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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the present investigation was to prepare gastro-resistant microspheres of esomeprazole magnesium trihydrate (EMT) to prevent its degradation in the acidic environment of the stomach and enhance its bioavailability via intestinal absorption.

Methods: EMT loaded gastro-resistant microspheres were prepared using hypromellose acetate succinate (HPMCAS) as the gastro-resistant polymer by 'non-aqueous solvent evaporation' technique. A 3-factor level factorial design was used to optimise EMT: HPMCAS ratio, the concentration of Span 80 and stirring speed with respect to percent entrapment efficiency and particle size. Further characterization was carried out using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), in vitro release study and in vivo anti-ulcer activity.

Results: Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) study indicated compatibility between drug and polymer. DSC study revealed that the drug was molecularly dispersed in the polymer. The optimised batch showed 49.63±1.23% drug entrapment and 170.1±3.36 μm particle size. SEM study showed that microspheres were spherical in shape. In vitro drug release study showed only 4.28±1.23% drug release in simulated gastric media in 2 hr and 93.46±1.20% release in simulated intestinal media after 1 hr from the optimised batch.

Conclusion: Results of in vitro release studies indicated the gastro-resistant nature of the developed microspheres. In vivo anti-ulcer activity demonstrated that EMT loaded microspheres were able to significantly reduce ethanol-induced ulcer formation in rats’ stomach as compared to the aqueous solution of EMT. So it can be concluded that the developed gastro-resistant microspheres of EMT prevented drug release in the stomach which would lead to a significant improvement in its bioavailability through enhanced intestinal absorption.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral route is one of the most preferred and convenient routes for administration of the drug because of its ease of administration and production, greater flexibility in dosage form design and low cost of such a system. About 40% of drugs are poorly water soluble and/or have limited membrane permeability which provides an opportunity to develop an alternative formulation to overcome drawbacks. Thus, a number of the controlled release systems have been developed for oral administration. The growing interest in controlled drug delivery is due to its benefits like increased patient compliance due to a reduction in unwanted side effects and dosing frequency [1, 2].

There are various approaches to target a specific site in a sustained/controlled release fashion. The single unit system has the disadvantage of being removed with chyme. In comparison to single unit systems, multiple unit system has marked advantages as it spreads over a large area and avoids exposure of high concentration of drug to the mucosa. The risk of dose dumping is minimised [3]. Microspheres are small spherical particles, with diameters in the micrometre range (typically 1 μm to 1000 μm). They are sometimes referred to as microparticles [4].

Esomeprazole magnesium trihydrate (EMT), the S-isomer of omeprazole, irreversibly inhibits the gastric parietal H+/K+ATPase which is involved in HCl production in the stomach. It is used in the treatment of peptic ulcer disease, gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults and children, risk reduction of NSAIDs-associated gastric ulcer, H. pylori eradication and control of pathological hypersecretory conditions associated with Zollinger-ellison syndrome [5]. However, EMT is susceptible to degradation and transformation in acid media, but it has acceptable stability under alkaline conditions [6, 7]. Hence, the exposure of esomeprazole magnesium trihydrate to the acidic contents of the stomach leads to significant degradation of the drug and results in reduced bioavailability. Thus, EMT should be protected during its passage through the acidic environment of the stomach.

Traditionally pharmaceutical formulations are developed by changing one variable at a time approach. The method is time-consuming, requires lot of efforts and combined effects of dependent variables can’t be determined [8]. The Design of Experiments (DoE) is a software-guided experimental design approach for studying the influence of several factors simultaneously. DoE provides information on the interaction of factors with a limited set of experiments. In addition, DoE fits the response data to mathematical equations, and these equations serve as models to predict responses at desired parameter (factor) values. This approach is particularly relevant for identifying the parameter space relevant for a product with specific features [9]. DoE helps in deriving maximum information from a minimal number of experiments. A variety of statistical design algorithms, such as factorial designs and Box-Behnken designs, can be employed for DoE [10].

A gastro-resistant enteric coating is a barrier applied to oral medication that prevents the release of the drug before it reaches the small intestine [11]. Most gastro-resistant coatings work by presenting a surface that is stable to highly acidic pH of the stomach, but breaks down rapidly at a less acidic (relatively more basic) pH. HPMCAS and HPMC (Hypromellose phthalate) are widely used polymers [11, 12]. HPMCAS is available in several grades, according to the pH at which the polymer dissolves and its predominant particle size. MP grade of HPMCAS dissolves above pH 6. HPMC is available in several grades, according to the pH at which the polymer dissolves (HP 50 and HP 55 which dissolves above pH 5.0 and 5.5 respectively).

