ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITIES OF RUBUS FRUTICOSUS AND ZIZYPHUS VULGARIS METHANOL EXTRACTS
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This report is an attempt to study the phenolic composition of Rubus fruticosus (RFE) and Zizyphus vulgaris (ZVE) methanol extracts and evaluate their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects in vitro and in vivo.

Methods: Total phenolic and total flavonoid contents of extracts were determined by spectrophotometric methods. Phenolic compounds were identified by HPLC-TDF/MS. The antioxidant activities were evaluated in vitro using DPPH, ABTS, and FRAP assays. The effect of RFE and ZVE on DNA cleavage induced by H2O2 UV-photolysis was also investigated. The antioxidant effect of RFE and ZVE was tested in vivo using the blood total antioxidant capacity test in mice. On the other hand, the anti-inflammatory activity was assessed in vivo using two models of acute inflammation ear edema and vascular permeability.

Results: The phytochemical analysis of these extracts showed that RFE possesses higher polyphenolic and flavonoid content than ZVE, in the same way RFE exerted the highest antioxidant capacity with IC50 value of 14 µg/ml in DPPH assay, 1.58 mmol of Trolox E/mg extract and 3.39 of mmol FeSO4/mg extract in ABTS, and FRAP assay respectively. The studied extracts showed a concentration-dependent protective effect on DNA cleavage induced by H2O2 UV-photolysis. The daily oral administration of 200 mg/kg of RFE or ZVE during three weeks showed an improvement of the blood total antioxidant capacity; the HT50 values were 14.15 min and 16.47 min for the groups treated with RFE and ZVE, respectively versus 122.5 min for the control group. The topical application of 2 mg/ear of RFE inhibited the croton oil-induced ear edema by 75.72%, while the inhibition exerted by ZVE was 64.24%. These inhibitions were higher than that of indomethacin, used as a reference. Moreover, the oral administration of 400 mg/kg of RFE inhibited significantly (33.57%) acetic acid induced vascular permeability in mice. However, this effect was lower than this of indomethacin. The inhibition effect exerted by ZVE was not significant.

Conclusion: The results obtained in this investigation showed that RFE possesses strong antioxidant and anti-inflammatory potential in comparison with ZVE, which may be attributed to the presence of polyphenolic phytoconstituents.
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INTRODUCTION

Oxidative stress is an important factor in the genesis of several pathologies, such as cancer, cardiovascular and degenerative diseases. In order to protect the body against the consequences of oxidative stress, an efficacious approach consists in improving the antioxidant nutrition. Antioxidants from natural sources have a higher bioavailability and therefore higher protective efficacy than synthetic antioxidants [1].

Inflammation is the response of living tissues to injury. It is typically a protective mechanism that is triggered in response to noxious stimuli, trauma or infection to protect the body and to facilitate the recovery process. However, the uncontrolled response leads to chronic inflammatory disorders. A large number of steroids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are available in the market for the treatment of inflammation. However, despite their great number, their therapeutic efficacy seems to be hampered because they are often associated with serious adverse side effects such as gastrointestinal irritation, ulcers, nephrotoxicity, and metabolic disorders [2].

It is therefore of utmost importance to search for less toxic anti-inflammatory and antioxidant drugs. Medicinal plants have been documented to have an advantage in toxicity considerations based on their long term use and one might expect bioactive compounds obtained from such plants to have low animal and human toxicity [3].

It has been reported that polyphenolic compounds significantly constitute the active substances in these plants having multiple biological activities both in vitro and in vivo [4].

In Algeria, more than 600 species of medicinal and aromatic plants are employed commonly in the practice of traditional medicine, and only a few have been studied phytochemically and evaluated for their biological activity. Rubus fruticosus (Rosaceae) and Zizyphus vulgaris (Rhamnaceae) are used in the Algerian folk medicine for hundreds of years as antiarrheal, anti-inflammatory, local antalgic, warmer and urinary antiseptic [5, 6].

