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ABSTRACT

Objective: Extraction and evaluation of the antidiabetic activity of extracts from stem and roots of Nerium oleander (Apocynaceae) Linn.

Methods: Stem and roots of N. oleander were collected, dried and extracted by using well-established methods for alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids and crude extracts in polar and non-polar solvents. Evaluation of their antidiabetic activity was done with salivary alpha-amylase and starch as a substrate using chromogenic DNSA (2,4-Di nitro Salicylic Acid) method and Starch-iodine method. All experiments were performed in 3 different sets each in triplicates. The data are expressed as mean±SEM (standard error of the mean).

Results: The highest inhibition for stem was found in its free flavonoid extract at the concentration of 1.5 mg/ml, with percent inhibition 48.35±1.36 % and an IC₅₀ value of 1.774 g/ml while in case of root, highest inhibition was obtained at 1.5 mg/ml of pet ether extract, with % inhibition 52±0.40 % and IC₅₀ value 1.583 g/ml and at 1.5 mg/ml of methanol extract, with % inhibition 42.12±1.12 % and an IC₅₀ value 1.729 g/ml.

Conclusion: Though stem extracts were found to be a more potent hypoglycemic agent than root extracts, however, extracts of both parts have good antidiabetic potential and both might be fruitful in managing the postprandial hyperglycemia.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus describes a metabolic disorder of multiple etiology which results in increased blood glucose levels and disturbances of carbohydrates, fats and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both [1]. Anti-diabetic drugs are medicines developed to stabilize and control blood glucose levels and thus manage diabetes [2]. Plants had anchored to the mother earth long before man has set his feet and it is said that god had endowed them with materials for the survival of man and animal long before these creatures were made by him [3]. Herbal remedies have formed the basis of traditional medicine for millennia, and have formed the root of modern pharmacology. While science from roughly the 1880’s onwards has striven to isolate the active compounds found in medicinal herbs, the list is ever growing. Herbal medicine is still the mainstay of maximum world population; mainly in the developing countries for primary health care not because they are inexpensive but also for better cultural acceptability, better compatibility with the human body and minimal side effects. To date, however, only a few of these medicinal plants have received scientific scrutiny, despite the fact that the World Health Organization has recommended that medical and scientific examinations of such plants should be undertaken [4]. Lot of information from the traditional healers still to be known and has to be gathered and necessarily formulated [5]. The herbal drugs with antidiabetic activity are yet to be commercially formulated as modern medicines, even though they have been acclaimed for their therapeutic properties in the traditional systems of medicine [6]. It is estimated that 1200 species of plants are used for the treatment of diabetes in traditional and ethno pharmacological practices around the world [7]. One such plant is Nerium oleander L. (Apocynaceae) which is known as ‘Karabi’ by the local Bengali people of West Bengal, India and in Bangladesh, ‘Kaner’ in most part of India and commonly called ‘Oleander’ in English. Nerium oleander is used for the treatment of diabetes in different ethno pharmacological and indigenous medicinal systems around the world such as in Morocco [8-12] and is also mentioned in Ayurveda. Nerium oleander possesses potent anti-diabetic activity [13]. Ethanolic extract of flowers of Nerium oleander was reported to have anti-diabetic activity against alloxan-induced diabetic rats [14].

Nerium oleander extract was reported for improvement in activities of insulin, glucose and liver enzymes in hypoinsulinemic and hyperglycemic albino rats [15]. Nerium oleander was reported for having anti-diabetic agents. These Pancreatic α-amylase inhibitors offer an effective strategy to lower the levels of post-prandial hyperglycemia via control of starch breakdown [16]. Nerium oleander was identified to have potent wound healing and anti-diabetic activity [17]. Review of the literature indicates that not much work has been done for evaluation of antidiabetic activity of stem and root extracts of this plant. Therefore, stem and root extracts of N. oleander Linn. were selected in the present study to determine the hypoglycemic potential of this plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collection

Stem and roots of Nerium oleander Linn. were collected from different localities of Jaipur. Shade dried, weighed and stored in containers for extraction purpose.

Extractions

Extraction of plant parts in different polar, non-polar solvents (Water, methanol and petroleum ether) and for their secondary metabolites (alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids) was carried out by well-established methods.

Extraction of secondary metabolites

Chemicals

Acetic acid, Ethanol, NH₄OH, Methanol, Petroleum ether, Ethyl ether, Ethylacetate, Sulphuric acid, HCl and Benzene.
Extraction of alkaloids

Alkaloids were extracted from stem and root of the selected plant by well-established method [18]. Finely powdered sample (100g) of stem and root were extracted in 20 ml methanol after shaking for 15 min. After filtration, the filtrates were kept for drying. Thereafter residual mass was treated with 1% HSO₄ (5 ml, 2 times). Extraction was then done in 10 ml chloroform (CHCl₃) by using separating funnel. The organic layer of chloroform was rejected, and the aqueous layer was basified with 30% NH₄OH (P%=9-10). Again, extraction was done in 10 ml chloroform and the organic layer of chloroform (lower layer) was collected in a flask, extraction was repeated with fresh chloroform and was dried in vacuo.

