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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study is to evaluate in vitro antidiabetic and anti-inflammatory activity of different extracts of leaves of Boehmeria rugulosa by different methods.

Methods: In vitro α-glucose and α-amylase were used for antidiabetic activity and lipoxigenase, and protein denaturation method of inhibition assays was used to evaluate anti-inflammatory activity. Successive extraction of leaves petroleum ether (PE), chloroform (CH), ethyl acetate (EA), acetone (AC), and ethanol (ETH) was performed, and extracts obtained from the extraction were applicable to these activities.

Results: The AC extract of leaves shows significantly in vitro antidiabetic activity, and AC has offered significant result 470.07±0.65 µg/mL in the inhibition of α-glucosidase and also for α-amylase assay 698.15±1.71 µg/mL. Acarbose was used as standard. In lipoxidase method, AC had shown better results and in protein denaturation method EA shown the higher inhibition (78.06±0.5 µg/ml) than the other extracts. The standard drug diclofenac sodium also offered significant inhibition against lipoxidoase enzyme method with IC_{50} value 21.76±1.29 µg/mL.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that the AC and EA possess potent antidiabetic and anti-inflammatory activities in vitro conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Boehmeria rugulosa belongs to the family Urticaceae, and it is found in tropical and subtropical region of Himalayan. The plant is widely used in traditional system of medicine for human health. Leaves extracts obtained with different solvents were contained polyphenols, tannins, saponins, carbohydrates, fatty acids, and various trace elements which are responsible for the various biological activities. Some isolated and identified phytochemicals from leaves have been demonstrated to own significant biological properties [1]. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is characterized by a group of chronic endocrine disorder in which the deficiency of insulin causes glucose to accumulate in the blood, leading to a group of metabolic diseases [2]. Currently, there is growing interest in herbal remedies due to the lesser side, low cost, and better response for the treatment of DM [3]. More than 400 plants worldwide have been documented as beneficial in the treatment of diabetes [4,5].

The mechanism of the anti-inflammatory activity are shown by two different pathway. In the present study, plant extract shows the inhibition by protein denaturation method [6,7]. The mechanisms of inflammation which involve the metabolism of arachidonic acid play an important role. It can be metabolized by the 5-lipoxygenase (5-LOX) pathway to hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acids (HPETEs) and leukotrienes (LTs), which are important biologically active mediators in a variety of inflammatory events. In 5-LOX pathways, appropriate stimulation of neutrophils, anachronic acid is cleaved from membrane phospholipids and can be converted to LTs. Inhibition of 5-LOX leads to decreasing production of LTs. Furthermore, inflammatory processes also involve reactive oxygen species started by leukocyte activation. Therefore, antioxidant properties may provide important information about the potential activity of a drug on inflammatory processes [8].
Shukla and Anchal


METHODS

Standards and reagents

Bovine serum albumin, lipoxidase enzyme, and linoleic acid were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Tris buffer (Merck), p-Nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (SRL Pvt., Ltd.), α-amylase (SRL Pvt., Ltd.), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Merck), 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNSA) (SRL Pvt., Ltd.), α-glucosidase (SRL Pvt Ltd), and acarbose (Bayer India Limited), sodium carbonate (CDH), petroleum ether (PE) (Merck), ethanol (ETH) (Merck), ethyl acetate (EA) (Merck), and acetonitrile (AC) (Merck) were purchased. All other solvents and chemical used were analytical grade.

Collection of plant material

The bark of plant B. rugulosa was collected from the Rishikesh region. Voucher specimens have been put in the Herbarium of the Botanical Survey of India, Dehradun, in November 2015, with accession no. 115901. A voucher specimen has been deposited in medicinal plants Herbarium Department of Chemistry, Kanya Gurukula Campus, Gurukula Kangri Vishwavidyalaya, to registry no. 1/4. The plant materials were washed, dried in shade and ground to powder, and stored in polythene bags for further use.

Preparation of extracts and phytochemical screening

Plant extraction was done by the Soxhlet extraction process with different solvents. Phytochemical screening was carried out by standards of analytic methods [9].

Antidiabetic activity

In vitro methods employed in antidiabetic activity of each extract by α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibition assay.

α-amylase inhibition activity

The α-amylase inhibitory activity of extracts was performed using DNSA method with a slight modification [10,11].

