A PROSPECTIVE CLINICAL TRIAL OF AMLODIPINE IN COMPARISON TO PERINDOPRIL
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this research was to perform a prospective clinical trial to compare antihypertensive effects of amloidpine and perindopril in hypertensive patients.

Methods: In our study, we compared antihypertensive effects of well tolerated and commonly used antihypertensive drugs, amloidpine and perindopril. There were 81 hypertensive patients of both sexes of aged between 40 to 80 y were taken from the Department of Medicine of Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College, Sylhet, Bangladesh. Among 81 patients 52 were male (64.2%) and 29 were female (35.8%). The patients were randomly allocated to treatment with either amloidpine 5 mg once a day (n=40) or perindopril 4 mg once a day (n=41), for 30 d. Hypertensive patients with other co-morbidities like diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and renal failure (RF) were excluded from the study. Then, data were collected by using a pre-diagnosed questionnaire. Blood pressure was measured with a standard mercury sphygmomanometer in the supine position. All the data were presented as mean±standard deviation (SD) at 95% confidence interval (CI0.95) and assessed for statistical significance by applying unpaired t-test using Graph pad prism software version 5.0.

Results: Amlodipine and perindopril groups were having almost similar characteristics at the beginning of the study. There was significantly decrease in systolic blood pressure (sBP) throughout the study period in the amlodipine group (p≤ 0.05) but not in the perindopril group. The efficacy of amlodipine over perindopril on systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure (dBP) was significant (p≤ 0.001 for sBP and p≤ 0.05 for dBP).

Conclusion: It may be concluded that the antihypertensive efficacy of amlodipine was superior when compared to perindopril.
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INTRODUCTION

Clinically, hypertension is defined as that level of blood pressure (BP) at which the institution of therapy reduces blood pressure related morbidity and mortality [1]. According to British Hypertension Society (BHS) blood pressure is categorized by BP<120/80 mmHg is optimal, 130-139/85 mmHg is normal, 140-149/90-99 mmHg, grade-1 hypertension (mild) is150-159/100-109 mmHg, grade-2 (moderate) is 160-179/100-109 mmHg, grade-3 (severe) is systolic ≥180 and diastolic≥110 mmHg [2]. Antihypertensive drugs are being used tremendously since long ago. In Bangladesh, the prevalence of hypertension was 14.4% with systolic blood pressure (sBP) ≥140 mmHg and 9.1% with diastolic blood pressure (dBP) ≥90 mmHg. Studies from India and Bangladesh have shown an upward trend in the prevalence of hypertension [3]. For developing countries it is one of the most vital problems and if left untreated leading serious and life threatening conditions [4]. If the body like heart disease, stroke, end-stage renal failure, and peripheral vascular disease. Antihypertensive treatment can decrease the rate of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [5] as well as also alleviates the progression of chronic kidney disease [6].

In United States, 77% of adults with hypertension used at least one antihypertensive medication in large scale of survey [7]. During last 20 y; several major therapeutic advances have markedly improved the prognosis of patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) [5]. There are 5 classes of drugs used for the treatment of hypertension and prevention of cardiovascular morbidity [5]. Among these drugs, two of them; angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and calcium channel blockers (CCB) were used in this study to demonstrate their beneficial effects in hypertensive patients [8]. Antihypertensive drugs amlodipine and perindopril are widely used due to the low incidence of adverse drug reaction and they are well tolerated. ACE inhibitors decrease the production of angiotensin II, increase bradykinin levels and reduce sympathetic nervous system activity [1]. Blockage of bradykinin breakdown and enhancement of prostaglandin release may probably participate in the anti-hypertensive activity of ACE-inhibitors [9]. Perindopril is long acting member of ACE inhibitors. This is a prodrug and is converted to the active agent by hydrolysis, primarily in the liver [1].

Calcium channel blockers block voltage-gated calcium channels in the heart and vasculature [10]. They reduce vascular resistance, which reduces intracerebral calcium and blunts vasocstriction [1] due to long lasting relaxation of smooth muscle and a reduction in contractility throughout the heart. On heart, it also decreases SA node rate and AV nodal conduction velocity [11]. Only about one third of all hypertensive patients receiving therapy, however, have reached their goal blood pressure (<120/80 mmHg). By controlling BP can reduce the risk of developing myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke and renal disease in hypertensive patients [12].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A double-blinded, randomized, parallel-group study was conducted in the Department of Pharmacology, Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College, Bangladesh for 30 d. Eighty-one (81) diagnosed hypertensive patients of both sexes of aged between 40 to 80 y were taken from the Department of Medicine of Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College Hospital, Bangladesh.

Permission of research was taken from ethical committee of Sylhet Osmani Medical College. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before starting the trial. Among 81 patients 52 were male (64.2%) and 29 were female (35.8%). The patients were randomly allocated to treatment with either amlodipine 5 mg once a day (n=40) or perindopril 4 mg once a day (n=41), for 30 d. Hypertensive patients with other co-morbidities like diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and renal failure (RF) were excluded from the study. Then, data were collected by using a pre-diagnosed questionnaire. Blood pressure was measured with a standard mercury sphygmomanometer in the supine position in the morning and safety assessments were made throughout the study period.

