INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes, the most important agent as vector transmits several serious human diseases like malaria, filariasis, Japanese encephalitis, dengue fever, chikungunya and yellow fever [1-3] and several diseases as a major public health problem in the world. The current mosquito control strategies are mainly focussed on synthetic insecticides considered as the first line of action against mosquito vectors. Besides toxic nature continuous usage of synthetic insecticides causes ecological imbalance, environmental pollution by contaminating soil, water and air [4], destruction of non-target organisms including humans and animals [5,6] and development of insecticide resistance in target insects [7]. Because of the undesirable side effects of the synthetic chemical pesticides, natural insecticides development achieved as an alternative way in mosquito control programs. Plants acts as an excellent source for the reduction of mosquito population at all the stages due to their excellent larvicidal, pupicidal and adulticidal properties. The use of plant extracts has natural insecticides due to the presence of several appealing features like less hazardous, non-toxic, safer for non-target organisms, target specific activity, biodegradable and rich bioactive chemicals [8-12]. Green larvicides are of great importance and considered now because of presence of several bioactive components while the conventional insecticide comprises single active ingredient. These properties has stimulated many investigators to investigate about natural insecticides and also many researchers have reported the effectiveness of various plant against mosquito larvae. Previous studies have evaluated the potential use of plants such as C. obtusifolius [13], Trachyspermumammi [14] against An. stephensi, Piper longum and Piper nigrum [15,16], Cassia fistula [17], Coriandrum sativum [18] against Ae. aegypti, P. nigrum against Culex quinquefasciatus [19], Cassia siamea against Plasmodium berghei [20], Cassia auriculata against An. stephensi and Culex quinquefasciatus[21], Lantana camera against Ae. aegypti, Culex quinquefasciatus, An. culicifacies, An. flaviiitis and An stepsnhi [22], L. camera against Ae. albopictus and Ae. aegypti [23] as larvicidal activity.

Mosquito mostly belongs to genera Anopheles, Aedes and Culex as vectors for pathogens of various diseases. Among the mosquitoes Ae. albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae), a competent vector of Aedes aegypti causes chikungunya and dengue viruses, highly dangerous to human health [24]. Very few studies have been carried out on Ae. albopictus mosquitoes over a long period [25-28] hence the present study is focused on this species. Keeping these points in view, in this context, the purpose of the present investigation is to explore the larvicidal
activity of *A. indica* leaf extract against *Ae. albopictus* under the laboratory conditions. Therefore, this study provides the first report on the mosquito larvicidal activity effect of *A. indica* leaf extract against third instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus* as target species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and reagents**

All the solvents and other reagents used in the present study are of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co.

**Collection of plant materials**

The leaf of *A. indica* (family: Euphorbiaceae) was collected in and around Velapadi (12°56’5.8”N and 79°8’48.7716”E), Vellore district, India. The collected materials were identified by using the standard taxonomic key. The Voucher specimen (No. 1316) was deposited and kept in our Herbarium for further reference.

**Preparation of plant extract**

*A. indica* plant was washed with tap water and then followed by distilled water to remove the sand particles. Leaves were separated, air dried in shade for 20–30 d at environmental temperature. The shade dried materials were grounded into fine powder using a electrical stainless steel blender and stored in air tight bottles until further use.

**Extraction method**

From the *A. indica* leaf powder 30g of weight quantity was extracted with petroleum ether (250 ml, Qualigens chemicals, India) Chloroform (400 ml,Qualigens chemicals, India), Ethyl acetate (300 ml, Qualigens chemicals, India), n-Butanol (350 ml Qualigens chemicals, India) and ethanol (500 ml Qualigens chemicals, India) as solvent by employing a soxhlet apparatus separately until exhaustion. The pooled extract were concentrated under reduced pressure in a Vaccum evaporator at 40 °C to get a semi solid residues. Twenty grams of *A. indica* leaf powder was macerated in 200 ml of distilled water on 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks which were continuously shaken on a rotary at 180 rpm/min for 24 h at room temperature. The suspension was filtered using a fine muslin cloth and then through a whatman No: 1 filter paper via a Buchner funnel. The residue was further macerated twice under the same condition. The obtained filtrate of aqueous extract were mixed and concentrated under vacuum, and then dried by using lyophilizers. The obtained extracts was stored at 4 °C in air tight bottle until required for a further analysis.