A total of 1 ml (1–1000 µg/ml) of test samples and standard drug (100–1000 µg/ml) were added to 1 ml of 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) containing α-amylase (3 mg/ml) solution and were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After these, 1 ml of a 1% starch solution in 20 mM phosphate buffers (pH 6.9) was added to each tube. The reaction mixtures were then incubated at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction was stopped with 1 ml of DNSA color reagent. The test tubes were then incubated in a boiling water bath for 5 min, and cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then diluted after adding 9 ml distilled water, and absorbance was measured at 540 nm.

For correcting background absorbance (absorbance due to extracts or standard), the enzyme was substituted for 1 ml buffer solution with similar test procedure. The α-amylase inhibitory activity was obtained by the equation.

\[
\text{α-amylase inhibitory activity} \times 100 = \frac{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{test}}) - (A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{blank}})}{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{blank}})} 
\]

Where \( A_{\text{control}} \) represents absorbance of pure control having 100% enzyme activity (DMSO and enzyme), \( A_{\text{test}} \) symbolizes absorbance of blank for pure control having 0% enzyme activity (DMSO and buffer), \( A_{\text{sample}} \) represents absorbance of sample or standard (sample/standard and enzyme), and \( A_{\text{blank}} \) symbolizes for background absorbance due to sample and standard (sample/standard and buffer). IC\(_{50}\) of each extract and standard acarbose was calculated by the graphical method by plotting % inhibition versus concentration.

α-glucosidase inhibition activity

The inhibitory activity was determined in accordance with according to Andrade-Getto et al. [12] with a minor modification [13]. Briefly, 1 ml of each solution of different concentrations (1–5000 µg/ml) of extracts or standard acarbose in DMSO was incubated with 1 ml of α-glucosidase (1 U/ml in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.8) for 30 min at 37°C. The enzyme reaction is started by adding 1 ml of p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). The reaction mixtures were then incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by adding 4 ml 0.5 M Tris buffer. The absorbance was taken by UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies Cary-60) at 410 nm. For correcting background absorbance, the enzyme was replaced by 1 ml buffer solution with similar test procedure. The % inhibition and IC\(_{50}\) were calculated in a similar way as mentioned in α-amylase activity. Earlier 0.1 M NaOH was used to stop the reaction. Each test was performed three times, and the mean absorption was used to calculate the percentage α-glucosidase inhibition. The control samples were also prepared accordingly without any plant extracts and were compared with the test samples containing the plant extracts prepared with different solvents.

\[
\text{α-glucosidase inhibitory activity} \times 100 = \frac{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{test}}) - (A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{blank}})}{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{blank}})} 
\]

Anti-inflammatory activity

Inhibition of albumin denaturation

The anti-inflammatory activity of a plant extract was studied using inhibition of albumin denaturation technique which was studied according to Mizushima and Kobayashi [14] and Sakat et al. [15] followed with minor modifications. The reaction mixture (0.5 ml; pH 6.3) consisted of 0.45 ml of bovine serum albumin (5% aqueous solution) and 0.05 ml of distilled water. pH was adjusted at 6.3 using a small amount of 1 N HCl. Different concentrations of plant extract (50–1000 µg/ml) were added to the reaction mixture and were incubated at 37°C for 20 min and then heated at 60°C for 10 min, and after cooling the samples, 2.5 ml of phosphate buffer saline was added. Turbidity was measured spectrophotometrically at 660 nm. The percentage inhibition of protein denaturation was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = \frac{A_{\text{Control}} - A_{\text{Sample}}}{A_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]

Anti-lipoxygenase assay

Anti-lipoxygenase assay was studied using linoleic acid as substrate and lipoxidase as an enzyme. The test solution was dissolved in 0.25 ml of 2M borate buffer pH 9.0 and added 0.25 ml of lipoxidase enzyme solution (20,000 U/ml) and incubated for 5 min. at 25°C. After which, 1 ml of linoleic acid solution (0.6 mM) was added, mixed well, and absorbance was measured at 234 nm. Diclofenac was used as the reference standard [16]. Percentage inhibition of lipoxygenase assay was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Percent inhibition} = \frac{A_{\text{Control}} - A_{\text{Test}}}{A_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]

Where \( A_{\text{Control}} \) is the absorbance of the control reaction and \( A_{\text{Test}} \) is the absorbance of the control reaction to extract.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antidiabetic activity

α-Amylease inhibition activity

The in vitro α-amylase inhibitory activity of B. rugulosa leaves extracts compared with acarbose is illustrated in Table 1. All the extracts showed good inhibiting results. Acarbose showed percentage alpha-amylase inhibition of varying concentration from (1–1000 µg/ml) with an IC\(_{50}\). Lower IC\(_{50}\) value corresponds to greater potential and better therapeutic efficacy. AC extract is taken into consideration in the highest alpha-amylase inhibitory activity. It can be viewed as an excellent inhibitory activity.
α-glucosidase inhibitory activity
The in vitro α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of B. rugulosa leaves extracts compared with acarbose is illustrated in Table 2.