Currently, micro-particulate (Nexium) formulation of EMT is available in the market and it is costly. The microparticles in these...
formulations are basically multiple layered systems. They have an innermost base of sugar sphere which is coated with drug layer, above which there is seal coating layer and the enteric coating layer. At the end it makes the process complicated and costly due to a large number of polymers and other excipients involved.

The aim of the present investigation, therefore, was to prepare and optimise gastro-resistant microspheres of EMT using "non-aqueous solvent evaporation" or "0/0 emulsion solvent evaporation" technique to retard its degradation in acidic medium of the stomach using HPMCAS as enteric coating polymer. Microparticles are spheres with size ranged between 1-1000 µm.

These microparticles lack an intermediate seal coating layer and still can maintain the drug’s stability both during storage and during the passage through the stomach. Thus, the formulation will be simple to manufacture and cost can be reduced. This would result in an increase in bioavailability via enhanced intestinal absorption of EMT.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

EMT was received as a gift sample from Cadila Healthcare Ltd., India. HPMCAS and HPMCP HP-55 were received as gift sample from Arihant Trading Co., India. Methanol, acetone, and potassium dihydrogen phosphate was purchased from Spectrochem Pvt. Ltd., (India), sodium hydroxide, liquid paraffin colourless heavy, and petroleum ether from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd., (India), concentrated HCl and sodium from Bicarbonate Allied Chem. Corporation, (India), sodium sulphate and sodium phosphate from Suvidhinath Laboratories, (India), disodium hydrogen phosphate and span-80 from SD Fine-Chem Ltd., (India).

**Methods**

**Compatibility study by FTIR**

Compatibility of EMT with excipients (HPMCAS and HPMCP HP-55) was investigated by FTIR (Shimadzu, Japan).

**Preparation of EMT loaded microspheres**

"Non-aqueous solvent evaporation" or "0/0 emulsion solvent evaporation" technique was used to formulate EMT loaded gastro-resistant microspheres [13]. Firstly, the polymer was dissolved in an appropriate volume of acetone by stirring. Then the buffering agent (sodium sulfate) was dispersed in polymer solution using magnetic stirrer for 15 min. In a separate vessel, accurately weighed the amount of drug was dispersed in a volume of acetone. The drug dispersion was gradually poured into the polymer solution. The above-prepared mixture was added dropwise to the dispersion medium consisting of liquid paraffin containing Span 80. The system was stirred using an overhead propeller agitator at appropriate rpm at 30-35 ºC for a period of 3-4 h, to ensure complete evaporation of the organic phase. The liquid paraffin was decanted, and the microspheres were separated by filtration through a filter paper, washed thrice with petroleum ether and air dried for 24 h [13-16].

**Formulation optimisation of gastro resistant microspheres by 3³ factorial design**

A 3³ factorial design was used to prepare different batches of gastro resistant microspheres. On the basis of preliminary trial results, 3 independent variables (EMT: HPMCAS ratio, Concentration of Span 80 and Stirring speed) were selected at 3 levels: low, high and medium and % entrapment efficiency and particle size were taken as response variables. For optimisation by 3³ factorial design, Design expert® version 8.0.7.1 software was employed. 27 batches of different combinations were prepared by taking values of the independent variables as shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Variable level</th>
<th>Medium(0)</th>
<th>High(+1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMT: HPMCAS ratio (X₁)</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>8:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of Span 80 (X²) (%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirring speed (X₃) (rpm)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical data analysis**

Various RSM (Response surface methodology) computations for the current optimisation study were performed employing Design expert® software (version 8.0.7.1), State ease Inc., USA [17].

Polynomial models including interaction and quadratic terms were generated for all the response variables using multiple linear regression analysis (MLRA) approaches. The general form of the MLRA model is represented in below.

\[ Y = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_1^2 + b_5X_2^2 + b_6X_3^2 \]

Where, \( b_0 \) is the intercept representing the arithmetic mean response of 27 runs, \( b_1 \) to \( b_6 \) are the regression coefficients, \( Y \) is the dependent variable, \( X_1, X_2 \) and \( X_3 \) are the independent variables.

