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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** The aim of the present study was to prepare a new liquid-solid tablet to enhance the dissolution and bioavailability of a poor water soluble calcium channel blocker lacidipine.

**Methods:** Firstly, solubility study of lacidipine in different media of water-miscible non-volatile solvents as tween 20, tween 80, propylene glycol, liquid paraffin, PEG200, PEG400, and PEG600 was investigated to select the most suitable solvent. A mathematical model was applied to calculate the appropriate amount of carrier and coating material.

Four liquid-solid tablets of 6 mg lacidipine were prepared by dissolving the drug in the previously chosen water miscible non-volatile solvents, then a binary mixture of the carrier (Avicel PH 102) and coating material (Aerosil 200) at a ratio of 45:1 was used in all preparation since it gave the optimal flow property. Croscarmellose and magnesium stearate were incorporated in all prepared formulas as super disintegrant and lubricant respectively. On the other hand, directly compressed lacidipine tablet of the same previous composition without the addition of any non-volatile solvent was prepared for comparison study. Both characterizations of powder mixture and post-compression tablet evaluations were done. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) were investigated for the pure drug, physical mixture, and selected liquid-solid tablet to exclude any drug-excipients interaction.

**Results:** The obtained results indicated that PEG 200 was the most suitable solvent with lacidipine solubility of 2.81 mg/ml. Flowability of all the prepared formulas was found to be within the specification limits. The liquid-solid tablet formula with PEG 200 at 10% w/w lacidipine was the most suitable one in the term of disintegration time (21±0.2 second), 100% of drug release within 10 min, and with accepted other tablet properties.

DSC thermograms for both physical mixture of selected liquisolid system and its tablets illustrated the formation of lacidipine amorphous solid solution. The absence of chemical interaction between drug and other formula components was confirmed by remaining all characteristic peaks of lacidipine in all investigated FTIR spectra.

**Conclusion:** Liquid-solid tablet was considered as a promising system to enhance solubility and dissolution rate of poor-water soluble lacidipine.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The poor dissolution rate of water-insoluble drugs is still a substantial problem confronting the pharmaceutical industry. A great number of new and possibly, beneficial chemical entities do not reach the public merely because of their poor oral bioavailability due to inadequate dissolution [1].

Due to their low bioavailability, poorly water-soluble drugs cause many difficulties in the development of pharmaceutical dosage forms for oral delivery [2]. Different techniques have been published in the scientific literature to enhance the dissolution profile and also the absorption efficiency and bioavailability of water-insoluble and/or liquid lipophilic drugs.

Reduction of the particle size via micronisation or nanonisation leading to the increased surface area, use of surfactants, lyophilisation, use of co-solvents, self-emulsification and self-microemulsification [2-3].

The most promising and innovative technique for promoting dissolution and in vivo bioavailability of poorly soluble drugs is the formulation of liquisolid systems [4]. Its main advantages include simplicity of manufacturing, use of commercially available excipients, and application of well-known methods and equipment utilized for the manufacturing of conventional tablets [5].

The liquisolid systems are generally considered as acceptably flowing and compressible powdered forms of liquid medications (that implies liquid lipophilic [oily] drugs, or water-insoluble solid drugs dissolved in suitable water-miscible nonvolatile solvent systems [6].

Such liquid medicament may be converted into a dry looking, non-sticky, acceptably flowing, and easily compressible powders by a simple admixture with selected powder excipients referred to as the carrier and coating materials [7]. The technique of liquisolid compacts has been successfully employed to improve the in vitro release of poorly water-soluble drugs such as carbamazepine, famotidine, piroxicam, indomethacin, hydrocortisone, naproxen, furosemide, and prednisolone [8].

Lacidipine (LCDP) is chemically a 1, 4-dihydropyridine derivative, which is pharmacologically a calcium channel blocker used as an anti-hypertensive drug. LCDP works by blocking calcium channels in the arterial wall those are present in the muscle cell [9]. The chemical structure of lacidipine was shown in fig. (1)

In the present study, a poorly soluble calcium channel blocker lacidipine were prepared as liquisolid compacts using water-miscible non-volatile solvents to enhance solubility and the dissolution rate...
with compare the in vitro drug release profile of formulated liquisolid tablets with the prepared direct compressed tablet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The following materials were used: Lacidipine was purchased from Hangzhou Hyper Chemical Limited, China, Avicel PH 102 and Aerosil 200 (Wuhan Senswayer Century chemical Co., Ltd), crosscarmellose sodium (Rajesh Chemicals, Mumbai, India). Propylene glycols, polyethylene glycol (PEG 200), polyethylene glycol (PEG 400), polyethylene glycol (PEG 600), tween 80, tween 20 and liquid paraffin were obtained from SD Fine Chem Ltd, Mumbai, India. All reagents used were of analytical grade.