The α-glucosidase inhibition on changing the concentration of each extract helps in an estimation of IC₅₀ value of each extract as well as standard acarbose [17]. All the extracts showed inhibitory effects toward α-glucosidase. The AC extract had the highest inhibition activity (470.07 ± 0.65 µg/ml) while ETH had the lowest inhibition (1152.27 ± 0.37 µg/ml).

In the present study, leaves extracts were evaluated for antidiabetic activity and acarbose was used as a standard reference. The finding of the results reveals that the AC shows good results followed by the EA.

Anti-inflammatory activity
Inhibition of albumin denaturation
Protein denaturation is a process whereby proteins lose their tertiary structure and secondary structure by application of external stress, such as strong acid or base, a concentrated inorganic salt, and an organic solvent or heat. Most biologically proteins lose their biological function when denatured. Denaturation of proteins is a well-documented cause of inflammation. As part of the investigation of the mechanism of the anti-inflammatory activity, ability of plant extract to inhibit protein denaturation was examined [18]. It had successfully inhibiting heat-induced albumin denaturation. Maximum inhibition of 78.061% was measured at 500 µg/ml. Diclofenac, a standard anti-inflammation drug, showed the maximum inhibition 94.20% at the concentration 500 µg/ml in Table 3.

Comparison of all extract inhibition with the standard is shown in the Fig. 1 in and their % inhibition is shown in Table 3 for comparison purpose. The EA extract of leaves indicated the highest inhibition compared to other extracts from inhibition 78.06 µg/ml.

Anti-lipoxygenase activity
The establishment of new in vitro test systems has stimulated the screening of plants aiming to find the development of new drugs [19]. IC₅₀ values are given in the Table 4.

It is expected to result to comparison with the standard diclofenac sodium with IC₅₀ value 21.76 µg/ml. AC extract shows better results than the other extracts, which shows their potential in inflammation. The 5-LOX leads to the formation of biologically active lipoxins. Whereau, it is leading the formation of 5, 6-epoxy LTs, which are responsible for inflammation. In the present study, leaves extracts show the significant consequences for in vitro anti-inflammatory activity. In protein denaturation assay EA, extract shows better results which were followed by AC. Now, EA extract shows comparable inhibition with reference standards followed by AC.

CONCLUSION
In the present study, leaves extracts indicate the significant antiabetic and anti-inflammatory activities by in vitro α-glucosidase and alpha-amylase assay for antiabetic and heat-induced protein denaturation, and 5-LOX enzyme methods apply for anti-inflammatory activity. Our results showed that AC extract of leaves was better for antiabetic and anti-inflammatory activities followed by the EA extracts by in vitro conditions. These findings suggest that AC and EA were more potent for these activities. However, further studies currently undergo a process for different parts of this plant for biological activities.
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Table 1: IC₅₀ value of leaves extracts for α-amylase inhibition assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts/Standards</th>
<th>IC₅₀ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acarbose</td>
<td>617.23±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>1310.21±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>820.34±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>698.15±1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>497.68±1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not detectable

Table 2: IC₅₀ value of leaves extract for α-glucosidase inhibition assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts/Standards</th>
<th>IC₅₀ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acarbose</td>
<td>358.42±1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>875.89±0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>624.84±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>470.07±0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>1152.27±0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not detectable

Table 3: Effect of leaves extracts on heat-induced protein denaturation treatment concentration (500 µg/ml) and absorbance at 660 nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts/standards</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac sodium</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>94.20±0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>63.20±1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>64.47±1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>78.06±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>71.81±1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>34.50±1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: IC₅₀ values of leaves extracts with standards for lipoxidase inhibition assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts/standards</th>
<th>IC₅₀ values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac sodium</td>
<td>21.76±1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>254.46±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>249.89±0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>89.07±0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>151.27±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not detectable
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