxides</th>
<th>Hydroperoxides</th>
<th>Protein carbonyls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.6±0.22</td>
<td>9.9±0.50</td>
<td>6.5±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic control</td>
<td>10.2±0.33*</td>
<td>27.3±2.15*</td>
<td>26.5±2.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + sinapic acid</td>
<td>4.45±0.20*</td>
<td>17.6±1.50*</td>
<td>14.4±0.83*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + metformin</td>
<td>4.61±0.25*</td>
<td>15.6±1.12*</td>
<td>14.0±0.91*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are expressed as nM/mL for lipid peroxides; nM/dl for hydroperoxides; nM/mg of protein for protein carbonyls. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows: *Control rats; **Diabetic control rats; ***Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. SEM: Standard error of mean, LSD: Least square difference

Table 2: Effect of sinapic acid treatment on the levels of lipid peroxides, hydroperoxides, and protein carbonyls in pancreatic tissues of experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Lipid peroxides</th>
<th>Hydroperoxides</th>
<th>Protein carbonyls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>37.33±2.40</td>
<td>14.1±0.88</td>
<td>5.18±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic control</td>
<td>65.21±4.33*</td>
<td>32.04±1.71*</td>
<td>20.1±1.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + sinapic acid</td>
<td>40.00±2.40*</td>
<td>17.32±1.15*</td>
<td>11.21±0.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + metformin</td>
<td>38.30±3.60*</td>
<td>15.02±0.71*</td>
<td>10.88±0.62*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are expressed as mM/100 g of wet tissue for lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides; nM/mg of protein for protein carbonyls. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows: *Control rats; **Diabetic control rats; ***Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. SEM: Standard error of mean, LSD: Least square difference

Table 3: Effect of sinapic acid treatment on the levels of lipid peroxides, hydroperoxides, and protein carbonyls in hepatic tissues of control and experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Lipid peroxides</th>
<th>Hydroperoxides</th>
<th>Protein carbonyls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.37±0.12</td>
<td>47.42±4.09</td>
<td>4.51±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic control</td>
<td>4.41±0.25*</td>
<td>127.10±7.03*</td>
<td>14.20±0.76*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + sinapic acid</td>
<td>2.36±0.17*</td>
<td>81.74±4.02*</td>
<td>7.15±0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + metformin</td>
<td>2.88±0.21*</td>
<td>71.14±3.12*</td>
<td>5.75±0.32*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are expressed as mM/100 g of wet tissue for lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides; nM/mg of protein for protein carbonyls. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows: *Control rats; **Diabetic control rats; ***Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. SEM: Standard error of mean, LSD: Least square difference

Table 4: Effect of sinapic acid treatment on the levels of lipid peroxides, hydroperoxides, and protein carbonyls in renal tissues of control and experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Lipid peroxides</th>
<th>Hydroperoxides</th>
<th>Protein carbonyls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.05±0.073</td>
<td>50.1±3.18</td>
<td>3.75±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic control</td>
<td>3.40±0.20*</td>
<td>85.50±2.80*</td>
<td>17.47±0.99*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + sinapic acid</td>
<td>2.18±0.13*</td>
<td>59.28±3.50*</td>
<td>9.1±0.55*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + metformin</td>
<td>1.98±0.12*</td>
<td>60.91±4.40*</td>
<td>7.2±0.48*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are expressed as mM/100 g of wet tissue for lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides; nM/mg of protein for protein carbonyls. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows: *Control rats; **Diabetic control rats; ***Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. SEM: Standard error of mean, LSD: Least square difference

Table 5: Activities of SOD, CAT, GPx, and GST in pancreatic tissues of control and experimental groups of rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>SOD</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>GPx</th>
<th>GST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.57±0.32</td>
<td>25.00±2.20</td>
<td>6.81±0.31</td>
<td>5.91±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>3.20±0.22</td>
<td>6.63±0.42</td>
<td>3.10±0.24</td>
<td>2.0±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + sinapic acid</td>
<td>4.44±0.20</td>
<td>13.40±0.69</td>
<td>5.59±0.34</td>
<td>4.50±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + metformin</td>
<td>4.91±0.33</td>
<td>15.42±0.73</td>
<td>6.13±0.34</td>
<td>4.99±0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities of enzymes are expressed as 50% of inhibition of epinephrine autoxidation/min for SOD; mM of hydrogen peroxide decomposed/min/mg of protein for catalase; mM of glutathione oxidized/min/mg of protein for GPx; U/min/mg of protein for GST. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows: *Control rats; **Diabetic control rats; ***Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. SOD: Superoxide dismutase; CAT: Catalase; GPx: Glutathione peroxidase; GST: Glutathione-S-transferase