Despite the use of these plants in traditional medicine, no or not much pharmacological and phytochemical studies are reported assessing their therapeutic properties. The aim of the present study was to investigate the phenolic profile of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris methanol extracts and evaluate their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities in vitro and in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materiel

Chemicals

Indomethacin, t-BHP ( tert-butyl hydroperoxide), Galic acid, Quercetin and Folin-Ciocalteau reagent DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrayl), 2,2’azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazine-6-sulfonicacid (ABTS), 2,4,6-tripryridyl-s-triazine (PTTZ), ferric chloride, sodium acetate, potassium persulphate and Evans blue were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Germany). Plasmid miniprep kit was obtained from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). Xylene and croton oil were obtained from probio (France). All other chemicals are from Sigma and were of analytical grade.
Plant material

Rubus fruticosus (leaves) and Zizyphus vulgaris (roots) were collected in September 2011 from Bordj Bou Arréridj, Algeria. They were identified by Pr. Houssine Laouar, University of Sétif. The voucher specimens (No R. F. 2012/57 and No Z. V. 2012/63), were deposited at the laboratory of botany in the University of Sétif Algeria. Plant material was washed, dried and powdered with an electric micronizer.

Animals

Swiss albino mice are weighing 20-25 g were purchased from Pasteur Institute of Algiers, Algeria. The mice were divided into different groups each consist of 5-7 animals and were allowed to acclimatize to the animal room conditions for one week and had free access to food and water ad libitum. Animals fasted overnight prior the experiments. All procedures were performed in accordance with European Union Guidelines for Animals Experimentation (2007/526/EC).

METHODS

Preparation of plant extracts

One hundred grams of the powdered plant material were soaked in 80 % aqueous methanol (1/10, v/v) for 24 h with frequent agitation. After filtration and solvent evaporation in a rotary evaporator (Büchi) the crude methanol extracts were stored in darkness at 4 °C.

Determination of total phenolics

Total phenolic content was estimated by the Folin–Ciocalteu method [7]. Two hundred microliters of diluted sample (0.5-10 mg/ml) were added to 1 ml of 1:10 diluted Folin–Ciocalteu reagent. After 4 min, 800 µl of saturated sodium carbonate (75 g/l) was added. After 2 h of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 765 nm. Gallic acid (0-160 µg/ml) was used for the standard calibration curve. The results were expressed as milligramme gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g extract.

Determination of total flavonoids

The total flavonoid content was determined by the aluminum chloride colorimetric method described by Bahour and colleagues [8]. Briefly, 1 ml of 2% aluminium trichloride (AlCl₃) in methanol was mixed with the same volume of extracts (0.25-0.5 mg/ml). After 10 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 430 nm. Quercetin was used as the standard for the calibration curve. The data were expressed as milligram quercetin equivalents (QBE)/g extract.

Analysis of phenolic compound by HPLC-TOF/MS

Dried crude extracts (200 µg) of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris were dissolved in methanol at room temperature. Samples were filtered through a PTFE (0.45 µm) filter by an injector to remove particulates. Injection volume was 10 µl. Phenolic compounds analysis was carried out as described by [9], using an Agilent Technologies 1260 Infinity HPLC System coupled with 6210 Time of Flight (TOF) LC/MS detector. The separation was carried out on ZORBAX SB-C18 (4.6 x100 mm, 3.5 µm) column. Mobile phases A and B were ultra-pure water with 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile, respectively. Flow rate was 0.6 ml min⁻¹ and column temperature was 35 °C. The solvent program was as follow: 0-1 min 10% B, 1-20 min 50% B, 20-23 min 80% B, 23-25 min 0% B; 25-30 min 10% B. Ionization mode of HPLC-TOF/MS instrument was negative and operated with a nitrogen gas temperature of 325 °C, nitrogen gas flow of 10.0 L min⁻¹, nebulizer of 40 psi, capillary voltage of 4000 V and finally, fragmentor voltage of 175 V. Phenolic compound were identified by the retention time of sample chromographic peaks being compared with those of authentic standards using the same HPLC operating condition and also by the extract being spiked with the standard components.