**Larvicidal activity**

**Stock solution**

0.1 g of the crude extract (petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate, n-Butanol, ethanol and aqueous) was dissolved in 1 ml of particular solvent and added 2 drops of Tween 80. Tween 80 (Qualigens) was used as an emulsifier. This was made up 100 ml using distilled water. This solution was considered as stock solution (0.1 g/100 ml, 1000 ppm). From the stock solution of the all six solvent extracts different concentration were prepared with dechlorinated water ranging from 1000 ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 4000 ppm and 5000 ppm respectively, and then subjected to Larvicidal bioassay screening.

**Collection of larvae**

The Larvae were collected from fresh stagnant water (waste pot, plastic container, plant pot) near D. K. M. College, Garden, Vellore. The Larvae was collected in a plastic container and transferred to the Laboratory immediately and identified. Preliminarily, the identification of the mosquito Larvae *Ae. albiopictus* collected was done in Vector Controlled Research Center (VCRC), Pondicherry. Third instar Larvae alone were collected for the Larvicidal bioassay. Feed to the mosquito larvae was supplied in the mass ratio of 3:1 [pedigree dog biscuits and yeast] for its growth.

**Larvicidal bioassay**

The larvicidal assay of the *A. indica* extracts was tested against the *Ae. albopictus* larvae by following the world health organization. The third instar larvae (*Ae. albopictus*) was used for the larvicidal activity. From the stock solution (1000 ppm of petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate, n-butanol, ethanol, aqueous) different dilutions of 1000 ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 4000 ppm and 5000 ppm were prepared in 250 ml of deionized water. Two controlled groups, one setup containing two drop of tween80 mixed with 250 ml of dechlorinated water, and another setup containing 250 ml of dechlorinated water only, were used for testing larvicidal activity. 25 third instar larvae were released into 250 ml of dechlorinated water containing extract solution and control experiment (with tween 80 and without tween 80) were run in parallel. All the container were subsequently nylon mosquito netting to prevent mosquito species from egg laying. The extract water solution was stirred for 30 second with a glass rod after approximately 5 min. 25 larvae were transferred gently to the test medium by dropper. Larval food was not given for the test larvae. After 24h and 48h of exposure mortality rates of larvae were identified when they were unable to move normally after gently touching with needle in the siphon or cervical region. The laboratory test was carried out under the controlled temperature of (26±2 °C) and relative humidity (60±10%). Each tested concentration was replicated two to five trials and the mean of one replicate was recorded in the study. The control mortalities were corrected by using Abbott's formula.

Corrected mortality = Observed mortality in treatment − Observed mortality in control x 100 / 100 − Control mortality

Percentage mortality = Number of dead larvae / Total number of larvae x 100

**Statistical analysis**

The average larval mortality data were subjected to probit analysis for calculating LC50, LC90 and other statistics at 95% confidence limits of upper fiducial limit (UFL) and lower fiducial limit (LFL), and chi-square values were calculated using the SPSS 16.0 version (software package). The values are expressed as mean±SD of five replicates. Results with P<0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The results clearly indicate that Petroleum ether extract of *A. indica* exhibited a potent mortality against 3 rd instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus* tested. Petroleum ether extract of *A. indica* was found to be most effective at 5000 ppm (100% mortality, both 24 h and 48 h), whereas 4000 ppm found to be most potent and showed 100% mortality at 48 h and 90% at 24 hr. Petroleum ether extract at a lowest concentration of 3000 ppm, 2000 ppm and 1000 ppm killed 50%, 40%, 50%, respectively, when exposed for 24 h and 40%, 50%, 100% respectively, for 48 h. Petroleum ether extract showed LC50 value of 2805.43 and 2376.11 ppm for 24 h, and 48 h respectively. The LC90 value of extract was found to be 3825.14 ppm and 3327.80 ppm for 24 h and 48 h respectively (table 1). The chisquare values were significant at P≤0.05, 0.01 for 24 h and 48 h respectively.