by sinapic acid. ABTS radical activity was quantified in terms of percentage inhibition of the ABTS radical cation by antioxidants in each sample sinapic acid showed 82% inhibition at a concentration of 50 µM in DPPH assay and 86.5% inhibition at a concentration of 50 µM in ABTS radical assay reflecting its radical scavenging capacity.
Table 6: Activities of SOD, CAT, GPx, GST, and GR in hepatic tissues of control and experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>SOD (units)</th>
<th>CAT (units)</th>
<th>GPx (units)</th>
<th>GST (units)</th>
<th>GR (units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11.55±0.62</td>
<td>80.10±3.11</td>
<td>10.52±0.49</td>
<td>8.09±0.46</td>
<td>28.30±2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>4.65±0.23</td>
<td>38.50±2.48</td>
<td>4.68±0.18</td>
<td>3.60±0.18</td>
<td>12.60±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+sinapic acid</td>
<td>7.82±0.24</td>
<td>67.10±2.44</td>
<td>7.20±0.36</td>
<td>6.43±0.28</td>
<td>23.33±1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+metformin</td>
<td>7.20±0.27</td>
<td>70.81±3.05</td>
<td>8.00±0.23</td>
<td>7.00±0.29</td>
<td>23.50±2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities of enzymes are expressed as % inhibition of epinephrine autoxidation/min for SOD; mM of hydrogen peroxide decomposed/min/mg of protein for catalase; mM of glutathione oxidized/min/mg of protein for GPx; U/min/mg of protein for GST; µM of DTNB-GSH conjugate formed/min/mg of protein for GR. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows:
- Control rats;
- Diabetic control rats;
- Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Table 7: Activities of SOD, CAT, GPx, GST, and GR in renal tissues of control and experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>SOD (units)</th>
<th>Catalase (units)</th>
<th>GPx (units)</th>
<th>GST (units)</th>
<th>GR (units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>17.20±0.93</td>
<td>44.34±0.01</td>
<td>8.00±0.24</td>
<td>6.40±0.16</td>
<td>33.11±2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>8.23±0.51</td>
<td>17.10±1.11</td>
<td>3.60±0.21</td>
<td>2.42±0.16</td>
<td>11.40±0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+sinapic acid</td>
<td>14.00±0.50</td>
<td>29.01±1.74</td>
<td>6.50±0.23</td>
<td>4.32±0.25</td>
<td>26.51±1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+metformin</td>
<td>13.39±0.45</td>
<td>30.64±2.27</td>
<td>7.10±0.21</td>
<td>5.01±0.30</td>
<td>27.55±1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities of enzymes are expressed as: % inhibition of epinephrine autoxidation/min for SOD; mM of hydrogen peroxide decomposed/min/mg of protein for catalase; mM of glutathione oxidized/min/mg of protein for GPx; U/min/mg of protein for GST; µM of DTNB-GSH conjugate formed/min/mg of protein for GR. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows:
- Control rats;
- Diabetic control rats;
- Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Table 8: Effect of sinapic acid on the levels of vitamin E, vitamin C, ceruloplasmin, and reduced glutathione in plasma of control and experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Vitamin E (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Vitamin C (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Ceruloplasmin (mg/dl)</th>
<th>GSH (mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.00±0.06</td>
<td>15.20±0.08</td>
<td>14.00±0.13</td>
<td>38.81±2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>0.40±0.031</td>
<td>0.45±0.049</td>
<td>0.50±0.032</td>
<td>17.00±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+sinapic acid</td>
<td>0.81±0.052</td>
<td>0.94±0.073</td>
<td>1.00±0.043</td>
<td>27.4±1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+metformin</td>
<td>0.86±0.04</td>
<td>1.00±0.045</td>
<td>1.10±0.05</td>
<td>29.3±1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units: mg/dl. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows:
- Control rats;
- Diabetic control rats;
- Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Table 9: Effect of sinapic acid on the level of reduced glutathione in pancreas, liver, and kidney tissues of control and experimental groups of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Reduced glutathione (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>22.44±1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>9.03±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+sinapic acid</td>
<td>14.92±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+metformin</td>
<td>17.00±0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units: µM/100 g of wet tissue. Values are given as mean±SEM for groups of six rats in each. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test LSD. Statistical significance was compared within the groups as follows:
- Control rats;
- Diabetic control rats;
- Diabetic rats treated with metformin. Values are statistically significant at *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Among the several in vitro antioxidant assays, DPPH and ABTS radical assay have been widely used as more convenient methods in determining the free radical scavenging efficacy of the lead molecules [52,53]. The radical activity assay is based on the reduction of DPPH in methanolic solution. Due to the presence of an odd electron, DPPH gives a strong absorption maximum at 517 nm. As the electron becomes paired off in the presence of a hydrogen donor, i.e., a free radical scavenging antioxidant, the absorption strength is decreased, and the resulting decolorization is stoichiometric with respect to the number of electrons captured.

