ABSTRACT

Objective: Breast cancer is one of the dangerous cancers among world’s women above 35 y. The breast is made up of lobules that secrete milk and thin milk ducts to carry milk from lobules to the nipple. Breast cancer mostly occurs either in lobules or in milk ducts. The most common type of breast cancer is ductal carcinoma where it starts from ducts and spreads across the lobules and surrounding tissues. Survey: According to the medical survey, each year there are about 125.0 per 100,000 new cases of breast cancer are diagnosed and 21.5 per 100,000 women due to this breast cancer is ductal carcinoma where it starts from ducts and spreads across the lobules and surrounding tissues. Also, 246,660 new cases of women with cancer are estimated for the year 2016.

Methods: Early diagnosis of breast cancer is a key factor for long-term survival of cancer patients. Classification is one of the vital techniques used by researchers to analyze and classify the medical data.

Results: This paper analyzes the different decision tree classifier algorithms for novel breast cancer dataset using WEKA software. The performance of the classifiers are evaluated against the parameters like accuracy, Kappa statistic, Entropy, RMSE, TP Rate, FP Rate, Precision, Recall, F-Measure, ROC, Specificity, Sensitivity.

Conclusion: The simulation results shows REPTree classifier classifies the data with 93.63% accuracy and minimum RMSE of 0.1628 REPTree algorithm consumes less time to build the model with 0.929 ROC and 0.959 PRC values. By comparing classification results, we confirm that a REPTree algorithm is better than other classification algorithms for SEER dataset.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the second leading cancer among the women worldwide. The occurrence of breast cancer is increasing every year by year, due to heredity, increase life expectancy, different lifestyles and food habits. The genuine motivation of this research is to build the classification model to classify the breast cancer and to provide the accurate diagnosis to physicians to provide effective treatment to save life. Thus, efficient classification model increases the mortality of the women.

Currently, we have different techniques like X-ray Mammogram, Ultrasound, Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), Biopsy, Positron Emission Tomography (PET) etc to evaluate cancer in humans. Though we have different techniques; diagnosis is made by the experienced physicians. When compared to a physician, machine learning diagnosis is more correct, and it is approximated with an accuracy of 91.1% [5].

Thus, usage of machine learning classifier systems in medical diagnosis is increased. The classifier algorithms help experienced/inexperienced physicians to diagnose cancer accurately by minimising possible errors.

Research objective

The objective of this research is to undergo a comparative study on various decision tree classifier algorithms and to identify the best classifier for Breast cancer classification of SEER dataset.

Research scope

The scope of the research is to apply the classifier algorithms such as J48, REP Tree, Random Forest and Random Tree on SEER Breast cancer dataset that contains 762691 instances with 134 attributes. Data cleaning and reduction are performed, and 7 Key attributes are finalised for further classification. The comparative study on these classifiers includes classification accuracy, True Positive rate, False Positive Rate, Precision, Recall, ROC, PRC, Sensitivity, Specificity, and RMSE as performance metrics.

This paper is categorized as follows. Section 2 gives a brief description on classification algorithms that are used to classify the data and section 3 provides the detailed description on datasets and discussed about the simulation results that are obtained for various decision tree algorithms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Classification is one of the most extensively used decision-making task in machine-based learning algorithms. The main objective of the classification is to accurately predict the target class for each instance in the data. In training phase of classification, each instance of the data has predefined target class. Whereas in testing phase unknown test instances are predicted using the model the model builds with the training set. Classification algorithms process a huge volume of data and classify data based on the training set. Classifications algorithms process a huge volume of data and classify data based on the training set. The analysis of classification process flow is depicted below fig. 1.

Data pre-processing precede classification to improve the quality of the data. There are several methods of pre-processing, but whereas we consider data cleaning and data reduction techniques.

Data cleaning

Data Cleaning pre-processes the data to handle missing values of attributes. Missing values are replaced by the mean value for that attribute.