DPPH radical scavenging activity

The free radical scavenging capacity of the studied extracts was tested as bleaching of the stable 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) [10]. The reaction mixture contains 1.5 ml of DPPH solution (100 mmol) and 37.5 µl of different extracts concentration (5-50µg/ml). After 20 min at room temperature, the absorbance was recorded at 517 nm and the percentage of radical scavenging activity was calculated as follow:

\[
\text{DPPH scavenging activity (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Abs Control} - \text{Abs Sample}}{\text{Abs Control}} \right) \times 100
\]

Where Abs control is the absorbance of the control reaction mixture without the test compounds, and Abs sample is the absorbance of the test compounds.

ABTS radical scavenging activity

The ability of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extracts to scavenge the ABTS radical was evaluated according to Re et al. [11]. The ABTS radical cation was produced by the oxidation of 1.7 mmol ABTS with potassium persulphate (4.3 mmol) in water. The mixture was allowed to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 h before use, and then the ABTS solution was diluted with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4 to give an absorbance of 0.7±0.02 at 734 nm. After 10 min, the absorbance was recorded at 734 nm. After allowing the reaction to stand for 6 min in the dark at room temperature, the unit of total antioxidant activity was defined as the concentration of trolox having the equivalent antioxidant activity, expressed as mmol trolox equivalent/mg extract.

Ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP)

The ferric reducing activity of the extracts under study was evaluated according to the method described by Benzie and Strain. [12] With minor modifications. The FRAP reagent contained 10 mmol of TPTZ solution in 40 mmol HCl, 20 mmol FeCl₃, and acetate buffer (300 mmol, pH 3.6) (1:1:10, v/v/v). 50 µl of a methanol solution containing different concentrations (0.03-1 mg/ml) of the extracts tested or of the vehicle (methanol) alone were added to 1 ml of the FRAP reagent. After incubation at 20 °C for 4 min, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm, using the FRAP reagent as a blank. Methanol solutions of known Fe(II) concentration, ranging from 100 to 1000 µM FeSO₄ were used for the preparation of the calibration curve and results are expressed in mmol Fe (II)/mg extract.

DNA oxidation induced by the photolysis of H₂O₂

DNA damage protective activity of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extracts was checked on pBluescript M13 (+) plasmid DNA. Plasmid DNA was oxidized with H₂O₂-UV treatment in the presence of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extracts and checked on 1% agarose after modification [13]. In brief, the experiments were performed in a volume of 10 µl in a microcentrifuge tube containing 200 ng of plasmid DNA in phosphate buffer (7.14 mmol phosphate and 14.29 mmol NaCl), pH 7.4. H₂O₂ was added at a final concentration of 2.5 mmol/L with and without 1 µl of 100, 250, 350, 500 µg/ml Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extracts. The reactions were initiated by UV irradiation and continued for 5 min on the surface of a UV transilluminator with intensity 8000 µW cm⁻² at 300 nm at room temperature. After irradiation, the reaction mixture (10 µl) with gel loading dye was placed on 1% agarose gel for electrophoresis. Electrophoresis was performed at 40 V for 3 h in the presence of ethidium bromide (10 mg/ml). Untreated pBluescript M13+plasmid DNA was used as a control in each run of gel electrophoresis along with partial treatment, i.e., only UV treatment and only H₂O₂. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed with the Gel documentation system (Gel-Doc XR, BioRad, Hercules, CA). The intensity of each DNA band was quantified by means of densitometry.

Blood total antioxidant capacity

The effect of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extracts on the total antioxidant capacity of mice were accessed according to the method described by Girard et al. [14] with slight modifications by replacing the radical AAPH [2,2'-azo-bis azo-bis (2-amidinopropene) HCl] by the tert-butyl hydroperoxide (t-BHP). The Principle of the test is to submit whole blood to a thermo-controlled free radical attack. All families of antioxidant present in the
blood are mobilised to fight off the oxidant attack and to protect the integrity of erythrocytes resulting in the delay of hemolysis.