Methods

Solubility studies

Solubility studies of lacidipine were carried out in water, tween solution, propylene glycol, PEG 200, PEG 400, PEG 600, tween 80, tween 20 and liquid paraffin. Saturated solutions were prepared by adding an excess drug to the vehicles and shaking in a water bath with a shaker for 48 h at 25±0.5 °C under constant vibration. After this period the solutions were filtered, diluted and analyzed by spectrophotometer at λmax 262 nm (cary, Australia). Three determinations were carried out for each sample to calculate the solubility of lacidipine.

Application of the mathematical model for designing the liquisolid systems

A powder can only retain a limited amount of liquid medication while maintaining acceptable flowability and compressibility. Therefore, in order to attain a liquisolid system with acceptable flowable and compressible properties,a mathematical model introduced and validated by Spireas is recommended to calculate the appropriate quantities of carrier and coating material [11].

The model is based on two fundamental properties of a powder, i.e., flowable liquid retention potential (Φ value) and compressible liquid retention potential (Ψ value). The Φ and Ψ values of a powder excipient represent the maximum quantity of liquid vehicle that can be retained in the powder bulk without compromising flowability and compressibility [12].

In this study, PEG 200, Microcrystalline Cellulose (Avicel PH 102-MCC), and Aerosil 200 were used as a liquid vehicle, carrier, coating respectively. The concentration of the drug in the liquid vehicle was varied and the carrier: coating ratio was kept constant in all formulations (R=45:1).

Preparation of directly compressed tablets (DCT)

Compressed tablet containing 6 mg of lacidipine was prepared with direct compression method without addition of any non-volatile liquid vehicle. The lacidipine powder was mixed with suitable amounts of considered carrier and coating material. Afterwards, 5% of crosscarmellose was added as a disintegrant and mixed, and then 1% of lubricant was added to the mixture. The final blend was compressed using Korsch (Germany) tablet machine [14].

Pre-compression studies

Characterization of powder mixture

Angle of repose

The frictional force in a loose powder can be measured by the angle of repose. The angle of Repose is the maximum angle between the surface of a pile of powder and horizontal plane. It is usually determined by Fixed funnel Method.

A funnel was secured with its tip at a given height (h), above a Petri dish is placed on a flat horizontal surface. The blend carefully poured through the funnel until the apex of the conical pile just touches the tip of the funnel. The radius (r) of the base of the conical pile was measured.

The angle of repose (θ) was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\tan \theta = \frac{h}{r}
\]

Where: θ = Angle of repose
h = Height of the cone in cm
r = Radius of the cone base in cm

### Table 1: Formulation of lacidipine liquisolid tablets prepared using PEG-200

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Lacidipine concentration in liquid medication (%w/w)</th>
<th>Loading factor (Lf) W/Q</th>
<th>PEG 200 (mg)</th>
<th>Lacidipine (mg)</th>
<th>Avicel PH 102 Q (mg)</th>
<th>Aerosil 200 (mg)</th>
<th>Crosscarmellose 5% (mg)</th>
<th>Magnesium stearate 1% (mg)</th>
<th>Unit dose (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45 0.079</td>
<td>54 6</td>
<td>759.5</td>
<td>17 42</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>887</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45 0.079</td>
<td>34 6</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>11.2 28</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>591</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45 0.079</td>
<td>24 6</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>8.4 21</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>443.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45 0.079</td>
<td>18 6</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>6.8 16.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The compressibility index (Carr’s Index)

Carr’s Index is a measure of the propensity of a powder to be compressed. It is determined from the bulk and tapped densities and is calculated using the following formula [15].

\[
\text{Carr’s index} = \frac{\text{Tapped density} - \text{Bulk density}}{\text{Tapped density}} \times 100
\]

Bulk density = \( \frac{w}{v_0} \)

Tapped density = \( \frac{w}{V_t} \)

Where \( w \) is weight of powder

\( V_s \) is volume of powder

\( V_t \) is tapped volume of powder

Post-compression studies

Hardness

The hardness of the tablet was determined using Monsanto hardness tester. Three tablets were randomly selected from each formulation and hardness of the same was determined [16]. The average value was calculated.

