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INTRODUCTION

Fish is a major source of animal protein for people all over the world, especially for those in the lowest stratum of the society in the developing countries who live on less than a dollar per day [1] reported that fish accounted for about 17% of the global population's animal protein intake and 6.7% of all protein consumed in 2013. Moreover, fish provided more than 3.1 billion people with almost 20% of their average per capita intake of animal protein. In addition to being a rich source of easily digested, high quality protein containing all essential amino acids, fish provides essential fats (e.g., long-chain omega-3 fatty acids), vitamins (D, A, and B), and minerals (including calcium, iodine, zinc, and selenium), particularly if eaten whole [1]. Even modicum quantities of fish can have an essential positive nutritional impact on plant-based diets such as maize, wheat, cassava, sorghum, and yam and this is the case in many developing countries like Nigeria.

Fish farming as an agricultural business has great capability or potential to raise family income, provide employment opportunities, and reduce the problem of food insecurity among rural, periurban, and urban dwellers [2]. Estimated 56.6 million people were engaged in the primary sector of capture fisheries and aquaculture in 2014, of whom 36% were engaged full time, 23% part-time, and the remainder were either occasional fishers or of unspecified status. Following a long upward trend, numbers have remained relatively stable since 2010, while the proportion of these workers who engaged in aquaculture increased from 17% in 1990 to 33% in 2014 [1].

Nigeria needs about 2.66 million metric tons of fish annually to meet the dietary requirement of her citizens. Regrettably, the total aggregate domestic fish supply from all sources (capture and culture fisheries) is <0.7 million metric tons per annum [3]. Nigeria has to import about 1.96 million metric tons of fish valued at about $500 million annually to augment the shortfall. This colossal importation of frozen fish into the country has ranked Nigeria the largest importer of frozen fish in Africa [3]. It has been observed that Nigeria can be self-sufficient in fish production if domestic capacity is greatly enhanced so as to transform the country from being a net importer of fish into a net exporter of fish. To reverse this negative trend of huge fish importation with its attendant drains on the nation's economic reserve, it is pertinent to ensure that resources being used in fish farming are allocated efficiently to produce fish that will not only reach market weight within the shortest period but also meet local demand and also to ensure that fish farmers can make quick returns from their investment of money, time, energy, and resources. Allocative efficiency defines a point whereby a business enterprise or organization is able to achieve maximum result (i.e., realize the highest yield or the highest possible output) at least-cost combination of resources or inputs. Although some studies exist on fish farming in Ondo State, only limited studies exist on allocative efficiency of resources used in fish farming in the state, particularly in Owo Local Government Area (LGA) of the state, and that is why a study like this is essential to serve as a guide on how limited or scarce resources can be utilized efficiently so as to obtain maximum yield in fish production.

METHODS

Description of the study area

Owo LGA is one of the 18 LGAs in Ondo State. Owo traced its origin to the scenic and ancient city of Ife-Ife, the cradle of Yoruba culture. The coordinates of the LGA are 7°11'N and 5°35'E. The LGA is blessed with tropical rain forest climate and high humidity with a temperature that ranges between 21°C and 28°C [4]. Owo in 2006 has a total population of 222,262, with males accounting for 112,056 and females accounting for 110,206 [5]. With an annual growth rate of 2.87%, the projected population of Owo in the year 2017 is put at 292,430. The present-day Owo is an agrarian society that is involved in fish farming, growing, and trading of yams, cassava, maize, pepper, okra, cocoa, etc.

Sampling method

A multistage sampling procedure was adopted for this study. In the first stage, Owo LGA was purposively selected out of the 18 LGAs in Ondo...
State for this study because of its high profile aquaculture status in the state. This prominent aquaculture status was attested to by Ondo State Fisheries Department of 2013, in which Owo LGA was listed as having 97 registered fish farmers. Simple random sampling technique was then used to select fifty fish farmers from the LGA, which represents 51.5% of the active fish farmers in the LGA.

Method of data collection
Primary data were collected through the use of interview schedule and questionnaires distributed to fish farmers. The information gathered through the use of questionnaires and interview schedule includes inputs used (fish seeds, feed, water, labor) and output of the farming exercise (the quantity of table size fish harvested in kilogram).

Analytical technique
Data obtained from the study were analyzed using regression method. Equation 1 was used to derive the marginal physical product (MPP) with respect to each input while equation 2 was used to determine the effect of certain variables on the quantity of fish harvested at the end of the production cycle.

\[ Y = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3 + a_4 x_4 + e \]  

Using logarithm to transform equation 1

\[ \log Y = \log a_0 + a_1 \log x_1 + a_2 \log x_2 + a_3 \log x_3 + a_4 \log x_4 + e \]  

where

- \( Y \) = Quantity of fish in kg.
- \( x_1 \) = Pond size (meter square).
- \( x_2 \) = Fish seeds (unit).
- \( x_3 \) = Feeds (kg).
- \( x_4 \) = Labor (man-days).
- \( e \) = Error term, i.e., random variable which captures factors outside farmer’s control.
- \( a_0 \) = Constant term.
- \( a_1-a_4 \) = Regression coefficients.