Extraction of flavonoids

Stem and roots of selected plant were subjected to the flavonoid extraction following the method of Subramanian and Nagarjan [19]. One hundred gram of finely powdered sample was soxhlet extracted with 80% hot methanol (500 ml) on a water bath for 24 h and filtered. Filtrate was re-extracted successively with petroleum ether (fraction I), diethyl ether (fraction II), and ethyl acetate (fraction III) using separating funnel. Petroleum ether fraction was discarded as being rich in fatty substances whereas diethyl ether and ethyl acetate fraction were analyzed for free and bound flavonoids respectively. The ethyl acetate fraction of sample was hydrolyzed by refluxing with 7% H₂SO₄ for 2 h (for removal of bound sugars) and the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate in separating funnel. Ethyl acetate extract obtained was washed with distilled water to neutrality. Diethyl ether (free flavonoids) and ethyl acetate fractions (bound flavonoids) were dried in vacuo and weighed.

Extraction of steroids

Steroids were extracted from stem and roots of the selected plant by well-established method [20] after preliminary detection of steroids. Finely powdered sample (100g) of stem and root were extracted in petroleum ether for 24 h. After filtration; residual mass was treated with 15% ethanolic HCl for 4h. Extraction was then done in ethyl acetate followed by washing in dis. water to neutralise the extract. The neutral extract was then passed over Sodium sulphate to remove moisture contents and was dried in vacuo. Chloroform was used for reconstitution of extract, filtered, dried and stored for further use.

Extraction of crude extracts in polar and non-polar solvents

Dry plant material (20 gm each) was taken separately in round-bottomed flask in different polar and non-polar solvents (water, methanol and petroleum ether) in the ratio of 1:10. Soxhlet extraction was carried out for 24 h and filtered. Each filtrate was subjected to evaporation to obtain crude dried extract which was weighed and calculated for each gram plant material.

In vitro salivary α-amylase inhibitory assay

Starch–iodine color assay

Reagents

Starch solution (1%), Phosphate buffer of pH 6.9 and of 0.02 molarity, Iodine reagent, Salivary alpha amylase enzyme.

Procedure

Inhibition assay was performed using chromogenic DNSA method [22]. Total assay mixture composed of 500 μl of 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.9 containing 6 mmol sodium chloride), 1 ml of salivary amylase and 400 μl extracts of concentration ranging from 0.5-1.5 mgml⁻¹ (w/v) was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. After pre-incubation, 580 μl of 1% (w/v) starch solution was added to each tube and were subjected to incubation at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction was then terminated by adding 1.0 ml DNA reagent and each tube was placed in boiling water bath for 5 min., cooled to room temperature and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm. A control containing no plant extracts showed 100% enzyme activity. To eliminate the absorbance produced by plant extract, appropriate extract controls with the extract in the reaction mixture except for the enzyme were also included (negative control). Percent inhibition of α-amylase was calculated as follows:

% Relative enzyme activity= Enzyme activity in test sample with extract* 100

Enzyme activity in control

% Inhibition in the α-amylase activity= (100-% Relative enzyme activity)

Statistical data analysis

All experiments were performed in three different sets, each in triplicate. The data are expressed as mean±SEM (standard error of the mean). The statistical difference, ANOVA and linear regression analysis were performed using Graph pad prism 5 statistical software. The IC₅₀ values were determined from plots of percent inhibition versus log inhibitor concentration and calculated by logarithmic regression analysis from the mean inhibitory values. The IC₅₀ values were defined as the concentration of the extract, containing the α-amylase inhibitor that inhibited 50% of the alpha-amylase activity.

RESULTS

Different levels of alpha-amylase inhibitory activity were observed for different tested extracts of stem and root of Nerium oleander Linn. (table 1). Free flavonoid extract of stem part and pet ether extract of root were found to have maximum inhibitory potential. Water and pet ether extract of stem and methanol and water extract of root were also recorded for good alpha-amylase inhibitory activity. Alkaloids of both parts (stem and root) were found to have moderate inhibition potential. All other tested extracts were found to have insufficient amylase inhibitory activity.
TABLE 1: LEVEL OF ALPHA-AMYLASE INHIBITORY ACTIVITY OF DIFFERENT EXTRACTS OF N. OLEANDER LINN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of plant part</th>
<th>Name of extract</th>
<th>Level of inhibitory activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free flavonoid</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bound flavonoid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pet ether</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free flavonoid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bound flavonoid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pet ether</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Level: Indication of inhibitory potential of extract, (++) indicated extracts with moderate inhibitory effects on alpha-amylose, (+) indicated extracts with minimum inhibitory effects on alpha amylose activity, (-) indicated extracts with insignificant (no) inhibitory effects on alpha amylose activity.