ABTS radicals are more reactive than DPPH radicals and the reaction with ABTS radicals involves a single electron transfer process. The principle lying behind the ABTS radical assay is the pre-formed radical monocation of ABTS radical which is generated by oxidation of ABTS radicals with potassium persulfate and is reduced in the presence of such hydrogen-donating antioxidants. The antioxidant activity of different flavonoids depends on the number and location of hydroxyl groups of the flavonoid ring system [54]. Sinapic acid showed 86.5% inhibition at a concentration of 50 µM in ABTS radical assay which exemplifies its significant radical scavenging capacity. The results of DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging assay imply the free radical scavenging property of sinapic acid which was comparable with the efficacy of metformin.

The primary free radical in most biological systems is superoxide (O²⁻). Although O²⁻ itself is quite unreactive compared to the other radicals, it can be decomposed to form stronger oxidative species such as singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radicals. From the investigations, it was found that sinapic acid scavenged O²⁻ significantly and in a concentration-dependent manner. The O²⁻ scavenging activity was determined by phenazine methosulfate/NADH-NBT system wherein O²⁻ derived from dissolved oxygen by phenazine methosulfate/NADH coupling reaction reduces NBT. The decrease in absorbance at 560 nm with antioxidants thus indicates the consumption of superoxide anions in the reaction mixture. Sinapic acid exhibited a maximum of 98.6% superoxide scavenging activity.
have reported that proteins as well as DNA and the reduced cellular non-enzymatic and such as lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides causes oxidative damage. The elevated cytotoxic and highly reactive oxidative stress markers of compounds such as alkanes, malanoaldehyde, and isoprotanes are lipid peroxidation is propagated. Due to lipid peroxidation, a number forms peroxyl radical; this highly reactive radical attacks another fatty acid forming lipid hydroperoxide (LOOH) and a new radical. Thus, the results of this study are on par with the earlier reports indicating that lipid peroxidation effectively scavenges the free radicals.

**In vivo antioxidant potential**

Persistent hyperglycemia results in the increased formation of advanced glycation end and lipid peroxidation products that exacerbate intracellular oxidative stress, resulting in a loss of molecular integrity, disruption in cellular signaling and homeostasis, followed by inflammation and tissue injury. Having evolved in an oxygen environment, most cells, including pancreatic β-cells, have acquired intricate mechanisms to defend against ROS toxicity. Antioxidants are considered important nutraceuticals on account of their many health benefits and widely used in the food industry as potential inhibitors of lipid peroxidation. It is generally accepted that the consumption of plant foods is associated with a lower risk of development of oxidative stress-mediated diseases [62]. Polyphenols represent one of the largest chemical entities in the plant kingdom, gathered into four main classes such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, stilbenes, and lignans [63]. In recent times, polyphenolic compounds have attracted great attention and have antioxidative properties and beneficial health effects resulting from them [64].

Oxidative stress is associated with oxidative modification of biomolecules which are involved in a number of pathophysiological processes such as aging, diabetes, atherosclerosis, inflammation, and carcinogenesis. Lipid peroxidation is a free radical process involving a source of secondary free radical, which further can act as the second messenger or can directly react with other biomolecules, enhancing biochemical lesions. Lipid peroxidation primarily occurs on polyunsaturated fatty acids located on the cell membranes and it further proceeds with a radical chain reaction. Hydroxyl radical is thought to initiate ROS and remove hydrogen atom, thus producing lipid radical and further converted into diene conjugate. Further, by the addition of oxygen, it forms peroxy radical; this highly reactive radical attacks another fatty acid forming lipid hydroperoxide (LOOH) and a new radical. Thus, lipid peroxidation is propagated. Due to lipid peroxidation, a number of compounds such as alkanes, malondialdehyde, and isopropanes are formed. These compounds are used as markers in lipid peroxidation assay and have been verified in many diseases such as neurodegenerative diseases, ischemic reperfusion injury, and diabetes.

The elevated cytotoxic and highly reactive oxidative stress markers such as lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides causes oxidative damage to proteins as well as DNA and the reduced cellular non-enzymatic and enzymatic antioxidant levels in diabetic conditions further increases the severity of tissue dysfunction resulting in decreased insulin synthesis, secretion and finally resulting in β-cell death. In this study, the elevated levels of lipid peroxides and hydroperoxides in plasma, pancreatic, hepatic, and renal tissues of diabetic rats were significantly altered on oral administration of sinapic acid which demonstrates the anti-lipid peroxidative property of sinapic acid under oxidative stress environment.