Statistical analysis

All the data were presented as mean±standard deviation (SD) at 95% confidence interval (CI0.95). Statistical analysis was done by applying unpaired t-test using Graph pad prism software version 5.0.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics were almost similar in both study drug groups shown in table 1. In amloidpine study group, total subjects were 40 (male and female ratio was 22/18) whereas, in perindopril study group total subjects were 41 (male/female ratio was 30/11). At the beginning of the study lying sBP in both amloidpine and perindopril groups were 146.13±12.88 mmHg and 149.27±12.97 mmHg respectively and lying dBP were 90.50±6.28 mmHg and 89.76±5.36 mmHg respectively. The sBP and dBP changes within same study groups are depicted in (fig 1). There was significant decrease of sBP in amloidpine treated group after 30 d, but no significant reduction of dBP. The sBP and dBP in perindopril treated group were not reduced and tentative to increase (fig 2). The comparative antihypertensive efficacy of amloidpine and perindopril (fig 3). There were significant differences of both sBP (amlodipine 141.58±12.52 mmHg, perindopril 152.93±16.92 mmHg) (p≤ 0.001) and dBP (amlodipine 89.38±7.44 mmHg, perindopril 92.76±7.96 mmHg) (p≤0.05) after 30 d. There was obviously seen that amloidpine decreasesBP more effectively (p≤ 0.001) than dBP.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the two studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study parameters</th>
<th>Amlodipine mean±SD*</th>
<th>Perindopril mean±SD*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>54.10±9.49</td>
<td>55.56±10.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>64.93±6.39</td>
<td>68.32±4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lying systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>146.13±12.88</td>
<td>149.27±12.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lying Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>90.50±6.28</td>
<td>89.76±5.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of subjects selected for study n= 81 (amlodipine N=40, perindopril N=41). a= mean±Standard deviation (SD)

DISCUSSION

Hypertension is an important worldwide public health challenge [13] which is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality, stroke and renal disease. So, controlling of blood pressure is essential for the prevention of high BP related morbidity on a long-term basis [14-16]. Although lifestyle modification can improve for managing hypertension, antihypertensive drug therapy is still needed for most hypertensive patients to control BP within normal limit [7]. There are many drugs for initial treatment of essential hypertension. Considering side effects, drug interactions and co-morbid conditions, drugs like thiazide diuretics, ACE inhibitors, Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs), CCBs and β-blockers are used as first line drugs for the treatment of hypertension. Trials compared thiazide diuretics, ACE inhibitors, ARBs and CCBs did not show consistent differences in outcome, efficacy, side effects or quality of life. β-blockers which are previously used as a first line therapy, have a weaker evidence base. Combination drug therapy has more advantageous than single drug therapy because of lesser side effects. In a study, it was evidenced that perindopril protected cardiovascular (CV) events of hypertensive patients in combination with other antihypertensive drugs. When perindopril was combined with either amloidpine or indapamide, maximal reduction of BP resulted in decreased CV deleterious effects [16]. CCB and ACE inhibitors might be the suitable combination in treating hypertension when ACE inhibitors monotherapy is not sufficient to control BP [17]. Though, initially single drug with lower initial doses are better tolerated, cost effective and have better compliance.

In our study, two commonly used drugs were compared on 81 hypertensive patients. Amloidpine was given to 40 patients, 5 mg/day and perindopril to 41 patients, 4 mg/day. Amloidpine reduced both sBP and dBP from baseline. Amloidpine in compare with perindopril significantly reduced sBP (p ≤ 0.001) after one month but both drugs were well tolerated. Most frequent adverse effect of amloidpine was leg oedema (10.2%) and perindopril was coughing (12.5%). The result was comparable with some similar studies [18-19]. Zannad, Bernaud and Fay (1999) assessed the efficacy of amloidpine and perindopril [18] by Trough: Peak ratio from 24h ambulatory BP recordings, before and after active treatment period (60 d). Both treatment groups produced comparable reduction in clinical sBP and dBP between ‘0’ and ‘60’ days. Both sBP and dBP were lowered in amloidpine treated patients than perindopril 48 h after last dose. Most frequent adverse effect was leg oedema in amloidpine (19.1%) and coughing in perindopril (14.3%). After omitting one dose, a condition imitating noncompliance, BP was more effectively controlled by amloidpine than perindopril.

Eguchi et al., (2004) compared Valsartan (an ARB) and amloidpine (CCB). In that study both drugs significantly reduced sBP and dBP (p<0.002) [19]. Antihypertensive effect of amloidpine was superior
to valsartan. Morning sBP was significantly reduced by amlodipine from 156 to 142 mmHg (p<0.001) but not by valsartan. Both agent reduced lowest night sBP to a similar extent (amlodipine 121 to 112 mmHg, p<0.001; valsartan 123 to 114 mmHg, p<0.002). Reduction of morning sBP surge (morning sBP minus lowest night sBP) was significantly greater in patients treated with amlodipine compared those treated with valsartan (-6.1 mmHg vs.-4.5 mmHg, p<0.02). Amlodipine monotherapy was more effective than valsartan monotherapy in controlling 24 h ambulatory BP and morning BP in hypertensive patients.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study showed that amlodipine has better efficacy than perindopril as initial monotherapy. It was suggested that amlodipine is the drug of choice in controlling BP in mild to moderate hypertension when compared with perindopril. Further studies are required comparing other antihypertensive drugs and with increased sample size to identify the risks and benefits of amlodipine to prove its efficacy compared to other antihypertensive drugs as monotherapy in mild to moderate hypertension.

Study limitation

In our study the number of patients was limited (81) and the study duration was only one month.
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