Two groups of mice were given orally 200 mg/kg of Rubus fruticosus or Zizyphus vulgaris extracts. The control group received the same volume of normal saline solution. After twenty-one days of daily treatment, blood (0.5 -1 ml) was collected by cardiac puncture after diethylique ether anaesthesia. A subsample of whole blood 100 µl was immediately diluted in 2,4 ml of PBS (NaCl 125 mmol, sodium phosphate 10 mmol, pH 7.4) and 80 µl of diluted blood was deposited in an a96-well microplate with 136 µl of t-BHP (166 µM in acetone containing 80% of the red blood cells [half-hemolysis time, HT= in min].

**Croton oil-induced ear edema in mice**

To evaluate the topical effect of the studied extracts on acute inflammation, croton oil-induced ear edema was performed according to Manga et al. [15]. Cutaneous inflammation was induced to the inner surface of the right ear of mice by application of 15 µl of acetone containing 80 µg of croton oil as an irritant. Treated animals received topically 2 mg/ear of extract. Indomethacin as reference anti-inflammatory agent [17]. The obtained Results (table 1) showed that Rubus fruticosus extract contained higher amounts of total phenolic and flavonoid content than Zizyphus vulgaris extract. A very low quantity of flavonoids was observed in Zizyphus vulgaris extract.

**Identification of phenolic compound by HPLC-TOF/MS**

According to the retention time of calibration standards (table 2), *Rubus fruticosus* extracts presented a chemical profile (fig. 1A) composed of several phenolic compounds; nine compounds were identified as phenolic acids and eight compounds as flavonoids belonging to different classes. Scutellarin as flavone was the most flavonoid and phenolic compound followed by chlorogenic acid; quercetin-3-β-D-glucoside represented the major flavonol followed by fisetin. Hydroxybenzoic acid and kampferol can be considered as minor compounds in *Rubus fruticosus* extract. *Zizyphus vulgaris* extract (fig. 1B) revealed the presence of only seven phenolic compounds, including four phenolic acid and three flavonoids (rutin, naringin, and catechin). In fact, Protocatechuic acid represents the major phenolic acid. catechin as flavanol represents the major flavonoid in *Zizyphus vulgaris* extract.

---

**Table 1: Polyphenols and flavonoids content of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris methanol extracts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic compounds (µg GAE/mg extract)*</th>
<th>Flavonoids (µg QE/mg extract)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rubus fruticosus</td>
<td>227.15±46.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zizyphus vulgaris</td>
<td>134.6±30.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33±0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAE: Gallic Acid Equivalent; QE: Quercetine Equivalent. (mean±SD, n = 3).

**Table 2: Phenolic compounds determined by HPLC-TOF/MS in Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extracts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic compound</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Rubus fruticosus (µg/mg extract)</th>
<th>Zizyphus vulgaris (µg/mg extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gentisic acid</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>74.46</td>
<td>9.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>145.34</td>
<td>932.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>5918.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocatechuic acid</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>131.48</td>
<td>219.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-hydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>44.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>420.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanillic acid</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>61.06</td>
<td>106.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringic acid</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>145.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>35.34</td>
<td>18.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>1367.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-3-β-D-glucoside</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>2247.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naringin</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>53.44</td>
<td>39.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisetin</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>179.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scutellarin</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>13093.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neohesperidin</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>33.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamic acid</td>
<td>16.31</td>
<td>71.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>16.84</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
DPPH radical scavenging activity
The 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) is a stable radical with a maximum absorbance at 517 nm that can readily undergo reduction by an antioxidant. Because of the ease and convenience of this reaction, it has now widespread use in the free radical-scavenging activity assessment [18]. Both extracts exhibited antiradical activity (Fig. 2). *Rubus fruticosus* extract shows a high radical scavenging activity with IC$_{50}$ value (14.6 µg/ml). However, *Zizyphus vulgaris* extract possesses the half radical scavenging activity exhibited by *Rubus fruticosus* extract with a value of IC$_{50}$ (29.81 µg/ml).