Cells are protected against oxidative stress by an interacting network of antioxidant enzymes [65]. Superoxide released by processes such as oxidative phosphorylation is converted to hydrogen peroxide and further reduced to water. This detoxification pathway is the result of multiple enzymes, with SODs catalyzing the first step and then catalasases and various peroxides removing hydrogen peroxide [66]. SODs are a class of closely related enzymes that catalyze the breakdown of superoxide anion into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide [67]. SOD enzymes are present in almost all aerobic cells and in extracellular fluids [68]. Catalase is a common enzyme found in nearly all living organisms, which are exposed to oxygen, where it functions to catalyze the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen [69]. Hydrogen peroxide is a harmful by-product of many normal metabolic processes: To prevent damage, it must be quickly converted into other less dangerous substances. To this end, catalase is frequently used by cells to rapidly catalyze the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide into less reactive gaseous oxygen and water molecules [70].

The glutathione system includes glutathione, GR, GPx, and GST. This system is found in animals, plants, and microorganisms [71]. GR is an enzyme containing four selenium-cofactors that catalyze the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxides. There are at least four different GPx isozymes in animals [72]. The GSTs show high activity with lipid peroxides. These enzymes are at particularly high levels in the liver and also serve in detoxification metabolism [73]. The activities of enzymatic antioxidants such as SOD, CAT, GPx, and GST in the pancreatic, hepatic and renal tissues were significantly improved in sinapic acid treated diabetic rats indicating the antioxidant potential of sinapic acid.

Reduced glutathione, a ubiquitous tripeptide thiol, is an important intracellular metabolite. It acts as an antioxidant and provides secondary line of defense against intracellular free radicals and peroxides generated by oxidative stress. Reduced state of the cell is maintained by high level of GSH/GSSG ratio. When the level of GSSG, the oxidized form of GSH increased in the presence of persistently elevated ROS, then the redox state of cell get affected, and it may result in the development of diabetic complications. Measurement of intracellular GSH/GSSG ratio may provide valuable information about the redox status of the cell.

Ascorbic acid or “vitamin C” is a monosaccharide antioxidant found in both animals and plants. As it cannot be synthesized in humans and must be obtained from the diet. Most other animals are able to produce this compound in their bodies and do not require it in their diets. In cells, ascorbic acid is maintained in its reduced form by reaction with glutathione, which can be catalyzed by protein disulfide isomerase and glutaredoxins [74]. Ascorbic acid is a reducing agent capable of reduce and thereby neutralize ROS such as hydrogen peroxide [75].

Glutathione is a cysteine-containing peptide found in most forms of aerobic life. It is not required in the diet and is instead synthesized in cells from its constituent amino acids. Glutathione has antioxidant properties since the thiol group in its cysteine moiety is a reducing agent and can be reversibly oxidized and reduced. In cells, glutathione is maintained in the reduced form by the enzyme GR and in turn reduces other metabolites and enzyme systems as well as reacting directly with oxidants. Due to its high concentration and central role in maintaining the cell’s redox state, glutathione is one of the most important cellular antioxidants.
Vitamin E is the collective name for a set of eight related tocopherols and tocotrienols, which are fat-soluble vitamins with antioxidant properties [76]. It has been claimed that the α-tocopherol form is the most important lipid-soluble antioxidant and that it protects membranes from oxidation by reacting with lipid radicals produced in the lipid peroxidation chain reaction [77]. This removes the free radical intermediates and prevents the propagation reaction from continuing. This reaction produces oxidized α-tocopherol radicals that can be recycled back to the active reduced form through reduction by other antioxidants, such as ascorbate, retinol, or ubiquinone [78].

The plasma levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants such as vitamin C, vitamin E, reduced glutathione and ceruloplasmin and hepatic and renal GSH content were found to be increased in a diabetic rat model. The observed improvement in the antioxidant status reflects the antioxidant property of sinapic acid. Free radicals damage contributes to the etiology of many chronic health problems such as cardiovascular and inflammatory diseases, cataract and cancer. Antioxidants prevent free radical induced tissue damage by preventing the formation of radicals, scavenging them, or by promoting their decomposition. Studies indicate that nutrition plays a crucial role in the prevention of chronic diseases, as most of them can be related to diet.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study evidenced that sinapic acid possess significant antioxidant property in addition to its antidiabetic activity. The improved antioxidant status and declined oxidative stress markers in plasma and tissues effectively illustrate the antioxidant potential of sinapic acid. The study also portrays the protective nature of sinapic acid against hyperglycemia-mediated oxidative stress in experimental Type 2 diabetes in rats.
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