The statistical validity of the polynomials was established on the basis of ANOVA provision in the design expert software. Subsequently, feasibility and grid searches were performed to locate the composition of optimum formulations. Also 3-D response surface graphs and 2-D contour plots were constructed using the design expert software [17].

**Data optimisation and model validation**

Three optimum checkpoint batches were prepared (table 2) and evaluated for various response properties. Subsequently, the resultant experimental data of response properties were quantitatively compared with that of the predicted values with the help of t-test [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Formulation batch code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT (mg)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMCAS (mg)</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium sulfate (mg)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone (ml)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Paraffin (ml)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span 80 (%V/V)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirring Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EMT: Esomeprazole magnesium trihydrate, HPMCAS: Hypromellose acetate succinate.*
Numerical optimisation method was employed using desirability function in software to optimise the formulation with desired responses. Constraints set for the formulation of enteric coated microspheres were: X₁-EMT: HPMCAS ratio and X₂-Concentration of Span 80-in range while X₃-Stirring speed was kept at maximum, which would give desired response values, i.e., minimum particle size (Y₁,2) and maximum entrapment efficiency (Y₃). The experiment was carried out using levels obtained from numerical optimisation from software and desirability plot was generated using design expert.

**Percentage yield**

Percentage yield of each batch was calculated using the expression.

\[ \% \text{ Yield} = \frac{\text{Weight of microspheres}}{\text{Weight of solid starting material}} \times 100 \]

**Particle size and size distribution**

The particle size of prepared microspheres was measured using master size (Masterizer 2000 ver. 5.1, Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK). The dispersant used was 0.1 N HCl and the average particle size was calculated in microns [18, 19].

**Micromeritic properties**

The microspheres were characterised for different flow properties such as angle of repose, carr's index and hausner's ratio [20, 21].

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Surface morphology of microspheres was studied under a scanning electron microscope (JEOL, JSM 5760 LY). Samples were mounted on stubs and coated for 120 seconds with a layer of gold using a sputter coater (polaron SC 502). SEM photographs were taken at room temperature (25°C) using a low beam voltage of 20 kV [22].

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

The DSC thermograms of plain drug (EMT), plain polymer (HPMCAS) and prepared microspheres were taken on a differential scanning calorimeter (Shimadzu DSC-60) between 40 and 250 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min with nitrogen supplied at 30 ml/min [22].

**Table 3: Groups of rats for the in vivo anti-ulcer activity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Administered samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>4.2% sodium bicarbonate solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>EMT solution (2 mg/ml, 20 mg/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Microspheres dispersion (equivalent to 2 mg of EMT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ulcer indices (UI) were calculated using the equation:

\[ UI = \frac{10}{x} \]

Where x is the total mucosal area divided by the total ulcerated area.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Compatibility study of EMT with excipients by FTIR**

Compatibility study between polymer and drug was carried out using infrared analysis (fig. 1). The spectra of pure EMT showed its compatibility with HPMCAS. The bands observed in the spectrum of a mixture of EMT+HPMCAS did not show any shift (B) suggesting their compatibility. The bands observed in the spectrum of a mixture of EMT+HPMCAP HP-55 (C) showed a shift of carboxylate peak from 1614 to 1724 cm⁻¹ suggesting that a new chemical bond was formed, indicating an incompatibility between HPMCP HP-55 and EMT.

Hence, HPMCAS was chosen as the polymer for preparing the gastro-resistant microspheres.

**Optimisation using 3² factorial design**

Surfactant concentration, drug: polymer ratio and stirring speed were taken as independent variables and their effects on responses such as particle size and entrapment efficiency were optimised using 3² factorial design.

**Drug entrapment efficiency**

The microspheres were crushed in a glass mortar and dissolved in 10 ml of methanol. The solution was filtered and was analysed for drug content using UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 1700, Japan) at 302 nm [23, 24]. The drug entrapment efficiency was calculated by the following formula:

\[ \% \text{ Entrapment efficiency} = \frac{\text{amt. of drug present in the formulation}}{\text{amt. of drug taken in the formulation}} \times 100 \]

**In vitro drug release**

The in vitro dissolution studies for all the formulations were carried out in two steps, using USP apparatus type-I (basket) at 100 rpm. The dissolution medium consisted of 0.1 N HCl for first 2 h followed by phosphate buffer pH 6.8, maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C. The drug release at different time intervals (Acid stage: 120 min; Buffer stage: 10, 20, 30, 45 and 60 min) was measured by UV-spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 1700, Japan) at 302 nm [15].