This difference of radical scavenging activity is attributed to the difference in phenolic and flavonoid content. Indeed, phenolic compounds, especially flavonoids, are recognised as potentially antioxidant substances with the ability to scavenge free radical species, and reactive forms of oxygen, the scavenging effect of flavonoids (FLO) is attributed to their low potential redox making them thermodynamically able of reducing free radicals by a transfer of hydrogen from hydroxyl groups.

This reaction gives rise to aroxyl radical (FLO•) and the stabilised radical; the (FLO•) subsequently undergo a structural rearrangement allowing redistributing the unpaired electron on the aromatic ring and the stabilisation of aroxyl radicals [19].

**ABTS radical**
Due to its operational simplicity, the ABTS assay has been used in many research laboratories, to measure the total antioxidant capacity in pure substances, in body fluids, and in plant material [20, 21].

The results of antioxidant activity were expressed as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) values, as shown in table 3. Similarly to the DPPH assay, ABTS assay revealed that *Rubus fruticosus* extract exerted the highest antioxidant capacity.
The protective effect of *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* on H₂O₂-induced DNA damage was studied on pBluescript M13 (+) plasmid DNA. Photolysis of H₂O₂ can occur when it absorbs a significant amount of light, which can be any wavelength less than 380 nm. This process can be described in general by the following reaction:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2 \cdot \text{OH}
\]

Due to the formation of highly reactive and strong oxidizing hydroxyl radical, the native supercoiled configuration of plasmid DNA changes to open circular and nicked linear forms, which cause a change in their electrophoretic mobility properties on the gel [24].

Fig. 3 A shows the electrophoretic pattern of DNA in the presence or absence of (100, 250, 350 and 500 μg/ml) of *Rubus fruticosus* or *Zizyphus vulgaris* extract. The plasmid DNA showed two bands on agarose gel electrophoresis (lane 1) the faster moving prominent band corresponded to the native supercoiled circular DNA (sc DNA) and the slower moving very faint band was the open circular form (oc DNA). The UV irradiation of DNA in the presence of H₂O₂ (lane 2) resulting the cleavage of sc DNA to give prominent oc DNA and a faint linear (Lin) DNA indicating that OH· generated from UV-photolysis of H₂O₂ produced DNA strand scission. It was noted that only UV treatment and only H₂O₂ treatment (lanes 3 and 4, respectively) could not induce damage as noted in combined treatment (lane 2).

The addition of *Rubus fruticosus* or *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts (lanes 6-9) to the reaction mixture suppressed the formation of Lin DNA and induced a significant protection to the damage of native supercoiled circular DNA in a dose-dependent manner. In fact, the intensity of sc DNA bands (fig. 3B) scanned from the agarose gel electrophoretic pattern was 81.64, 87.18, 91.07 and 93.31 % for plasmid DNA treated with H₂O₂ in the presence of (100, 250, 350 and 500 μg/ml) *Rubus fruticosus* extract, and 59.87, 66.32, 72.45 and 69.17 % in the presence of *Zizyphus vulgaris* extract, respectively as compared with the untreated plasmid DNA.

Hydroxyl radicals can be produced inside cells through various reactions as UV-induced decomposition of H₂O₂ used in the present study and can damage macromolecules such as lipids, proteins, and DNA, resulting in the onset of several diseases [25]. Hydroxyl radical is known to react with all components of the DNA molecule; the purine and pyrimidine bases as well as the deoxyribose backbone. It is well known that in various cancer tissues, hydroxyl radical-mediated DNA damage was observed [26].

DNA damage protecting the activity of *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extract is corresponding to their antioxidant potential. These extracts might, therefore, be used to prevent cancer. Thus, the identification of natural products able to provide protection against UV radiation-induced inflammatory responses and the generation of oxidative stress may have important human health implications.

**Blood total antioxidant capacity**

The effect of the treatment with *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts on the total antioxidant status of mice was evaluated using an assay based on the whole blood resistance to free radical aggression, taking into account all the body reserves since blood contains every kind of enzymatic and molecular antioxidant [27]. These antioxidants are mobilised during a radical attack induced ex vivo by t-BHP, to protect erythrocytes integrity and then delay their hemolysis.

