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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this research was to formulate the captopril as mucoadhesive buccal films for hypertension treatment and studying the effect of different variables on the physical and mechanical behavior of the prepared films.

Methods: The buccal-adhesive patches were prepared using hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose K4 (HPMC) as film forming a polymer with secondary polymer included carbopol 934 and eudragit RL100. The patches were prepared by a solvent casting method and evaluated for the weight variation, surface pH, mechanical properties, content, uniformity, ex-vivo mucoadhesive strength, ex-vivo permeation study and drug release study.

Results: Formula F5 containing HPMC as primary polymer with carbopol 934 as secondary polymer was chosen to be the best formulation for the following parameters: surface pH6.44, tensile strength (16.06), percentage elongation at break (34.14), swelling index(18.85), mucoadhesive strength(26.2 gm) and the folding endurance was>300 with an in vitro drug release about 94.73% during 6 h. Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) and differential scanning calorimetric studies (DSC) showed no interaction between the drug and polymers.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that oral mucoadhesive buccal film of captopril, an antihypertensive agent can be prepared utilizing HPMC as a film forming a polymer with carbopol as a secondary polymer which extended the drug release through the buccal mucosa for 6 h.
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INTRODUCTION

The sites of oral mucosa are dissimilar from each other in the anatomical construction, drug permeation and their capability to hold a delivery dosage form for a definite period of time [1]. Though the sublingual area has a high absorption ability, good blood supply and high bioavailability, but it is unsuitable for the sustained drug administration due to the sublingual area lack immobile smooth muscle and washed by adequate volume of saliva make it suitable for a drug with short time management and frequent use [2, 3]. Drug delivery through the buccal mucosa is an innovative method for local and systemic management since the buccal mucosa is permeable with high blood supply and permits long-time retention of the dosage form [4]. Captopril is an antihypertensive drug used for the management of hypertension and heart failure through reduction of angiotensin II and an increase of bradykinin production [5]. Also, it has a renoprotective effect in the diabetic patient [6]. Furthermore, approximately 60-75% of captopril is absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract, and the peak plasma concentrations are obtained through 1 h [7]. It has two dissociations constant pKa1 (3.7) and pKa2 (9.8), the half-life about 1-2 h [8]. Hence captopril is a suitable candidate for the buccal drug administration. The objective of this study prepared captopril as a mucoadhesive buccal film for hypertension treatment in order to increase bioavailability, reduce dosing frequency and improve patient compliance via solvent casting method and studying the effect of different variables on the physical and mechanical properties of the prepared films.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Captopril obtained from Awamedica, Iraqi company as a gift sample, (HPMC), carbopol and polyvinyl alkol (PVA) were obtained from fine Indian chemicals. Eudragit was obtained from Rohm, GmbH, Weiterstadt, Germany, England. Propylene glycol (PG) was obtained from Evans Medical Ltd, Liverpool. All other reagents and chemicals were of analytical grade.

Different instruments, apparatus and equipment, have been used in this study: Digital venire caliper obtained from Shanghai (China), pH meter obtained from radiometer (Denmark), UV spectrophotometers obtained from emclab gmbH (Germany), Dissolution type (2) apparatus obtained from Coply scientific (UK), FT-IR spectrophotometer obtained from Shimadzu (Japan), differential scanning calorimetry obtained from Shimadzu (Japan).

Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) and differential scanning calorimetric studies (DSC) showed no interaction between the drug and polymers.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that oral mucoadhesive buccal film of captopril, an antihypertensive agent can be prepared utilizing HPMC as a film forming a polymer with carbopol as a secondary polymer which extended the drug release through the buccal mucosa for 6 h.
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Instruments, equipment and apparatus

Eight formulations (F1-F8) were prepared (table 1) by a solvent casting method using the different percentage of polymer and each film with a surface area approximately four cm² are loaded with 12.5 mg captopril. The PVA solution was prepared by dissolving the polymer in hot water at (60-100 °C) then the solution was left to cool [9]. While (HPMC) solution was prepared by heating 20-30% of distilled water volume with stirring to (80-90 °C) then HPMC was added. After that, the final volume was completed with cold water under stirring [10].

In all the formulas, the polymers were dissolved in a proper solvent with stirring under magnetic stirrer. Then PG as a plasticizer (30% of polymer weight) was added. Finally captopril powder 12.5 mg was added to the polymer solution. The prepared solution was left overnight to get rid of the air bubbles.