Friability testing

Friability of the tablets was determined by using Roche friabilator. Ten tablets from each batch were placed in the friabilator and rotated at 25 rpm for a period of 4 min. The friability was determined using the following formula.

\[
\text{Percentage friability} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \times 100
\]

Where \( W_1 \) = Initial weight of 20 tablets, \( W_2 \) = Weight of the 20 tablets after testing [17].

Weight variation

Twenty tablets were taken and their weight was determined individually and collectively on a digital weighting balance. The average weight of one tablet was determined from the collective weight and comparing the individual tablet weight to average weight variation tolerance [18].

Drug content

Ten tablets were crushed in a mortar and the powder equivalent to 6 mg of lacidipine was weighed and dissolved in 10 ml of methanol in a 100 ml volumetric flask and the volume was made with 1% tween 20 solutions. This solution was further diluted with 1% tween 20 if necessary and the absorbance was measured at 282 nm [19].

Disintegration test

The disintegration time was determined in water maintained at 37±2 °C. The disintegration apparatus with a basket rack assembly containing six open-ended tubes and 10-mesh screen on the bottom was used. A tablet was placed in each tube of the basket and the time for complete disintegration of the six tablets was recorded [20-22].

In vitro drug dissolution test

The dissolution rates of all formulations were measured by using tablet dissolution apparatus USP Type II. Dissolution studies were carried out using 500 ml of purified water with 1% w/v polysorbate 20, at 50 rpm and at temperature of 37±0.5 °C. 10 ml aliquots were withdrawn at suitable time interval (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 min), filtered through syringe filter 0.45µm and diluted as per need and replaced with fresh medium.

Sink conditions were maintained throughout the study. The samples were then analyzed at 284 nm by UV/visible spectrophotometer. The study was carried out in triplicate [23].
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Comparison of dissolution rate

The model-independent approach was applied for comparison of dissolution profiles. For the comparison of dissolution data for each formulation, percentages of drug dissolved at 10 min (Q10 min), 45 min (Q45 min), mean dissolution time (MDT) and percentage of dissolution efficiency (DE) at the end of 45 min were calculated using DD solver software in order to select the optimized formula.

The dissolution profile of the optimized formula and the DCT was compared on the basis of their similarity factor \( (f_2) \) and dissimilarity factor \( (f_1) \). These parameters were determined for tablets from the optimal formulation of liquid solid compact and direct conventional tablet of lacidipine.

Dissimilarity factor was calculated using Equation (1) and similarity factor was calculated using Equation (2).

\[
f_1 = \frac{10^6 (1 - f_2)}{f_2}
\]

Where \( n \) is the sampling number, \( R_j \) and \( T_j \) are the percents dissolved of the reference and test products at each time point \( j \). The \( f_2 \) value between 0 and 15 [24].

\[
f_2 = 50 \log \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (R_j - T_j)}{0.5 \times 100} \right)
\]

Where \( n \) is the number of time points at which % dissolved was determined, \( R_t \) is the % dissolved of one formulation at a given time point, and \( T_t \) is the % dissolved of the formulation to be compared at the same time point. The similarity factor fits the result between 0 and 100. It is 100 when the two dissolution profiles are identical and approaches 0 as the dissimilarity increases. An \( f_2 \) above 50 indicates that the two profiles are similar [25].

Drug-polymer interaction studies

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

Samples (3-5 mg) were placed in an aluminum pan and heated in the DSC-60 (Shimadzu, Japan) at a constant rate of 10 °C/min, in an atmosphere of nitrogen over a temperature range of 25-300 °C. The DSC studies were performed on the pure drug, a physical mixture of liquisolid system and on the selected liquisolid tablet [26].

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

It was performed using the Infrared spectrophotometer ( Lambda 7600, Australia). Samples of 2-3 mg were mixed with about 100 mg of dry potassium bromide powder and compressed into transparent discs then scanned over a wave range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹ in FTIR instrument. The IR spectra were performed on the pure drug, a physical mixture of liquisolid system and on a selected liquisolid tablet [27].