From the obtained sigmoid hemolysis curves (fig. 4), it appears that treatment with *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts caused a delay of hemolysis which is evident in a shift of the curves to the right. The calculated HT₅₀ values (fig. 5) reveal an extension of half-hemolysis time in the two treated groups compared with the controls. For the group treated with *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts, the increase in half-hemolysis time (HT₅₀= 146.72 min) is statistically significant compared with the control group (HT₅₀= 122.5 min). However, in the group treated with *Rubus fruticosus* the delay of hemolysis is more important and statistically significant (HT₅₀=151.45 min).

---

**Fig. 2:** DPPH radical scavenging activity of *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts. The values are means±SD (n=3).

**Table 3:** Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity of *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mM Trolox E/mg extract±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Rubus fruticosus</em></td>
<td>1.5±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zizyphus vulgaris</em></td>
<td>0.7±0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trolox E: Trolox Equivalent. (mean±SD, n = 3).

**Table 4:** Ferric reducing the antioxidant power of *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mM FeSO₄/mg extract±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Rubus fruticosus</em></td>
<td>3.39±0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zizyphus vulgaris</em></td>
<td>1.04±0.089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(mean±SD, n = 3).

**Effect of *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts on DNA damage**

The effect of the treatment with *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts on the total antioxidant status of mice was evaluated using an assay based on the whole blood resistance to free radical aggression, taking into account all the body reserves since blood contains every kind of enzymatic and molecular antioxidant [27]. These antioxidants are mobilised during a radical attack induced ex vivo by t-BHP, to protect erythrocytes integrity and then delay their hemolysis.

From the obtained sigmoid hemolysis curves (fig. 4), it appears that treatment with *Rubus fruticosus* and *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts caused a delay of hemolysis which is evident in a shift of the curves to the right. The calculated HT₅₀ values (fig. 5) reveal an extension of half-hemolysis time in the two treated groups compared with the controls. For the group treated with *Zizyphus vulgaris* extracts, the increase in half-hemolysis time (HT₅₀= 146.72 min) is statistically significant compared with the control group (HT₅₀= 122.5 min). However, in the group treated with *Rubus fruticosus* the delay of hemolysis is more important and statistically significant (HT₅₀=151.45 min).
Fig. 3: (A) The quantified band intensity for the sc-DNA (form I), oc-DNA (form II) and lin-DNA (form III). (B) Electrophoretic pattern of pBluescript M13+DNA after UV-photolysis of H2O2 in the presence or absence of Rubus fruticosus (RFE) and Zizyphus vulgaris (ZVE) extract. Lane 1: control DNA; Lane 2: DNA+H2O2 (2.5 mmol)+UV; Lane 3: DNA+UV; Lane 4: DNA+H2O2 (2.5 mmol); Lane 5: DNA+extract+UV; Lane 6: DNA+extract (100 μg/ml)+H2O2 (2.5 mmol)+UV; Lane 7: DNA+extract (250 μg/ml)+H2O2 (2.5 mmol)+UV; Lane 8: DNA+extract (350 μg/ml)+H2O2 (2.5 mmol)+UV; Lane 9: DNA+extract (500 μg/ml)+H2O2 (2.5 mmol)+UV

Fig. 4: Kinetics of the red blood cells hemolysis. Mice were given orally 200 mg/kg of Rubus fruticosus extract (RFE) and Zizyphus vulgaris extract (ZVE) extracts: The control group (control) received the same volume of vehicle. The values are means±SEM (n= 6)

Fig. 5: Half-Hemolysis Time (HT50) for different groups. Values are expressed as means±SEM, *P<0.05. Ns: not significant versus the control

Indeed, the blood cell-like erythrocyte contains high concentrations of polyunsaturated fatty acids, molecular oxygen, and ferrous ions and is believed to be highly vulnerable to oxygen radical formation. Erythrocytes are highly susceptible to oxidation; therefore erythrocytes are a suitable cellular model for investigation of the oxidative damage in biomembranes. Oxidant damage of cell films, which may be induced by TBH, can cause erythrocyte hemolysis [28].