Data reduction

The feature selection techniques are used to reduce the dimensionality of the data. Feature selection technique removes the irrelevant and redundant attributes from the dataset that has less significance in the classification. There are 762691 instances with 134 attributes in Breast cancer dataset, but only 7 attributes are considered for classification using feature selection technique.
Classification algorithms

There have been various algorithms used for classification of Breast cancer. This paper provides the detailed description on decision tree algorithms (J48 and REPTree) and evaluates based on the performance measures like accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, entropy, ROC, PR area and so on.

J48 algorithm

The J48 classifier is the extension of decision tree ID3 algorithm with additional features like accounting for missing values, reduced error pruning, continuous attribute value, and derivation of rules and so on. Decision tree is supervised technique builds the classification in tree like structure with the root node, branch node and leaf node. Decision tree breaks down the entire dataset into multiple subsets and builds the decision tree incrementally. J48 employs top-down and greedy search through all possible branches to construct a decision tree.

The algorithm

- Initially, all the training data are at root
- Input data are partitioned based on the select attributes
- Entropy and Information gain are calculated. Attribute with highest information gain are selected as decision node
- Branch with zero entropy is marked as leaf node in the decision tree
- Branch with non-zero entropy undergo further partition
- Algorithm runs recursively on non-leaf nodes until all the data is classified

Condition for stopping

- All the sample at the given node belong to the same class
- No remaining attributes for further partitioning
- No samples left

REPTree algorithm

REPTree is one of the fast decision tree classifier algorithms. It constructs the decision tree using entropy and information gain of the attribute with reduced error pruning technique. It constructs multiple trees and selects the best tree from the generated list of trees. REPTree prunes the tree using the back fitting method. REPTree algorithm sorts all numeric fields in the dataset only once at the start and then it utilize the sorted list to split the attributes at each tree node. It classifies the numeric attributes by minimizing total variance. The non-numeric attributes classified with regular decision tree with reduced error pruning technique.

The algorithm

- Load input data
- Build multiple trees using entropy and information gain
  If (numeric attributes)
  Sort all numeric fields
  Construct decision tree with sorted list
  Else
  Construct decision tree with error-pruning
  - Choose the best tree from constructed list

Random forest algorithm

Random Forest is one of the most accurate machine learning algorithms. It is capable of handling thousands of attributes without any feature selection. It provides the estimates of the important attributes. It is a highly efficient algorithm for estimating the missing data and it also maintains the accuracy in estimation. It can handle large volume of the database. Multiple trees are constructed to choose the best tree on the split. When compared to REPTree, error pruning is not performed in Random Forest.
The algorithm

- Initialize N = Number of training cases and M = Number of variables in the classifier
- Let m = Number of input variables.
- Recursively build decision tree
- Check m < M to determine the decision node
- Choose ‘n’ cases with replacement from ‘N’ available training cases.
- Estimate the error of the tree
- Select the tree with majority vote

Random tree algorithm

Random tree classifier is one of the decision tree approaches where the ‘K’ attributes are chosen randomly to classify the data. It does not contain any pruning technique to minimise the error. Random tree algorithm has an option to estimate the class probabilities for classification.

The algorithm

- Load training data at the root
- Input data are partitioned based on the ‘K’ attributes randomly
- Construct decision tree with random split
- Algorithm runs recursively on non-leaf nodes until all the data is classified

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For this research work, decision tree classifier algorithms are applied to the breast cancer dataset from Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) repository. The breast cancer dataset has 769,261 numbers of instances and each instance consists of 134 attributes including the class attribute. The class attribute has four values like Benign (0), uncertain benign or malignant (1), Carcinoma in situ (2) and Malignant (3). All the attributes of the data set along with their range of values are available in seer data dictionary [6]. The classification algorithms are applied for the input parameters mentioned in the table 1. The classifiers with 10 fold cross validation are analyzed and compared using WEKA software. The configuration parameters of the classifiers are listed below.

In WEKA, Data pre-processing has been carried out as the first step for all the 7 attributes and it has been depicted in fig. 2. The performance of the classifiers in detecting the breast cancer can be evaluated from the analysis of confusion matrix and below parameters are calculated

Accuracy is the percentage measure of correctly classified instances for all instances. It can be obtained as below:

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

Precision is of correctly classified instances for those instances that are classified as positive and it is calculated using the equation:

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad \text{(2)}
\]

Recall is the measure of the positive instance that are correctly classified and it can be calculated with below equation

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

F-Measure is the combined metric of precision and recall, i.e., it is harmonic mean of both. It shows how precise the classifier is and also how well the classifier is robust. F-measure use below equation for calculation

\[
\text{F-Measure} = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

Sensitivity is the measure of correctly classified positive instances to a total number of positive instances.