**In vivo anti-ulcer activity**

In vivo anti-ulcer activity was carried out using male wistar rats (180-220 g) and protocol [No. MUS/IAEC/2011/22] was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of M. S. University of Baroda, Vadodara, India. The study was carried out in accordance with the CPCSEA guidelines, Department of animal welfare, Government of India. Each group was housed in cages placed in an animal room with a constant temperature of 22 °C and a fixed 12-hour light-dark cycle with free access to water. Animals were randomly divided into 3 groups (table 3), each containing 4 rats. They were fasted overnight, and ulcer was induced by oral administration of absolute alcohol (5 ml/kg) [25]. Formulations (20 mg/kg of drug) were administered orally with the help of cannula 1 h before the administration of ethanol. Rats were sacrificed by an overdose of anaesthesia after 2 h of ethanol administration; their stomachs were removed, opened along the greater curvature, and examined for lesion measurements.
increased the value of EE. As the ratio of drug-to-polymer increased, encapsulation efficiency increased. An increase in polymer concentration resulted in the formation of larger microspheres entrapping greater amounts of the drug, resulting in higher encapsulation efficiency [27].

Moreover, as the concentration of Span 80 increases, the stability of formed globules also increases which will cause an increase in drug loading [28, 29]. Negative value of stirring speed \( X_3 \) indicated its antagonistic effect on EE, i.e. an increase in stirring speed reduced the value of EE.

The relationship between the dependent and independent variables was elucidated by constructing contour plots and response surface analysis. These types of plots are useful in the study of the effects of two factors on the response at one time.

The interaction term AC had a significant effect on % entrapment efficiency \( Y_1 \) as it can be seen by p-value (0.0063). Hence, contour plot and 3D surface plot (fig. 2) were plotted to check the effect of EMT: HPMCAS \( X_1 \) and stirring speed \( X_3 \) at fixed value of \( X_2 \) on % entrapment efficiency \( Y_1 \). The plots were found to be non-linear; therefore non-linear relationship existed between \( X_1 \) and \( X_3 \) variables.

The interaction term BC had a significant effect on % entrapment efficiency \( Y_1 \) as it can be seen by p-value (<0.0001). Contour plot and 3D surface plot (fig. 3) were plotted to check the effect of concentration of Span 80 \( X_2 \) and stirring speed \( X_3 \) at a constant value of \( X_1 \) on % entrapment efficiency \( Y_1 \). The plots were found to be non-linear; therefore non-linear relationship existed between \( X_2 \) and \( X_3 \) variables.
The model F-value of 40.01 implied that the model was significant \((p<0.0001)\). The value of \(R^2\) for polynomial equation was found to be 0.9942 (table 4). "Adeq precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. The ratio of 125.791 indicated an adequate signal. Therefore, this model can be used to navigate the design space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
<th>Adjusted (R^2)</th>
<th>Predicted (R^2)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>% CV</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Y_1) (% EE)</td>
<td>0.9942</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
<td>0.9922</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>51.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Y_2) (PS)</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>287.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Effect on particle size

From the multiple regression equations (4), it was observed that \(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6\) and \(X_7\) had significant effect on PS. Positive values of drug: polymer ratio \((X_1)\) in Eq 4 implied an agonistic effect on PS, i.e., an increase in \(X_1\) increased the value of PS. Increasing polymer concentration produced a significant increase in the viscosity, thus leading to an increase of emulsion droplet size and finally a larger microsphere size [27]. When the dispersed phase with higher viscosity was poured into the continuous phase (external phase), higher viscosity of the internal phase making the coalescence of emulsified droplet easier [30,31]. Negative values of concentration of span 80 \((X_2)\) and stirring speed \((X_3)\) indicated their antagonistic effect on PS, i.e., an increase in the concentration of span 80 or stirring speed reduced the PS. This might be due to the stabilisation of the oil droplets with Span 80. Stirring speed is an important parameter for controlling the dispersion’s droplet size in the continuous phase. It was observed that increase in the stirring speed is reduced particle size which might be due to stronger shear stress and higher turbulence that was generated at higher speed creating smaller emulsion droplets which resulted in the formation of smaller particles [32,33].