Extracts with high inhibitory effect on alpha amylose activity

**Stem**
Free flavonoid extract (at a concentration of 0.5-1.5 mg/ml) showed the highest alpha amylose inhibition with high percent inhibition (34.98±0.07 % to 43.07±1.10 %) and low IC50 value (1.774 g/ml). Water (% inhibition= 16.33±0.75 % to 32.13±1% IC50 value= 3.813 g/ml) and pet ether (% inhibition= 26.07±0.94 % to 37.3±0.81 %, IC50 value= 4.076g/ml) extracts were also exhibit good level of alpha amylose inhibition (table 2).

**Root**
Pet ether extract (at a concentration of 0.5-1.5 mg/ml) showed the highest alpha amylose inhibition with high percent inhibition (27.24±0.80 % to 52±0.40 %) and low IC50 value (1.583 g/ml). Methanol (% inhibition= 13.51±0.77 % to 42.12±1.12 %, IC50 value= 1.729 g/ml) and water (% inhibition= 18.39±0.96 % to 29.15±0.97 %, IC50 value= 6.270 g/ml) extracts were also exhibit good level of alpha amylose inhibition (table 2).

Extracts with moderate inhibitory effect on alpha amylose activity

**Stem**
Alkaloid extract (% inhibition= 27.22±0.94 % to 34.1±0.75 %, IC50 value= 15.276 g/ml) and methanolic extract (% inhibition= 11.64±1.09 % to 22.4±0.91 %, IC50 value= 16.469 g/ml) were recorded to have moderate alpha amylose inhibitory activity.

**Root**
No extract was recorded to have moderate alpha amylose inhibitory activity.

Table 2: Extracts with maximum inhibitory effects on the alpha amylose activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of extracts</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>IC50 Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Free flavonoid</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>34.98±0.07</td>
<td>Y=4.823+0.711X</td>
<td>1.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>43.07±1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>48.35±1.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16.33±0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=4.356+1.108X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27.3±1.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>32.13±1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pet ether</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>26.07±0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=4.585+0.68X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>36.43±1.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>37.3±0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Pet ether</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27.24±0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=4.738+1.313X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>35.27±0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>52.0±0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13.51±0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=4.521+2.015X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>35.7±0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>42.12±1.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18.39±0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=4.351+0.814X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>28.34±1.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>29.15±0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Extracts with moderate inhibitory effects on the alpha amylose activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of extracts</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>IC50 Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27.22±0.94</td>
<td>Y=4.511+0.413X</td>
<td>15.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31.55±0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>34.1±0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.64±1.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y=4.023+0.803X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.53±0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>22.24±0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extracts with insufficient inhibitory effect on alpha amylase activity

**Stem**
Steroid and bound flavonoids were recorded for insufficient inhibitory activity with low percent inhibition and high IC50 values.

**Root**
Alkaloid, free flavonoid, bound flavonoid and steroids were found to have insufficient inhibitory activity with low % inhibition and high IC50 values.

The alpha-amylase inhibitory activity of different extracts of stem and root of *Nerium oleander* Linn. has been shown in fig. 1 and fig. 2, respectively.

**Fig. 1: Inhibition of alpha amylase by different extracts of *N. oleander* Line. Stem**

**Fig. 2: Inhibition of alpha amylase by different extracts of *N. oleander* Line. Root**

**DISCUSSION**
Diabetes is a chronic disease that occurs when the body cannot produce enough insulin or cannot use insulin effectively [23]. Type 2 diabetes is a common condition and a serious global health problem. In most countries, diabetes has increased alongside rapid cultural and social changes: ageing populations, increasing urbanisation, dietary changes, reduced physical activity and unhealthy behaviours [24]. A person's risk of developing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus has been shown to be highly linked to obesity and any family history of diabetes [25]. The hyperglycemic condition causes increased glycosylation leading to biochemical and morphological abnormalities due to altered protein structure and develop the neuropathy, retinopathy, nephropathy and cardiomyopathy [26]. Antidiabetic drugs used as monotherapy or in combination to achieve better glycemic control. Each of the oral antidiabetic agents is however, associated with a number of serious adverse effects [27, 28] and none of the antidiabetic drugs could give a long term glycemic control without causing the side effects [29]. Plant-based drugs have been known to be safe and cheaper and the plant plays the major role to manage the diabetes mellitus [30–32]. The current study takes us a step ahead in this direction. In this study, stem and root extracts of *Nerium oleander* Linn. were taken for the first time for the evaluation of antidiabetic potential in such way. Stem extracts were having a more pronounced effect on hyperglycemia than roots. Therapeutic potential of tested extracts were introduced from the results in maintaining the hyperglycemic condition.

**CONCLUSION**
Strong salivary alpha-amylase inhibitory potential of stem and root extracts of *Nerium oleander* Linn. was concluded from the present study. Low IC50 values were the indication of high inhibition power of tested extracts. Extracts of the stem were observed to have more potential of inhibition than root extracts, but still extracts of both parts are important in search of natural amylase inhibitors. This is considered to be the most interesting aspect to explore and elucidate the possible mechanism responsible for the significant anti-diabetic activity. Further research investigations may be required to isolate the actual constituents responsible for the antidiabetic activity.
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