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

Specificity is the measure of correctly classified negative instances to a total number of negative instances.

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \quad \text{(6)}
\]

Receiver operating curve (ROC) is graphical representation of sensitivity against specificity. The precision-recall curve is the graphical representation of recall against precision.

Kappa Statistic is the measure of inter-rater agreement of the instances.

RMSE is the measure of the variations in predicating correct values.

Entropy: It is a measure of uncertainty of a particular random variable. The entropy \( H(X) \) for a discrete random variable \( X \) is defined as follows

\[
\text{Entropy} \ H(X) = \sum_{x=1}^{X} p_i \log_b p_i \quad \text{(7)}
\]

The different classifier algorithms are imposed on the pre-processed data.
The simulation results of decision tree classifiers are plotted here. Confusion matrix helps us to evaluate a total number of True Positive (TP), True Negative (TN), False Positive (FP) and False Negative (FN) instance. With the help of TP, TN, FP and FN value, it is possible us to validate the various performance measures such as accuracy, precision, recall, F-measure, ROC, PRC, etc.

Results of J48

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Class (0)</th>
<th>Class(1)</th>
<th>Class(2)</th>
<th>Class(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-Measure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results on REPTree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Class (0)</th>
<th>Class(1)</th>
<th>Class(2)</th>
<th>Class(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-Measure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results on random forest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Class (0)</th>
<th>Class(1)</th>
<th>Class(2)</th>
<th>Class(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-Measure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results on RandomTree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Class (0)</th>
<th>Class(1)</th>
<th>Class(2)</th>
<th>Class(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP Rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-Measure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulation results of the four decision tree classifiers for all possible values of a class attribute is summarised in the table 2, 3, 4 and 5. When compared to other classifiers, REPTree Classifier has high TP Rate of 0.936 and low FP Rate of 0.275. REPTree holds good Receiver Operating Curve (ROC) of 0.929 with 0.959 Precision-Recall areas and it is shown in fig. 3. The comparison of information score of decision tree classifier is clearly shown in table 7 and REPTree has 280488.65 bits per instance.

Error results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>J48</th>
<th>REPTree</th>
<th>Random forest</th>
<th>Random tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kappa statistic</td>
<td>0.7311</td>
<td>0.7308</td>
<td>0.7293</td>
<td>0.7288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean absolute error</td>
<td>0.0546</td>
<td>0.0529</td>
<td>0.0524</td>
<td>0.0524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean squared error</td>
<td>0.1653</td>
<td>0.1628</td>
<td>0.1626</td>
<td>0.1631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative absolute error</td>
<td>41.73%</td>
<td>40.46%</td>
<td>40.03%</td>
<td>40.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root relative squared error</td>
<td>64.62%</td>
<td>63.65%</td>
<td>63.59%</td>
<td>63.78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random Forest Classifier classifies the data with minimized Mean Squared Error value of 0.1626 and various error statistics are captured in table 6.
Entropies of the algorithms

Table 7: Entropy comparison of IBK and naïve bayes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>J48</th>
<th>REPTree</th>
<th>Random forest</th>
<th>Random tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>280133.9</td>
<td>280488.65</td>
<td>276837.28</td>
<td>276852.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REPTree Classifier with performance accuracy of 93.63%, 720198 instances are correctly classified and only 49063 instances are classified as incorrect. The charts are captured in fig. 4, 5 and 6 respectively.

CONCLUSION

In this research work, we analyzed the performance of the four different decision tree algorithms for Breast cancer classification. The simulation results shows REPTree classifier classifies the data with 93.63% accuracy and minimum RMSE of 0.1628. REPTree algorithm consumes less time to build the model with 0.929 ROC and 0.959 PRC values. By comparing classification results, we confirm that an REPTree algorithm is better than other classification algorithms for SEER dataset.
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