The effectiveness of Rubus fruticosus extract in the increasing of HT50 could be attributed to its high phenolic and flavonoids contents. Dai et al. [29] have previously reported that flavonoids and their glycosides are effective antioxidants protecting human red blood cells from free radical-induced oxidative hemolysis. The protective effect of flavonoids can be linked to their binding to the plasma membrane and their ability to penetrate lipid bilayers [30]. In addition, these results showed that the anti-hemolysis effect could be linked not only to the polyphenols and flavonoids contents of the extract but also to the chemical structure of these compounds. It was demonstrated that binding of flavonoids to the red blood cell membranes significantly inhibits lipid peroxidation, and at the same time enhances their integrity against lysis [31].

Croton oil-induced ear edema in mice

Croton oil-induced inflammation is a model for evaluation of drugs and extracts against acute inflammation. Severe vasodilatation, edematous changes of skin and inflammatory cell infiltration which are typical signs of acute inflammation are observed after topical
The inhibition was 75.72 % for Rubus fruticosus extract and 64.24% for Zizyphus vulgaris extract. These inhibitions were better than that obtained with indomethacin (62.24%), used as the standard anti-inflammatory agent. The topical anti-inflammatory effect of Rubus fruticosus and Zizyphus vulgaris extract may suggest that they have a lipophilic character, which enabled them to cross the skin barrier and exert anti-inflammatory action [33].

In fact, Croton oil contains 12-0-tetracanoilphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) and other phorbol esters as main irritant agents. TPA is able to activate protein kinase C (PKC), which activates other enzymatic cascades in turn, such as mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) and phospholipase A2 (PLA2), leading to the release of platelet activation factor (PAF) and arachidonic acid. This cascade of events stimulates vascular permeability, vasodilation, polymorphonuclear leukocytes migration, the release of histamine and serotonin in peritoneal fluids, leading to the increase of vascular permeability and platelet aggregation [34]. The anti-inflammatory activity of the studied extract is probably attributed to COX and 5-LOX inhibitors, leukotriene B4 (LTB4) antagonists.

According to Saraiva et al. [35], plant extracts could produce their anti-inflammatory effect by inhibiting the synthesis of eicosanoids and cytokines, in which PGE2; and TNF-α would be the main oedemagenic mediators.

The high anti-inflammatory activity of Rubus fruticosus extract is probably attributed to it high phenolic and flavonoid content Scutellarin is the major component. Identified in Rubus fruticosus extracts Studies have shown that Scutellarin exerts anti-inflammatory action in several animal models. In addition, it can inhibit lipoxygenase (LPS)-induced production of pro-inflammatory mediators such as NO, TNF-α, IL-1β and ROS in rat primary microglia or BV-2 mouse microglial cell line [36]. It decreases the number of activated microglia and reduces the expression of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) p65 and inflammatory mediators [37].

Moreover, other flavonoids, such as quercetin and kaempferol, have demonstrated significant anti-inflammatory activity, which is attributed to the inhibition of PLA2, COX and LOX enzymes and the inhibition of nitric oxide (NO) by modulating the inducible nitric-oxide synthase (iNOS) enzyme [38].

Acetic acid-induced vascular permeability in mice

Chemical induced vascular permeability (acetic acid) causes an immediate stained reaction that is prolonged over 24 h [39]. As can be seen in (fig. 7), the amount of dye passed across the vessel in the control group was 55.94µg/ml. The treatment with Rubus fruticosus extract (400 mg/kg) significantly reduced the dye leakage to 37.16 µg/ml (inhibition 33.57%). However, a small reduction 41.67 µg/ml of the dye leakage was observed in the treatment with 400 mg/ml of Zizyphus vulgaris extract. This inhibition (25.51%) was not significant. Indomethacin (50 mg/kg), as a standard drug strongly reduced the dye leakage to 31, 40µg/ml (inhibition 43, 86%).
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