Chloroform extract also recorded a high larval mortality against 3 rd instar *Ae. albopictus* larvae. LC50, LC90 and Chisquare value for 24 h and 48 h were also calculated. The data are presented in table 1. It was determined that highest concentration caused 100% mortality with LC50 value of 2276.5 ppm and 2213.36 ppm on post exposure at 24 h and 48 h. LC90 value calculated was found to be 4015.8 ppm and 3430.43 ppm at 24 h and 48 h respectively. A statistically significant value was also found at 24 h and 48 h between control and the extract with a value of at P≤0.01. P≤0.005respectively.

Table 1, 2 shows the larvicidal activity of n-Butanol extract of *A. indica* against 3 rd instar larvae after 24 h and 48 h treatment at 1000 ppm-5000 ppm. Among the five different concentration 3000 ppm (48 h), 4000 ppm (24 h and 48 h) and 5000 ppm (24 h and 48 h) recorded 100% larval mortality compared to other concentration recording the LC50 value. The 24h showed the highest LC50 value of about 2276.5 ppm compared to 48 h 2213.36 ppm. The dose dependent result suggested that the value LC90 was identified as 3628.19 and 2518.86 ppm for *Ae. albopictus* at 24 h and 48 h respectively. The "P" values was significant(P 0.01, 0.01) when compared to the control after 24 h and 48 h treatment.
The larval mortality of *Ae. albopictus* after the treatment of ethylacetate extract of *A. indica* was observed. Table 1, 2 provides the result of larval mortality of *Ae. albopictus* (third instar) after the treatment of *A. indica* ethyl acetate at different concentration (1000 ppm-5000 ppm). No mortality was noted by treatment of *A. indica* at 1000 ppm, 10% mortality was noted at 24 h at 2000 ppm, whereas it has been increased to 30% at 48 h. Similar trend has been noted for 3000 ppm (30%, 24h, 70%, 48h) and 4000 ppm (40%, 24h, 50%, 48h) and 3000 ppm (60%, 24h, 100%, 48h). The LC50 and LC90 values were represented as followed: LC50 values of third instar larva was 4472.14 ppm in 24 h and the LC90 values of 3rd instar larva was 2469.61 ppm in 24 h and 4215.84 ppm in 48 h. The chi-square value significant at 24 h (P<0.01) 48h (P<0.01).

The ethanol and aqeous extract of *A. indica* does not cause any mortality at varying concentrations against the third instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus*.

### Table 1: Percentage mortality at different time interval in larvicidal activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>% mortality at different time interval</th>
<th>Mortality percent means (±SE) at two intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td>20  30</td>
<td>20.33±0.57  30.33±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000 ppm</td>
<td>40  50</td>
<td>40.33±0.57  40.6±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000 ppm</td>
<td>60  70</td>
<td>50.6±0.57  51.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000 ppm</td>
<td>80  90</td>
<td>90.33±0.57  100.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000 ppm</td>
<td>100 100</td>
<td>100.0±0.00  100.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td>10  20</td>
<td>19.6±0.57  40.6±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000 ppm</td>
<td>20  30</td>
<td>30.0±1.00  40.3±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000 ppm</td>
<td>30  40</td>
<td>30.0±1.00  40.3±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000 ppm</td>
<td>40  50</td>
<td>40.6±0.57  70.0±1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000 ppm</td>
<td>50  60</td>
<td>99.0±0.00  100.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butanol extracts</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td>- 10</td>
<td>0.0±0.00   0.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000 ppm</td>
<td>20  30</td>
<td>20.3±0.57  29.3±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000 ppm</td>
<td>30  40</td>
<td>30.6±1.15  49.3±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000 ppm</td>
<td>40  50</td>
<td>40.6±1.15  49.3±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000 ppm</td>
<td>50  60</td>
<td>60.6±0.57  49.3±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>0.0±0.00   0.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000 ppm</td>
<td>20  30</td>
<td>20.3±0.57  29.3±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000 ppm</td>
<td>30  40</td>
<td>30.6±1.15  49.3±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000 ppm</td>
<td>40  50</td>
<td>40.6±1.15  49.3±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000 ppm</td>
<td>50  60</td>
<td>60.6±0.57  49.3±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol and aqueous extracts</td>
<td>1000 ppm</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>0.0±0.00   0.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1000 ppm-5000 ppm</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>0.0±0.00   0.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the mean of three replicates. Values are given as mean±Standard derivation, ppm indicates parts per million, h-hour.

