Dental black stain is a type of extrinsic discoloration that can affect deciduous and permanent teeth. The clinical diagnosis of dental black stain is based on the presence of pigmented dark lines that run parallel to the gingival margin, rarely extending beyond the cervical third of the tooth crown [1,2].

The prevalence of black stain varies by age, population, and country. In Europe, the prevalence of black stain varies from 2% (Great Britain) to 4% (Poland), 6% (Italy), and 7% (Valencia, Spain). In South America, the prevalence ranges from 6% (Peru) to 15% (Brazil). On the Asian continent, it varies from 16% (Philippines) to 18% (India) [3,4]. In Indonesia, the prevalence of black stain is approximately 5% [5].

The etiology factors of black stain are not fully understood, although certain types of bacteria seem to be involved [6]. The previous studies have reported a relationship between black stain and chromogenic bacteria such as Actinomyces sp. and Prevotella melaninogenica. The majority of bacteria (90%) that can be isolated from black stain are facultative aerobic and anaerobic Gram-positive rods, which are identified as Actinomyces sp. [6,7].

Black stain tends to recur despite good personal oral hygiene but less may grow when biofilm control procedures are performed meticulously [7]. “Antibacterial mouthrinse has been considered an effective method of controlling dental plaque [8]. Evidence in the dental literature supports chlorhexidine as the gold standard of biofilm control procedures for preventing antiplaque and antigingivitis agents [9]. However, regardless of chlorhexidine potent antimicrobial properties, local side effects such as tooth staining restrict how long each patient can use it [9,10]. Since there is no significant difference between the antimicrobial efficacy of 0.1% and 0.2% chlorhexidine, dentists recommend mouthrinses with the lower concentration (0.1%) [11].

Chlorine dioxide has been widely used in various fields because it is safe and has strong antibacterial properties [12,13]. In dentistry, chlorine dioxide has been used in the treatment of oral, and especially periodontal diseases [14]. The main advantages of this product are that it is non-staining, alcohol-free, and non-irritating, that it does not cause taste alteration, and that it is free of sodium lauryl sulfate [15]. Chlorine dioxide mouthrinses have been widely used in developed countries such as Japan and North America [12]. A previous study suggests that 0.1% chlorine dioxide is effective as an antibacterial agent and does not cause side effects, such as a reduced sense of taste or tooth discoloration [16].

Studies that focus on the black stain and its treatment are rarely found in the dental literature [6]. The aim of this study was to assess the antibacterial effects of mouthrinses containing either 0.1% chlorine dioxide or 0.1% chlorhexidine on the bacterial viability of Actinomyces sp. and its agent of black stain.
The study design, protocol, and informed consent were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia. The procedures, possible benefits, and possible discomforts or risks were fully explained to the subjects and the subjects’ parents.

Study design
This study was a randomized, single-blind clinical trial, and laboratory observation. Each subject was randomly assigned to one of 2 groups. The group 1 subjects (n=8) were instructed to rinse with 10 ml of experimental mouthrinse containing 0.1% chlorine dioxide for 7 days, twice per day (after breakfast and before sleeping) for 30 s each time. Those in Group 2 (n=8) were instructed to rinse in the same way with a mouthrinse containing 0.1% chlorhexidine.

At baseline and after 7 days, samples of black stain plaque were collected from the subjects into sterile Eppendorf tubes using new metal excavators. All samples were placed on ice before being immediately sent to the Oral Biology Laboratory at the Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia.

**Actinomyces sp. colonization and identification**
Brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (1 ml) was added to the Eppendorf tubes containing samples of black stain plaque. The contents of each Eppendorf tube were homogenized using a vortex mixer, and 20 µl of the bacterial suspension were transferred into an anaerobic jar (containing 80% N₂, 10% H₂, and 10% CO₂) and incubated for 48 h at 37°C. The identification of the Actinomyces sp. colonies was done by visual inspection and the Gram staining procedure.

**Viability test using an 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay**
One loopful of the identified Actinomyces sp. colony was transferred to fresh BHI broth. The broth was homogenized using a vortex mixer, and 200 µl of the bacterial suspension was transferred to each well of a 96-well microplate. The microplate was placed inside an anaerobic jar (containing 80% N₂, 10% H₂, and 10% CO₂) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C.

Each well was washed with phosphate-buffered saline solution, and 50 µl 5 mg/ml of MTT solution were added. The microplate was again placed inside the anaerobic jar (containing 80% N₂, 10% H₂, and 10% CO₂) and incubated for 3 h at 37°C while covered with aluminum foil. Acidified isopropanol (100 µl) was added to each well, and the microplate was placed on an orbital shaker (50 rpm) for 1 h at 25°C [17]. The optical density (OD) was read using an ELISA reader at a wavelength of 490 nm.

There were no significant differences in any OD measures between the two groups at the baseline measurement.

**Statistical analysis**
Paired subjects’ t-tests were used to compare Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability (based on OD measures) at baseline and after 7 days of rinsing with the 0.1% chlorine dioxide and 0.1% chlorhexidine mouthrinses. Individual subjects’ t-tests were used to compare Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability between the two mouthrinses.