The interaction term \(X_1X_2\) had a significant effect on particle size \((Y_2)\) as it can be seen by p-value \((<0.0001)\). Contour plot and 3D surface plot (fig. 4) were plotted to check the effect of concentration of span 80 \((X_2)\) and stirring speed \((X_3)\) on particle size \((Y_2)\) at a constant value of \(X_1\). The plots were found to be non-linear; therefore non-linear relationship exists between \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) variables.

The model F-value of 240.27 implied that the model was significant \((p<0.0001)\). The value of \(R^2\) for polynomial equation was found to be 0.9997 (table 4). The ratio of 408.671 indicated an adequate signal. Therefore, this model can be used to navigate the design space.
Validation of model (Check Point Analysis)

A checkpoint analysis was performed to validate the equation that described the influence of the factors on % entrapment efficiency and particle size. Results for predicted and observed values of dependent parameters are shown in table 5.

When both experimentally obtained and theoretically computed EE (p-value=0.83) and PS (p-value=0.76) values were compared using student t-test, the difference was found to be non-significant (p>0.05) in both cases.

Thus the proposed model can be used to navigate the design space [34].

Table 5: Checkpoint batches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Checkpoint batch</th>
<th>% Entrapment efficiency*</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP1</td>
<td>56.28</td>
<td>55.19±2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP2</td>
<td>51.18</td>
<td>52.03±1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP3</td>
<td>48.05</td>
<td>48.72±3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are expressed mean±standard deviation (SD, N=3)

Optimisation using desirability function

Desirability is an objective function that ranges from zero (outside of limits) and one (at the goal).

The contour plots and 3D surface plots showing desirability of the optimised batch to achieve minimum PS and maximum EE are shown in fig. 5A and 5B.

Fig. 5C shows the ramp display of parameters for interpretation of optimum solution parameters. A dot on each ramp reflects the factor setting or response prediction for that solution. The height of the dot shows the desirability of the process [35]. From the graph, the maximum desirability of 0.769 indicated that optimum formulation was achieved at 1:0 drug-polymer ratio, 1.5% w/w concentration of Span 80 and a stirring speed of 1300 rpm.

Fig. 5: (A) Contour plot and (B) 3D surface plot showing desirability of optimised batch

Fig. 5: (C) Ramp graph showing desirability of optimized batch
Bar graph represents individual desirability of all responses in correspondence with combined desirability. Bar Graph (fig. 5D) showed that for individual factors desirability of 1, PS desirability 0.9380 and EE desirability 0.4049 with combined desirability of 0.7691 were obtained.

Fig. 5: (D) Bar graph showing desirability of optimised batch

The results obtained for the optimised batch (49.63±1.23%, 170.12±3.36 µm) were very close to that given by the software (51.62% and 159.28 µm). Thus, it was concluded that batch GM-26 may be considered as the optimised batch.

Micromeritic properties

The values of angle of repose, carr’s index and the hausner ratio of the optimized batch (table 6) were found to be 28.66 ±0.20, 13.10±0.43 and 1.15±0.33 respectively, indicating good flow characteristics of the microspheres suitable for handling and filling into capsule [26].

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

DSC analyses were carried out for EMT, HPMCAS MF and microspheres (fig. 6). Thermogram of EMT showed a sharp exothermic peak at 198 °C indicating its melting point. The thermogram for microspheres showed no event for EMT. The lowering of crystallinity of polymer was indicated by shifting of polymer peak towards lower temperature (282 °C to 264 °C). The results suggested that EMT loaded HPMCAS microspheres were composed of a homogeneous phase, in which the polymer presented a lower degree of crystallinity than the raw material and the drug was molecularly dispersed in the polymer [19, 36].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Entrapment efficiency*</th>
<th>Particle size* (µm)</th>
<th>Angle of repose* (°)</th>
<th>Carr’s index*</th>
<th>Hausner’s ratio*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49.63±1.23</td>
<td>170.12±3.36</td>
<td>28.66±0.20</td>
<td>13.70±0.43</td>
<td>1.15±0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are expressed mean±standard deviation (SD, N=3)

Fig. 6: DSC thermogram of EMT, HPMCAS and microspheres of optimised batch
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Results of Scanning electron microscopy demonstrated the spherical nature of microspheres as shown in fig. 7. The surface of microspheres was smooth and nonporous. When combined with DSC results, it may be implied that the drug was entrapped in the polymer matrix and hence would remain protected by the gastro resistant polymer.