### Table 2: Larvicidal activity of Acalypha indicoleaf extract against aedesalbopictus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>LC50(LCL-UCL) 24h</th>
<th>LC90(LCL-UCL) 48h</th>
<th>LC50(LCL-UCL) 48h</th>
<th>LC90(LCL-UCL) 48h</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>2350.3±3.4</td>
<td>2825.14</td>
<td>2736.11</td>
<td>3327.80</td>
<td>Y=2.815+0.055x</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>2275.5±3.8</td>
<td>401.58</td>
<td>2213.36</td>
<td>3430.43</td>
<td>Y=2.087+0.127x</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>4472.1±4.2</td>
<td>2582.89</td>
<td>5291.28</td>
<td>3846.08</td>
<td>Y=5.285+0.017x</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-butanol</td>
<td>3781.5±4.8</td>
<td>3628.19</td>
<td>2256.61</td>
<td>2518.86</td>
<td>Y=3.496+0.066x</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCL-lower confidence level, UCL-upper confidence level, h-hours, P-Significant, LC50 lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, LC90 lethal concentration that kills 90% of the exposed larvae.

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, effect of *A. indica* leaf extract on *Ae. albopictus* third instar larvae were compared in terms of relative potential with control. As the result indicated that *A. indica* extract with petroleum ether, chloroform, n-Butanol, ethylacetate, ethanol and aqeous, applied in different concentrations have a different larvicidal effect against third instar *Ae. albopictus* larvae under laboratory condition. The 3rd instar larva showed restless movement for short time and then settled at the bottom of the disposable cup and slowly dead.

In the laboratory after 24 h exposure, the n-butanol and chloroform extracts obtained from the leaf of *A. indica* at 5000 ppm have shown higher percentage mortality while the petroleum ether extract and ethyl acetate extract of *A. indica* had moderate percentage mortality.

The mean percentage mortality of 3rd instar larvae on treatment with n-butanol, chloroform extract at 5000 ppm were 100% respectively (40 h). While petroleum ether extract with the sample concentration showed 100% (24 h and 48 h). Where as ethylacetate extract of *A. indicashowed 60% (24 h) and 100% (48 h). The
percentage larval mortality of 3rd instar larvae of Ae. albopictus increased as time of exposure increased. Ethanol and aqueous extract of A. indica at 1000 ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 4000 ppm and 5000 ppm showed no mortality after 24 h and 48 h exposure under laboratory condition. Alwala et al. [29] reported that the methanolic extracts of Mangifera indica exhibited no toxicity while the other extract (aqueous and acetone extract) showed toxicity against A. aegypti, dengue vector. The result reveals that the toxic activity may be due to change in the selection of the solvent [30]. Similarly, Adebayo et al., [31] examined the bioactivity of methanolic extracts of Mangifera indica leaf against A. aegypti and found to be more effective against 4th instar larvae.

From previous report it was found that hexane and chloroform extract from bark of A. squamosa showed 84 and 100% mortality against 4th instar Ae. stephensi after 24 h exposure. Kumaraj et al., [32-33] reported the variation in toxicity against the larval instar may be due to presence of phytochemical compound which varied with plant part, solvent used and the extract concentration.