Then these solutions were poured into aluminum foil (to be used as a backing layer) in a glass mould of diameter 9 cm and left to dry in a hot air oven adjusted at 50 °C until flexible patches were obtained. The dried patches were divided into 2×2 cm² diameter and then used for this study.

Evaluation of captopril mucoadhesive buccal patch

Weight variation

Three randomly chosen patches were selected and weighed everyone alone using a digital balance then the mean value for each formulation was measured [11].
Thickness
Three patches randomly are chosen from each formulation and after that, the thickness was measured at five points using a digital venire caliper, then the average value was taken [12].

Folding endurance
This test gives the idea about elasticity and flexibility of patches. It was determined manually by repetitively collapsing single patch at the same point until broken. The number of times foldable at which the patch is not broken is indicated to the value of folding endurance [13].

Surface pH
In this test, film was allowed in contact with five ml of distilled water for 60 min at room temperature and then the pH measured by using pH meter, this done in triplicate and take the mean value [14].

Content uniformity
This test was measured by dissolving the patch (2±0.2) cm² in 100 ml phosphate buffer pH 6.8 under magnetic stirrer for 60 min, then the end result solution filtered, diluted with phosphate buffer and determined drug content by UV spectrophotometer at wavelength 206 nm in triplicate [15].

Tensile strength and percentage of elongation
Tensile strength (TS) is the maximum stress applied to a point at which the film breaks. Elongation is defined as a measure of the capacity of a patch to deform prior to failure. Tensile strength and percent elongation (% EB) of the patches were determined on tensile strength testing apparatus. Rectangular patch strips of 5×2 cm² were fixed between the jaws of the instrument. The load on the strip was gradually increased to a maximum at a speed of 50 mm/min. and the change in the length of the strips that occurred with increasing stress was measured. TS and (%EB) of three patches of each batch were measured [16].

Swelling index
For determining the swelling index, the film (2×2) cm² was weighed on a pre-weighted microscope slide (W₀) and kept in a Petri dish containing 50 ml buffer solution. For one hour and at a regular period interval, the microscope slide was removed from Petri dish and reweigh again (Wₜ). The mean of three determinations was recorded [17]. Then the swelling index was measured by using the following equation:

\[
\text{Swelling index } = \frac{(Wₜ-W₀)}{W₀} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

Where W₀ is the weight of the patch before dipping into a phosphate buffer solution pH 6.8, Wₜ is the weight of the patch after dipping into a phosphate buffer solution pH 6.8.

Ex-vivo mucoadhesive strength
A modified physical balance (locally assembled) was utilized for measuring the mucoadhesive strength. The fresh chicken pouch was placed upon glass slide by the help of cyanoacrylate glue; the right pan, in order to make the film in contact with pouch membrane. The balance was leaved in this situation for five minutes. Then distilled water was slowly added to the empty beaker until the film separate from the chicken pouch. The weight required to separate the film from the chicken pouch represents the measurement of mucoadhesiveness strength [19,20].

The forces of adhesion and bond strength were calculated using following equations:

\[
\text{Force of adhesion (N) } = \frac{\text{Mucoadhesion strength}}{1000} \times 9.81 \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Bond strength (N/M) } = \frac{\text{Force of adhesion (N)}}{\text{Surface area (M²)}} \quad (3)
\]

In vitro release study
All the prepared captopril buccal patches were measured using a dissolution apparatus, adjusted at 37 °C, rotate at 50rpm and the dissolution jar filled with a 500 ml phosphate buffer solution pH 6.8 [21]. In order to produce a unidirectional drug release, the patch (2×2) cm² was placed upon glass slide by the help of cyanoacrylate glue, then the slide immersed in the dissolution apparatus jar. Aliquots of five ml sample were taken from the jar at regular time period (15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, and 360) min and replaced with equal volume of buffer solution since the drug is soluble. The sample suitably diluted and analyzed by UV spectrophotometers at 206 nm wavelength, then the dissolution profile of captopril is constructed by plotting the percent of accumulative drug release against time. The mean of three determinations was recorded [22].

Drug polymer compatibility study
Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)
Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy was determined to find any physical or chemical interaction between the drug and other material used in the dosage form. FT-IR spectrum was performed for the pure captopril powder and the selected formula. Samples were mixed with potassium bromide and pressed to form a disc; then this prepared disc was investigated using FT-IR spectroscopy in the range 4000-40 cm⁻¹ [23].