**RESULTS**
All 16 subjects completed the study. The Actinomyces sp. bacterial viabilities, based on OD measures, are listed in Table 1. At baseline, there were no statistically significant differences in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability (OD measures) between the two groups. After 7 days of rinsing, there were statistically significant differences compared with baseline in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability in both the chlorine dioxide and the chlorhexidine groups, with p<0.001 and p=0.010 (p<0.05), respectively.

The mean value differences in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability between the two mouthrinses, based on OD measures, are listed in Table 2. Statistically significant differences between the two mouthrinses were found, with p=0.012 (p<0.05). A statistically significantly greater reduction in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability was found in the chlorine dioxide group after 7 days compared to that found in the chlorhexidine group after the same period.

**DISCUSSION**
This was a preliminary study on finding alternative treatments for preventing black stain recurrence in children. Recurrence is caused by ferric sulfide precipitation, and the study aimed to prevent it by reducing Actinomyces sp. viability as one of the etiological factors of black stain. In this randomized clinical trial, two mouthrinses were compared, one containing chlorine dioxide and the other containing chlorhexidine.

The antibacterial agent used in this study was a commercially available 0.1% chlorine dioxide mouthrinse (Oxyfresh, Oxyfresh Worldwide Inc., Idaho, USA). Research reports that chlorine dioxide-based mouthrinse is a proven bactericidal agent against bacterial pathogens that cause periodontitis, for example, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and Prevotella intermedia [17-19]. This research concludes that chlorine dioxide gel effectively kills the Gram-positive bacteria Streptococcus mitis and Streptococcus constellatus [18]. Until now, however, there has been a limited study of the effectiveness of chlorine dioxide against the Gram-positive bacteria Actinomyces sp., especially in association with black stain. Black stain treatment is currently done by scaling and selective polishing, but the recurrence rate is high enough to cause esthetic problems [8,9].

The age range selected for the subjects was 6–11 years, which is the period of mixed dentition. Children in this age range are also able to rinse and follow oral hygiene instructions. The literature states that black stain is quite common in children and can be found in both deciduous and permanent teeth [6,18*]. In accordance with the enamel surface properties of deciduous teeth, i.e., higher permeability and porosity levels than permanent teeth, the black stain is often found in primary teeth [5].

Of the 147 children examined, 16 had black stain and were then divided randomly into 2 groups. The first group consisted of 8 children, who were given a 0.1% chlorine dioxide mouthrinse for 7 days. The second group also consisted of 8 children, who were given a 0.1% chlorhexidine mouthrinse.

| Table 1: Comparison of the mean values of Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability at baseline and after 7 days of rinsing |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mouthrinses | n | Mean±SD | p |
| Chlorine dioxide (0.1%) | 8 | 0.73±0.11 | 0.40±0.21 | 0.001 |
| Chlorhexidine (0.1%) | 8 | 0.67±0.18 | 0.54±0.09 | 0.010 |
| Paired subjects’ t-tests; significance level based on p<0.05. SD: Standard deviation, OD: Optical density |

| Table 2: Comparison of the mean value differences in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability between the two mouthrinses |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mouthrinses | n | Δ bacterial viability (OD) mean±SD | p |
| Chlorine dioxide (0.1%) | 8 | 0.33±0.17 | 0.012 |
| Chlorhexidine (0.1%) | 8 | 0.13±0.10 | |
| Individual student’s t-tests; significance level based on p<0.05. SD: Standard deviation, OD: Optical density |
mouurthirinse for 7 days. The rinsing period was determined as 7 days based on a previous study, which showed a decrease in the number of Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria in saliva after 7 days of rinsing with 0.1% chlorine dioxide [13]. Gram-negative bacteria have complex cell walls, making them more difficult to penetrate with chlorine dioxide [14]. Since the cell walls of Gram-positive bacteria are simpler, chlorine dioxide can easily penetrate the cell walls of Gram-positive bacteria such as Actinomyces sp., and the number of bacteria can be reduced within 7 days.

Subject homogenization was done by choosing subjects who had good oral hygiene, had decay indices of ≤5, and were in the middle-to-upper socioeconomic level. The subject selection was conducted in two elementary schools and the Pediatric Dentistry Clinic Universitas Indonesia, serving the middle-to-upper socioeconomic level in Jakarta. Research in China reports that children of higher socioeconomic status are associated with an increased incidence of black stain. The mean value of the decay indices in the black stain group was also significantly lower than that in the group without black stain [2]. An immunological study examining bacterial attachment confirms that a higher number of Actinomyces naeslundii in dental biofilms is associated with low caries rates (low decay index values) [4]. In this study, the gender of the subject was not considered. Only 2 of the 16 subjects were female, and research in China reports no significant relationship between black stain and gender [2].