Allocative efficiency in fish farming can be determined using certain physical parameters such as MPP, unit cost of each input used, and unit price of table size fish harvested at the end of production cycle. The MPP with respect to each input is obtained from the first derivative of equation 1. For instance, the MPP for pond size is given as \( \frac{dY}{dX_1} \). Therefore, the allocative efficiency of resources or inputs used in production process like fish farming is given as follows:

\[ ALeff = \frac{MPP_x1 \times P_y}{P_x1} \]  

MPP \( x_1 \) \( P_y \) = MVP while \( P_x \) = MFC. Therefore, allocative efficiency can also be written as

\[ ALeff = \frac{MVP}{MFC} \]  

where

- \( ALeff \) = Allocative efficiency.
- \( MPP_x \) = Marginal physical product of the \( i^{th} \) input. It is the change in output as a result of a unit change in a particular input.
- \( P_y \) = Unit price of table size fish and it is obtained by dividing total revenue by the quantity of table size fish produced.
- \( P_x \) = Unit cost of \( i^{th} \) resource used in raising fish. It is obtained by dividing the total cost of that particular input by the quantity of such input used.
- \( MVP \) = Marginal value product, which is the change in the total value of product due to a unit change in the amount of variable input used in production.

MFC = Marginal factor cost, which is the addition to total cost of production as a result of using an extra unit of input.

Allocative efficiency of pond size, for instance, can be determined as follows:

\[ ALeff = \frac{MPP_x1 \times P_y}{P_x1} \]

To determine the efficiency of resources used in production process, the following decision criteria were established [6,7].

\[ \frac{MVP_x1}{MFC} > 1 \] indicates underutilization of resources.

\[ \frac{MVP_x1}{MFC} < 1 \] indicates overutilization of resources.

\[ \frac{MVP_x1}{MFC} = 1 \] indicates optimal utilization of resources.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Results of regression analysis
To determine the effect of factors such as pond size, fish seeds (fingerlings or juveniles), feeds, and labor on the quantity of fish harvested at the end of the production cycle, a regression analysis was conducted and the double log form specified in equation 2 was used. The results are presented in Table 1.

The results indicated that variables such as fish seeds and feeds were positive and significant while pond size and labor were not significant but positive.

In line with apriori expectation, the regression coefficient of the number of fingerlings or juveniles stocked was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This indicates that a unit increase in the number of fish seeds stocked will lead to an increase in fish output. This result is in consonance with the findings of Nwosu and Onyeneye [8] in their work on the effect of productive factors of pond fish on fish output in Owerri, Imo State, that farmers who stocked more fingerlings or juveniles recorded higher output than their counterparts who stocked less.

The amount of feeds administered in raising fish was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This implies that feeds are very essential in raising fish to reach marketable size within the shortest period. This is in tandem with the work of Ele et al. [9] that an increase in the amount of quality feeds applied in raising fingerlings or juveniles will definitely lead to an increase in the quantity of table size fish that will be cropped at the end of the production cycle.

The coefficients of pond size were positive but not significant, implying that a lot of the farmers in the study area were small-scale fish farmers of fingerlings or juveniles, feeds, and labor on the quantity of fish harvested at the end of the production cycle, a regression analysis was conducted and the double log form specified in equation 2 was used. The results are presented in Table 1.

The results of regression analysis
The results indicated that variables such as fish seeds and feeds were positive and significant while pond size and labor were not significant but positive.

In line with apriori expectation, the regression coefficient of the number of fingerlings or juveniles stocked was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This indicates that a unit increase in the number of fish seeds stocked will lead to an increase in fish output. This result is in consonance with the findings of Nwosu and Onyeneye [8] in their work on the effect of productive factors of pond fish on fish output in Owerri, Imo State, that farmers who stocked more fingerlings or juveniles recorded higher output than their counterparts who stocked less.

The amount of feeds administered in raising fish was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This implies that feeds are very essential in raising fish to reach marketable size within the shortest period. This is in tandem with the work of Ele et al. [9] that an increase in the amount of quality feeds applied in raising fingerlings or juveniles will definitely lead to an increase in the quantity of table size fish that will be cropped at the end of the production cycle.

The coefficients of pond size were positive but not significant, implying that a lot of the farmers in the study area were small-scale fish farmers of pond size, feed, and labor on the quantity of fish harvested at the end of the production cycle, a regression analysis was conducted and the double log form specified in equation 2 was used. The results are presented in Table 1.