Differential scanning calorimetric studies (DSC)
Differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) was used to determine the loss or gained of heat, produced from physical or chemical change inside the sample as a function of heat. The DSC scans were employed for pure captopril powder, a physical mixture of polymer and the drug in a ratio (1:1) and for selected captopril mucoadhesive buccal patch. The test was carried by using a Shimadzu DSC apparatus with temperature range 50-300 and in a rate 10/min [24].

Statistical analysis
The results of the experimental work were demonstrated as a mean of triplicate models (±SD) were examined in relation to the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The differences were considered statistically significant when (P≤ 0.05) and non-significant at a level of (P>0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical evolution
The average weights for all prepared formulations were uniform and ranged (149.04-174.18 mg). All the captopril buccal patch showed a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient (mg)</th>
<th>Formula code</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
<th>F5</th>
<th>F6</th>
<th>F7</th>
<th>F8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Captopril</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbopol934</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eudragit RL100</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Composition of captopril mucoadhesive buccal patch
satisfactory thickness (0.225-0.330 mm) and folding endurance more than 300, the surface pH value (6.38-6.88), when compared to that pH of oral mucosa indicating that it doesn’t cause an irritation to the buccal mucosa.

Content uniformly
The formulated captopril buccal patch showed the acceptable quantity of medicament ranged from (93.03-101.01%). This result met the suitable extend of content uniformly labeled in BP, which is rung from 85% to 115%. According to that, captopril was spread consistently throughout the four cm² constant area of the buccal patches.

Effect of plasticizer concentration
The result was shown that changing PG concentration (18.75 mg for F1, 28.125 mg for F2 and 37.5 mg for F3) which represent (20, 30, and 40%) of total polymer weight affect both (TS) and (%EB). Increasing plasticizer concentration caused a significant decrease (P<0.05) in TS and significant increase (P<0.05) in %EB, this is due that as the concentration of plasticizer increased this lead to loosen the polymer molecule network and decrease the molecule movement within the polymer [25].

So that optimization of plasticizer concentration was achieved by selecting (28.125 mg) of PG, which is equal to (30% of total polymer weight) that provided both acceptable TS and %EB. Additionally, there is non-significant (P>0.05) increased in swelling index as PG concentration increased (F1, F2, F3) as in fig. (1), this it because of the hygroscopic nature of PG, which leads to a reduction in force between the polymer molecules and increasing moisture content [26].

Effect of type of film forming polymer
Results showed that films containing PVA (F2) significantly higher TS (P<0.05) and (% EB) than F4 (containing HPMC) table (2). This is because PVA polymer contains a larger number of chains of molecules and between these chains, there is a homopolar bond, these homopolar bonds either strong or weak according to the polymer type. So that the force required for homopolar bond breakdown and patch rupturing will differ [29].

Swelling index, it was seen that formulas containing PVA (F2) showed significant decrease (P<0.05) in swelling index than formula containing HPMC (F4) this is because, there is averring in polymer network resistance toward water molecule penetration, same observations seen in the mucoadhesion patch of salbutamol sulphate [30].

Concerning the mucoadhesive characteristics in table (3), it was seen that there is a significant increase (P<0.05) in mucoadhesive and bond strengths for formula containing HPMC than those containing PVA, this is due to HPMC hydrate rapidly producing maximum swelling at short time, which facilitated polymer chain’s interpenetration with mucus membrane, additionally HPMC having higher molecular weight and viscosity than PVA, and contain both carboxyl and hydroxyl group necessary for mucoadhesive. Furthermore, drug release from F4 (483.11%) at 6 h slower than from F2(92.57%), this is explained by HPMC has been an extensive swelling character, which led to produce a thick gel barrier for drug diffusion [31].

Table 2: Tensile strength and percent of elongation of captopril mucoadhesive buccal patches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula no.</th>
<th>TS (MPa)*</th>
<th>%EB*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>56.3±2.23</td>
<td>204.12±11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>52.2±0.89</td>
<td>245.40±9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>33.0±1.02</td>
<td>493.25±14.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>19.5±0.54</td>
<td>24.06±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>16.0±0.87</td>
<td>34.14±0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>10.1±0.43</td>
<td>26.80±0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>21.1±0.27</td>
<td>29.06±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>29.9±0.78</td>
<td>23.13±0.128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD standard deviation from mean. n=3
Table 3: Mucoadhesive characteristic of captopril mucoadhesive buccal patches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula no.</th>
<th>Mucoadhesive strength* (g)</th>
<th>Force of adhesion (N)</th>
<th>Bond strength (Nm^-2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>5.42±0.20</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>132.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>6.55±0.03</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>132.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>7.80±0.111</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>191.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>21.8±0.511</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>543.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>26.2±0.256</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>641.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>30.11±0.228</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>737.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>19.12±0.505</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>468.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>16.29±0.107</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>398.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD standard deviation from mean, n=3