Statistical analysis

All the results were expressed as the mean value±standard deviation (SD). One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significance, at a 5% significance level. Statistical difference dealing (P<0.05) was considered significant [28].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Saturation solubility studies

The solubility of lacidipine in different media is presented in Table 2 and fig. 2. Drug solubility in a non-volatile vehicle is the most important aspect in liquisolid systems. The solubility of the drug contributes to molecular dispersion in a non-volatile solvent which will improve the dissolution rate.
Table 2: Solubility of lacidipine in different media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Solubility (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.044±0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween solution</td>
<td>0.09±0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 20</td>
<td>1.413±0.0635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 80</td>
<td>5.486±0.0104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycole</td>
<td>1.4883±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid paraffin</td>
<td>0.823±0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG 200</td>
<td>2.9±±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG 400</td>
<td>2.52±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG 600</td>
<td>2.65±0.0582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Reading Represent the mean±SD, n =3)

From the solubility profile, it can be judged that the drug was soluble in most of the non-polar liquid vehicles and very slightly soluble in water. And amongst the non-polar liquid vehicles the drug has the highest solubility in PEG 200 and tween 80, but due to its viscosity, tween 80 was not chosen to prepare the liquisolid. Increasing the moisture content of carrier materials may result in decreased powder flowability [29]. So, PEG 200 was the appropriate solvent in the preparation of lacidipine liquisolid tablets.

Flowable liquid retention potential (Φ value) and liquid load factor (Lf)

Φ value of Carrier and Coat materials in polyethylene glycol 200 were cited in the literature and found to be 0.007 and 3.26 respectively. According to mathematical model proposed by Spireas et al., equation for Avicel PH-102 and Aerosil 200 in polyethylene glycol 200 was calculated by using R value = 45 as

\[
Lf = 0.007 + 3.26 \times \frac{1}{R}
\]

So, f = 0.079

Precompression evaluation

Angle of repose

Flowability of a powder is of critical importance in the formulation and industrial production of tablet dosage form. As a general guide, powders with angles of repose greater than 50° have poor flow properties, whereas minimum angles close to 25° correspond to very good flow properties [30].

The results of the angle of repose and carr’s index were given in the table 3. The results showed that angle of repose was ranged from 33.5° to 36.3° for the formulated liquisolid powder, while the angle of repose for DCT was 25° meaning excellent flowability due to the absence of the liquid vehicle. It was found that formula of highest drug concentration in PEG 200 has better flowability than another formula due to fewer amounts of vehicle presents. And the results of carr’s index were proved all formulations shown flow property ranged from fair to poor.

From table 3, it can be concluded that all the formulas were found to be within the specification limits.

Hardness test

The hardness of the prepared tablets of all formulations is within the acceptable limit. The hardness of tablets prepared by direct compression was found to be from 6 to 10 kg/cm² as shown in table 4. Generally, the ideal tablet hardness should be produced without applying excessive compression force where rapid tablet disintegration and drug dissolution are maintained at the same time [31].

Table 3: Flow properties of lacidipine liquisolid powder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Angle of repose</th>
<th>Type of flow</th>
<th>Carr’s index</th>
<th>Type of flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>36±1.1</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>21.8±2.4</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>36±1.6</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>25±2.8</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>34±2.5</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>21.3±1.5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>33.5±3.1</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>20±2.5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>25±2.1</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>15±1</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Reading Represent the mean±SD, n =3)
Friability test

All lacidipine tablets had acceptable friability as none of the tested tablets violated the 1% loss in tablet weight as shown in table 4; also, no tablet was cracked, split or broken in either formulation. Since all the prepared formulas met the standard friability criteria, they are expected to show acceptable durability and withstand abrasion.

Disintegration time

The disintegration time for the prepared lacidipine liquisolid tablets was shown in table 4. It was found that, the mean of the disintegration times for all investigated tablets was less than 1 min, due to the effect of superdisintegrants, microcrystalline cellulose and croscarmellose accelerate the disintegration of the liquisolid compact and as the drug concentration in liquid medication increases, and the disintegration time is increased [32].

Weight variation

Tablets of each formula were subjected to weight variation test, the difference in weight and percent deviation was calculated for each tablet. The results of the test as demonstrated in table 4 showed that, the tablet weights were within the pharmacopœial limit.

Drug content

The results indicate that the contents for tablets of all the formulations were uniform and contains a therapeutic dose of the active ingredients as shown in table 4.