According to Abbas et al., [34] the larvicidal activity of Tagetes minuta was possible due to the effective components like terpenoids, aglycon, flavanoids and saponin. Similarly, this study showed that the larvicidal effect of A. indica was possibly because of alkaloids, flavanoids, Tannin and phenolic compounds, steroids, saponin and terpenoids. Also Marcard et al., [35] reported that the larvicidal mortality was positively correlated with concentration and duration of exposure. Similarly in this study, has the concentration of extract increases from 1000 ppm to 5000 ppm, the percentage mortality also increases almost five fold, from initial concentration to final concentration. Several investigators also confirmed positive relationship between larval mortality and time factor [36-39]. As the time of exposure increases, the percentage larval mortality of 3rd instar larve of Ae. albopictus were also found to be increases. The mortality of petroleum ether and chloroform extract of A. indica at 4000 ppm was 100% after 48 h exposure. While mortality of n-butanol extract at 3000 ppm was 100% after 48 h exposure. Whereas ethylacetate extract showed 100% mortality at 5000 ppm after 48 h exposure under laboratory condition.

This result also illustrated that all different active chemical compound in the leaf part of A. indica was responsible for diverse activity against Ae. albopictus. Singh and Prakash, [40] reported that larvicidal activity was observed against A. stephensi when six different concentration were used [5,10,20,30,40 and 50 mg/l]. Similarly in the present study six different concentration were used (1000 ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 4000 ppm and 5000 ppm) against Ae. albopictus.

In the present study it can be pointed out that leaf of A. indica excerted larvicidal properties. LC50 ranged from 2777.88 ppm-4472.14 ppm when using n-butanol, chloroform and ethyl acetate as solvent within the 24 h exposure. A range of LC50 values between 2225.61 ppm-2469.61 ppm within 48 h exposure period. Sakthivadivel and Thilagavathy, Tang et al., [41,42] has also observed LC50 range value between 30.47 mg/ml and 13.58 mg/ml when using petroleum ether extract at 24 h from seeds of A. meccana. However our present investigation observed a low LC50 value (LC50 ranged from 2376.11 ppm(24 h)-2805.43 ppm(24 h)) when using petroleum ether as solvent from leaf of A. indica. Similar a low LC50 value(20 mg/ml-50 mg/ml) were observed by when using hexane extract from seeds of A. mexicana and stem bark of P. pennisetum at 48 h exposure respectively. Bilal et al., [43] successful, tested the larvicidal activity of selected plant extracts against A. albopictus. All the extracts showed the moderate activity with a lowest LC50 value at the dose of 3637.377.5 and 403.5 mg/l respectively, for 24 h and the value get reduced to 263.95, 300.8 and 342.2 mg/l after 48 h respectively. As similar to previous research, our report also documented a LC50 value of 2276.5, 2777.88, 2805.43 and 4472.14 for 24 h exposure and the value decreased to 2225.61, 2213.63, 2376.11 and 2469.61 ppm for 48 h exposure. Among the tested plant extract n-butanol, chloroform, ethylacetate, and petroleum ether of A. indica (leaf) demonstrated remarkable larvicidal activity Ae. albopictus. Thus plant extract provide the bases to act as alternative to synthetic insecticide in control programme of mosquito. To avoid the detrimental effects caused by chemical agents against mosquito vector, natural and nontoxic bioactive compound from plant origin can be used as an alternative control agent toward mosquito vectors [44]. Hereby, this study finally proposed a new alternative floral biopesticide in the mosquito management rather than using conventional chemical control. Chemical control is more expensive than the biological control (plant origin) and is also more effective and target specific [45] than conventional chemical control.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study clearly reveals that A. indica leaf extract could be one of the most potential bio larvicidal agent against the vector Ae. albopictus. Therefore the present result also emphasized the need for further research and investigation to isolate and identify the most bioactive compound and there activity against mosquito vector Ae. albopictus.
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