In vitro drug release

In vitro drug release profile of optimised batch showed that only 4.28±1.23% drug was released in acidic media after 120 min whereas 93.46±1.20% drug was released in the basic media after 60 min (fig. 8).

As per USP for delayed release dosage forms, not more than 10% of the drug should be released in acidic media after 120 min. Hence, it was proved that the prepared microspheres were gastro-resistant [37, 38]. It was concluded that the developed microspheres would prevent drug release in the acidic medium of the stomach so that intestinal absorption of the drug would be improved, leading to increased bioavailability.

To determine drug release kinetics, the in vitro release data was fitted to various kinetics models such as zero order, first order, Higuchi and korsemeyer peppas [18]. The model was selected based on correlation coefficient (r²) value. The data (table 7) revealed that release of drug from EMT loaded microspheres followed first order kinetics indicating that rate of drug release was concentration dependent [28].

In vivo anti-ulcer activity

Oral administration of ethanol to the control groups clearly showed hemorrhagic lesions developed in the glandular portion of the stomach due to stasis in gastric mucosa (fig. 9).

Table 7: Linear correlation coefficient values of various models for in vitro release study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear correlation coefficient (r²) values</th>
<th>Zero order</th>
<th>First order</th>
<th>Higuchi</th>
<th>Korsemeyer peppas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In vitro drug release profile of optimised batch showed that only 4.28±1.23% drug was released in acidic media after 120 min whereas 93.46±1.20% drug was released in the basic media after 60 min (fig. 8).

Table 7: Linear correlation coefficient values of various models for in vitro release study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear correlation coefficient (r²) values</th>
<th>Zero order</th>
<th>First order</th>
<th>Higuchi</th>
<th>Korsemeyer peppas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7: SEM image showing (A) surface of microsphere (B) size of microspheres

Fig. 8: In vitro drug release of optimised batch of gastro-resistant microspheres (mean±SD, n=3)

Fig. 9: Photographs of the stomachs opened along the greater curvature in ethanol-induced ulcer rat model. (A) Stomach after administration of bicarbonate solution and (B) EMT solution [both showing the hemorrhagic lesions developed in the glandular portion of the stomach] (C) microspheres aqueous dispersion [no lesions observed]
The gastric ulcer indexes (fig. 10) were found to be 0.42±0.15 for the sodium bicarbonate solution (Control 1), 0.46±0.17 for EMT solution (Control 2), and 0.07±0.04 for EMT-loaded HPMCAS microspheres (Treatment) respectively. The Kruskal–wallis test detected statistical differences (p = 0.002) among these indexes. The multiple analysis (Student–Newman–Keuls) showed that the EMT-loaded HPMCAS microspheres presented a gastric ulcer index statistically lower (p<0.05) than those of the sodium bicarbonate solution and the EMT solution groups. Thus, the results of in vivo anti-ulcer evaluation demonstrated that microspheres were able to reduce ulcer formation caused by oral administration of ethanol significantly.

Fig. 10: Gastric ulcer indexes after administration of 42% sodium bicarbonate solution (Control 1), EMT solution (2 mg/ml) (Control 2) and EMT-loaded microspheres dispersion (Treatment) in ethanol-induced ulcer

CONCLUSION

EMT loaded microspheres were formulated using “non-aqueous solvent evaporation” or “O/O emulsion solvent evaporation” method and optimised by 3\(^3\) factorial design. DoE allowed simultaneous evaluation of EMT: HPMCAS ratio, the concentration of Span 80 and stirring speed with respect to percent entrapment efficiency and particle size. EMT loaded microspheres had good micromeritics properties. In vitro drug release data revealed that not more than 10% of the drug was released in acidic media after 2 h which proved that the prepared microspheres were gastro resistant. In vivo anti-ulcer study showed a significant reduction in ethanol-induced ulcer formation after treatment with the microspheres. The gastro resistant microspheres of EMT are thus expected to provide clinicians with a new choice of EMT formulation in the management of Peptic ulcers, GERO and Zollinger-ellison syndrome.
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