Table 4: Evaluation of different parameters of liquisolid tablet and DCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Hardness (Kg/cm²)</th>
<th>Friability (%)</th>
<th>Disintegration time (s)</th>
<th>Content uniformity (%)</th>
<th>Weight variation (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>10±0.6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>21±0.2</td>
<td>100±0.4</td>
<td>883.7±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>9±0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13±0.6</td>
<td>85±0.3</td>
<td>589.8±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>6±0.3</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>25±0.7</td>
<td>100±0.2</td>
<td>442.7±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>6±0.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>39±0.2</td>
<td>85±0.2</td>
<td>352.7±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>10±1.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>23±0.2</td>
<td>100±0.1</td>
<td>829.3±4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Reading represent the mean±SD, n =3)

Table 5: Dissolution parameters of liquisolid compacts and DCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Q10 min</th>
<th>Q45 min</th>
<th>MDT (min)</th>
<th>%DE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>10±1.0</td>
<td>9±0.3</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>5±1.2</td>
<td>100±0.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>8±2.5</td>
<td>10±1</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>5±0.5</td>
<td>100±1</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>40±0.7</td>
<td>90±0.4</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Reading represent the mean±SD, n =3)

In vitro drug dissolution studies

The results of in vitro drug released at different time intervals is plotted against time to obtain the dissolution profiles as shown in fig. 3 and 4.

Liquisolid formulations initially show greater release than DCT. MDT, Q10 min, Q45 min and DE of each liquisolid formula and DCT were calculated in tween 20 solutions and reported in table 5.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The DSC thermogram of the drug in fig. 5 depicts a sharp endothermic peak at 186 °C corresponding to the melting temperature of lacidipine. Such sharp endothermic peak signifies that drug used was in the pure crystalline state [36]. A complete disappearance of the drug melting peak was observed in the physical mixture of optimized liquisolid system F1 and its tablet as shown in fig. 6 and 7 respectively, a fact that agrees with the formation of drug solution in the liquisolid powdered system, i.e., the drug was molecularly dispersed within the liquisolid matrix and also indicate the formation of an amorphous solid solution [37].

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

The FTIR spectrum of lacidipine, physical mixture and liquisolid tablet of F1 was illustrated in fig. 8, 9 and 10 respectively. The characteristic absorption peaks of lacidipine appeared at 3348.78, 2978.52 to 2808, 1702 to 1653.66, 1629.55 and 1292 cm⁻¹, respectively, denoting stretching vibration of-NH of dihydropyridine ring,-CH,-C=O,-C=C functional groups and ester group[38]. The optimized liquisolid system showed characteristic peaks of lacidipine and carriers. These results indicated that there is no chemical interaction between drug and the optimized liquisolid dissolution profile between F1 and DCT.
and carrier when formed as a liquisolid system. As shown in fig. 9 and 10, there is a reduction in intensity of the characteristic absorption bands of drug in liquisolid formulations which might be attributed to the hydrogen bonding interaction of the amin group of lacidipine with the hydroxyl group of the PEG 200. This resulted in drug dissolution enhancement as shown by dissolution data [34].

![Fig. 3: Dissolution profile of lacidipine from liquisolid compact (Data expressed as a mean±SD, n =3)](image)

![Fig. 4: Dissolution profile of lacidipine from F1 and direct conventional tablet. (data expressed as a mean±SD, n =3)](image)

![Fig. 5: DSC thermogram of lacidipine](image)
Fig. 6: DSC thermogram of a physical mixture of F1 liquisolid system

Fig. 7: DSC thermogram of F1 liquisolid tablet

Fig. 8: FTIR spectrum of lacidipine

Fig. 9: FTIR spectrum of a physical mixture of F1 liquisolid system
CONCLUSION
The overall objective of present study was to enhance dissolution of poorly water-soluble lacidipine by liquisolid compact technique. The liquisolid tablets formulated with the PEG 200 at a drug concentration of 10% w/w is the best formulation among all the batches of liquisolid tablets prepared, in terms of faster disintegration time, superior dissolution profile, and acceptable tablet properties. PEG 200 was found to be a promising liquid vehicle in formulating liquisolid formulations of lacidipine. The liquid vehicle plays a contributing role in improving the dissolution profiles of a poorly water-soluble drug in the liquisolid formulations.
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Fig. 10: FTIR spectrum of F1 liquisolid tablet