The results indicated that variables such as fish seeds and feeds were positive and significant while pond size and labor were not significant but positive.

In line with apriori expectation, the regression coefficient of the number of fingerlings or juveniles stocked was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This indicates that a unit increase in the number of fish seeds stocked will lead to an increase in fish output. This result is in consonance with the findings of Nwosu and Onyeneye [8] in their work on the effect of productive factors of pond fish on fish output in Owerri, Imo State, that farmers who stocked more fingerlings or juveniles recorded higher output than their counterparts who stocked less.

The amount of feeds administered in raising fish was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This implies that feeds are very essential in raising fish to reach marketable size within the shortest period. This is in tandem with the work of Ele et al. [9] that an increase in the amount of quality feeds applied in raising fingerlings or juveniles will definitely lead to an increase in the quantity of table size fish that will be cropped at the end of the production cycle.

The coefficients of pond size were positive but not significant, implying that a lot of the farmers in the study area were small-scale fish farmers of pond size, feeds, and labor on the quantity of fish harvested at the end of the production cycle, a regression analysis was conducted and the double log form specified in equation 2 was used. The results are presented in Table 1.

The results indicated that variables such as fish seeds and feeds were positive and significant while pond size and labor were not significant but positive.

In line with apriori expectation, the regression coefficient of the number of fingerlings or juveniles stocked was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This indicates that a unit increase in the number of fish seeds stocked will lead to an increase in fish output. This result is in consonance with the findings of Nwosu and Onyeneye [8] in their work on the effect of productive factors of pond fish on fish output in Owerri, Imo State, that farmers who stocked more fingerlings or juveniles recorded higher output than their counterparts who stocked less.
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Table 1: Regression estimates of variables determining the quantity of harvested fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond size</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>2.394±0.500</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish seeds</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>7.289**</td>
<td>3.509±0.490</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeds</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>6.136**</td>
<td>3.019±0.680</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>2.45±0.287</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R$^2$</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>177.55**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durbin-Watson</td>
<td>1.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<0.01

Table 2: Values of allocative efficiency of resources used in fish farming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>MPP</th>
<th>$P_i$(₦)</th>
<th>MVP(₦)</th>
<th>MFC(₦)</th>
<th>$A_{LE}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond size</td>
<td>0.1255</td>
<td>56.100</td>
<td>70.41</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>7.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish seeds</td>
<td>0.4715</td>
<td>56.100</td>
<td>264.51</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>11.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeds</td>
<td>0.4984</td>
<td>56.100</td>
<td>279.69</td>
<td>271.00</td>
<td>1.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
<td>56.100</td>
<td>123.42</td>
<td>175.00</td>
<td>0.705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey, 2013

Where

D = Depreciation,
P = Purchased value,
S = Salvage value,
N = Life span of input.

Inadequate capital might have led to farmers not stocking their ponds with adequate and quality fish seeds that will reach marketable size within the shortest period of time. The fact that fish seeds were under-utilized in the area buttresses the point that fish farmers need to scale the hurdle of financial difficulty in order to have a thriving fish farming business. This result is contrary to the findings of a study on allocative efficiency in pond fish production in Delta State, Nigeria where it was reported that fish farmers over-stocked their ponds with slow growing, economically less viable species of fish [10].

The allocative efficiency estimate shows that feeds with a value of 1.032 were optimally utilized by fish farmers. This might be connected with the availability of local fish feeds at a relatively cheap price which fish farmers used together with highly nutritive foreign feeds to raise their fish. This result is contrary to the findings of [10] on allocative efficiency in pond fish production in Delta State, Nigeria where it was reported that fish farmers over-utilized feeds in the process of raising fish.

The over-utilization of labour discovered in the study area can be traced to the availability of family labour which fish farmers can easily make use of whenever the need arises. This result is in agreement with the findings of previous research work like evaluation of technical efficiency of catfish production in Oyo State, Nigeria where it was reported that fish farmers under-utilized labour in catfish production [11]. However, this result is contrary to the findings of [12] on resource productivity in small scale catfish farming in Rivers State, Nigeria. They reported that fish farmers under-utilized labour in catfish farming in the state.

CONCLUSION

The results showed that fish farmers in the study area were inefficient in allocating productive resources in fish farming as all the resources (pond size, fish seeds, feeds, and labor) were overutilized. This also indicates that the technical know-how of farmers in the area about fish production is also deficient. To improve efficiency, fish farmers need to reduce the quantity of these resources that are employed in fish production. For instance, instead of having numerous small ponds, the fish farmers should concentrate their efforts on having few ponds that they can manage effectively in such a way as to make maximum profit from their investment. There is need for capacity development on how fish farmers can run their farms efficiently.
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