This study used black stain plaque samples because previous research has proven that the quantity of Actinomyces sp. is more abundant in the plaque samples of children with black stain than in those of children without black stain [18-20]. In this study, dental plaque and black stain were not distinguished from each other because previous research shows that there is no significant difference between the quantity of Actinomyces sp. in dental plaque and that in black stain [20]. Samples were not taken from saliva because the previous studies show that, although the quantity of Actinomyces sp. in the saliva of children with black stain is higher than that in the saliva of children without it, the difference is not statistically significant [5].

Microbiological samples of Actinomyces sp. were taken from black stain plaque according to the inclusion criteria. Samples were taken in the morning within 2–4 h after tooth brushing in accordance with previous research confirming that relatively stable amounts of Actinomyces sp. can be found in the early stages of plaque formation within 0–6 h after tooth brushing [21].

Acetototoxicity assay can be used to assess the antibacterial effects of certain substances. The cytotoxicity of a substance can be measured in various ways, one of which is through the decrease in cell viability following its application. The MTT assay has become the preferred method of determining cell viability through the activity of mitochondrial dehydrogenase enzymes, expressed by OD. The value of OD is proportional to the number of living cells [17,22].

In this study, the antibacterial properties of 0.1% chlorine dioxide and 0.1% chlorhexidine were expressed in the reduction of Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability, based on the value of the OD. Statistical analysis using paired subjects’ t-tests, listed in Table 1, resulted in the conclusion that, compared with the baseline, and there were statistically significant differences in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability in both the chlorine dioxide and chlorhexidine groups after 7 days of rinsing. This result was consistent with the literature, which states that 0.1% chlorine dioxide and 0.1% chlorhexidine have strong antibacterial properties and can kill bacteria in the oral cavity [12,13*].

The previous research suggests that rinsing with a 0.1% chlorine dioxide mouthrinse for 7 days effectively reduces the number of Gram-positive and Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria in the oral cavity. Another study on the bactericidal activity of chlorine dioxide states that chlorine dioxide mouthrinse can kill up to 90% of oral pathogens in <30 min and that the effect lasts up to 7 h [13,23**].

A statistical analysis using individual subjects’ t-tests, which are shown in Table 2, resulted in the conclusion that there was a significant difference between the two mouthrinses. The chlorine dioxide group exhibited a statistically significantly greater reduction in the bacterial viability of Actinomyces sp. than did the chlorhexidine group. It can be concluded that a 0.1% chlorine dioxide mouthrinse has a stronger antibacterial effect against Actinomyces sp. than a 0.1% chlorhexidine mouthrinse.

Chlorine dioxide is an antibacterial agent that penetrates the bacterial cell wall and binds to the vital amino acids (cysteine, methionine, tyrosine, and tryptophan) that are essential for microorganisms in the cell wall and bacterial cytoplasm [*13,24-26]. Chlorine dioxide destabilizes the permeability of the bacterial cell membrane, causing the cell wall to rupture [14]. The resulting disturbance of the nutrient transport system through the cell wall will kill the bacterium [25]. Chlorine dioxide also limits the proliferation of anaerobic bacteria through oxygenation and the neutralization of the toxins (bacterial proteolytic enzymes) that bacteria produce in the oral cavity [15].

In vitro studies suggest that chlorine dioxide is less toxic to human gingival cells than chlorhexidine. Chlorine dioxide does not form chlorinated hydrocarbons when in contact with organic compounds, so it is not carcinogenic or allergic. Studies subjects also do not complain of changes in taste after using 0.1% chlorine dioxide mouthrinse [13,23,24**]. All of these advantages make chlorine dioxide a safe antibacterial agent that can be used by children.

Chlorhexidine was used in this study because it has been recognized as the gold standard of antibacterial agents. Research conducted in vitro shows that 0.2% chlorhexidine mouthrinse is effective against the majority of oral bacteria, including Actinomyces viscosus [9]. A 6-month longitudinal study reported increased staining in a group rinsing with chlorhexidine, in comparison with a baseline measurement, and the concentration of chlorhexidine was correlated to stain formation and the intensity of dental discoloration [10]. Research conducted with children aged 10 to 12 reports changes in the patients’ sense of taste after 1 week of rinsing with a 0.2% chlorhexidine mouthrinse [10]. In this study, a mouthrinse containing 0.1% chlorine dioxide was used for a more acceptable effect on taste and a lower potential for stain formation. Chlorhexidine side effects, such as staining over prolonged periods of use and taste alteration, tend to limit the usage of this mouthrinse in children.

CONCLUSIONS

We can conclude from this study that there are significant differences in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability after 7 days of rinsing with 0.1%
chlorine dioxide and 0.1% chlorhexidine mouth rinses. These mouth rinses are effective in reducing Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability, which is widely considered an etiological factor of black stain.

We can also conclude that there are significant differences between the two mouthrinses. After 7 days of rinsing there was a significantly greater reduction in Actinomyces sp. bacterial viability in the chlorine dioxide group than there was in the chlorhexidine group. Therefore, it can be concluded that mouthrinse containing 0.1% chlorine dioxide has a greater antibacterial effect against Actinomyces sp. than mouthrinse containing 0.1% chlorhexidine.
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