Effect of polymeric blend ratio

The addition of carbopol 934 in different ratios for the formulas F5 and F6 results in a significantly decreased in TS (P<0.05) and increased in %EB as the amount of carbopol increased to produce a flexible and soft patch, a similar finding was observed in designing a buccal patch of salbutamol sulphate [32].

While, addition of eudragit RL 100 to HPMC in different ratios (F7-F8) result in a significant increase in TS (P<0.05) and decrease in % EB, this is because of eudragit produces strong cross-linking which leads to increase in the strength bonds of the polymer chains, similar observation was found in development buccal patch of indomethacin [33].

Concerning the swelling index (fig. 1), the addition of carbopol in a different ratio with HPMC (F5, F6) led to significant increase in the swelling index (P<0.05), this is because of its water solubility allows it to dissolve rapidly to produce high porosity. While using eudragit RL100 as a secondary polymer with different concentrations results in a significant decrease (P<0.05) in the swelling index as the eudragit concentration increase, this is because of its hydrophobic character and limited swelling ability. While incorporation of carbopol into HPMC (F5, F6) result in a significant increase (P<0.05) in the mucoadhesive and bond strengths, this is because that carbopol is polyacrylic acid containing both carboxyl and hydroxyl group, which permits the attractive polymer interaction with mucin layers.

While incorporated eudragit with HPMC (F7, F8) results in a significant decrease in the mucoadhesive and bond strength (P<0.05) as the concentration of the eudragit increase, this is because of absent from a proton donating carboxyl group in eudragit, which permit a formation of a weak hydrogen bonding with mucus membrane. For drug release, in polymer blend containing carbopol (F5, F6), there is a significant increase in drug release (P<0.05) as the concentration of carbopol increase fig. (2), this is because of the carbopol ionization at pH 6.8 environment, which is higher than its pKa, so that its ionization creates a negative charge on the polymer backbone. The Same charge of polymer and mucin (negative charge) lead to repulsion, increase water uptake and drug diffusion from the matrix [34].

Table 4: FT-IR absorption bands of captopril and the prepared patch F5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic groups</th>
<th>Pure drug [35]</th>
<th>Selected formula(F5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-H stretching</td>
<td>2567</td>
<td>2565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=O(in COOH)</td>
<td>1743</td>
<td>1702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=O (in amide)</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-N stretching</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-H stretching</td>
<td>3369</td>
<td>3352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3 bending</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>1448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3 symmetric stretching</td>
<td>2877</td>
<td>2936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3 Asymmetric stretching</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td>2976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drug polymer compatibility study

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)

The principal peaks of pure captopril and the selected formula F4 were shown in the table (4). The resulted values show that the peaks don’t shift significantly in the FT-IR spectra of the selected formula F5 in comparison with the pure captopril as seen in fig. 3 and 4, respectively, and indicating the compatibility of captopril with the additives used.

Fig. 3: FT-IR spectrum of pure captopril

Fig. 4: FT-IR spectrum of the selected formula F5 of captopril mucoadhesive buccal patch
Differential scanning calorimetric studies

Captopril peak was clear in DSC thermogram at 111.53 °C around its melting point fig. (5), which indicate that captopril used in its pure crystalline state as compared with reference [36]. The DSC technique was used to give an idea about the thermal stability of the drug and additives. The DSC of the physical mixture of captopril, carbopol and HPMC give an endothermic peak at 109.43 °C fig. (6), which indicates there is no interaction between the drug and polymers used, while DSC thermogram of captopril buccal patch shows a complete disappearance of captopril endothermic peak fig. (7), proposing that the captopril was uniformly dispersed in the polymer matrices.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that formulation F5 using a combination of two mucoadhesive polymers HPMC and carbopol could be used to release captopril in buccal cavity for an extended period of time without the risk of mucosal irritation for hypertension treatment. This will enhance the patient compliance throughout reduction of dosing administration.
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