

Print ISSN: 2656-0097 | Online ISSN: 0975-1491

Vol 12, Issue 5, 2020

**Original Article** 

# A NEW VALIDATED THIRD ORDER DERIVATIVE SPECTROSCOPIC METHOD FOR SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF METOPROLOL SUCCINATE AND RAMIPRIL IN TABLET DOSAGE FORM

### ALEKHYA B.<sup>1</sup>, M. SINDHUSHA<sup>1</sup>, SORAJ K. RAUL<sup>1</sup>, GOPAL K. PADHY<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Quality Assurance, Maharajah's College of Pharmacy, Phool Baugh, Vizianagaram 535002, Andhra Pradesh, India, <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Odisha, India Email: gopalmedchem@gmail.com

### Received: 28 Nov 2019, Revised and Accepted: 24 Mar 2020

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The objective of the present work is to develop and validate a new UV derivative spectrophotometric method for simultaneous estimation of metoprolol succinate and ramipril in methanol: water (50:50v/v).

**Methods:** "Zero crossing technique" was chosen for quantitative determination. The zero-crossing points (ZCP's) were found to be 209 nm where metoprolol succinate was quantified and 211 nm where ramipril was quantified. This method was then subjected to accuracy, linearity, sensitivity and reproducibility according to ICH guidelines to ensure and confirm its validity.

**Results:** The method was found to be obeying Beer's law in the range of  $10-50 \ \mu g/ml$  and  $5-25 \ \mu g/ml$  for metoprolol succinate and ramipril, respectively. The % recoveries were observed between the range of 99.2-100.2 for metoprolol succinate and 99.57-99.86 for ramipril. The intra-day and inter-day results showed reproducibility.

**Conclusion:** It can be concluded that the developed third-order UV derivative spectroscopic method for the simultaneous determination of metoprolol succinate and ramiprilcan be recommended for routine quantitative analysis.

Keywords: Third order, UV derivative spectroscopy, Metoprolol succinate, Ramipril, Validation, Zero crossing technique

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijpps.2020v12i5.36413. Journal homepage: https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijpps

### INTRODUCTION

Metoprolol succinate is chemically known as 1-[4-(2-methoxyethyl) phenoxy]-3-(propan-2-ylamino) propan-2-olbutanedioate (fig. 1). It competes with adrenergic neurotransmitters such as catecholamines for binding at  $\beta_1$ -adrenergic receptors in the heart that result in a decrease in heart rate, blood pressure and cardiac output [1, 2]. Ramipril as shown in (fig. 2) is chemically (2S,3aS,6aS)-1-[(2S)-2-[[(2S)-1-ethoxy-1-oxo-4-phenylbutan-2-yl]amino] propanoyl]-3,3a,4,5,6,6a-hexahydro-2H-cyclopenta[b]pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid, acts by inhibiting angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), thereby lowers the production of angiotensin II and also decreases the breakdown of bradykinin. The decrease in angiotensin II results in lowering total peripheral resistance, widening the blood vessels and hence decreases the blood pressure [3]. Commercial brands of metoprolol succinate and ramipril are available and have been prescribed to the patients who are suffering from myocardial infarction, nephropathy, angina and congestive heart failure [4].

Literature survey revealed that metoprolol succinate and ramipril can be estimated either individually or combinedly by different methods like HPLC [5-7], LC [8], RP-UPLC [9], UV-Spectroscopy [10, 11]. However, simultaneous estimation of these drugs by derivative spectrophotometric method was not reported till date. Hence a third order derivative spectrophotometric method was developed for the simultaneous estimation of these drugs for the first time. The developed UV derivative method was validated according to the ICH guidelines.



Fig.1: Structure of metoprolol succinate



Fig. 2: Structure of ramipril

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Instrument

A double beam Agilent Cary UV spectrophotometer with Cary WinUV software (version 5.0.0.999) having a wavelength range of 190-1100 nm and 1 cm quartz cell was used for all the spectral studies. A calibrated Shimadzu BL 220H weighing balance was used for accurate weighing of the chemicals. The statistical calculations were done by using Microsoft excel 2010 version.

### **Chemicals and reagents**

Analytically pure metoprolol succinate and ramipril from Yarrow chemicals, Mumbai were used. PROLOMET R 50 tablets (in the dose of 50 mg metoprolol succinate and 5 mg ramipril) manufactured by Sun Pharma were procured and used for the investigation.

#### Preparation of metoprolol succinate standard stock solution

25 mg of metoprolol succinate was accurately weighed and transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask and made up with methanol and water in the ratio of 50:50 v/v to get 1000  $\mu$ g/ml solution. From the above stock solution different working standards in the range of 10-50  $\mu$ g/ml were prepared.

### Preparation of ramipril standard stock solution

25 mg of ramipril was accurately weighed and transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask and made up with methanol and water in the ratio of 50:50 v/v to get 1000  $\mu$ g/ml solution. From the above stock solution different working standards in the range of 5-25  $\mu$ g/ml were prepared.

#### Method development

For quantitative estimation, UV derivative spectroscopic method using zero crossing technique was chosen [12]. The working standard solutions containing 30  $\mu$ g/ml of metoprolol succinate and 15  $\mu$ g/ml of ramipril were scanned in the wavelength range of 200-

400 nm using methanol and water solvent (50:50 v/v) as reference in derivative mode at bandwidth of 2 nm and a scan speed of 400 nm/min. It showed wavelength maxima at 215 nm for metoprolol succinate (fig. 3) and 210 nm for ramipril (fig. 4). The isobestic point was found to be 216 nm as shown in (fig. 5). The obtained zero order spectra were converted to first order (fig. 6), second order (fig. 7) and third order spectrum (fig. 8).

#### Method validation

The developed method was validated in terms of linearity, accuracy, intra-day and inter-day precision studies, detection limit and quantification limit according to ICH guidelines [13, 14].



Fig. 5: Zero order spectrum of metoprolol succinate and ramipril



Fig. 6: First order spectrum of metoprolol succinate and ramipril



Fig. 7: Second order spectrum of metoprolol succinate and ramipril



Fig. 8: Third order spectrum of metoprolol succinate and ramipril

### Linearity

For the third order derivative method, 10-50  $\mu$ g/ml of metoprolol succinate and 5-25  $\mu$ g/ml of ramipril were scanned at the working wavelengths of 209 nm and 211 nm for metoprolol succinate and ramipril respectively. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting concentration against the absorbance values and correlation coefficients were calculated from the regression line equations.

### Accuracy

To check the accuracy of the developed method, recovery studies were carried out by applying standard addition method. A known amount of standard metoprolol succinate and ramipril corresponding to 80, 100 and 120% of the label claim was added to pre-analyzed sample of the tablet. The recovery studies were carried out at each level three times and the average amount was calculated.

### Precision

The precision of the developed method was carried out by intermediate precision. The intraday and interday precisions of the third order derivative method were determined by analyzing responses in triplicate on the same day and on 3 different days over a period of 1 w of metoprolol succinate (50  $\mu$ g/ml) and ramipril (5  $\mu$ g/ml).

#### Sensitivity

The LOD and LOQ values were calculated from the linearity data using Microsoft excel 542010 version. Standard deviation of the analytical response and the slope of the calibration curve method was followed according to the equations, LOD =  $3.3\sigma/S$  and LOQ =  $10\sigma/S$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the sample and S is the slope.

### Assay of commercial brand

Twenty tablets of PROLOMET R 50 were taken, weighed and finely powdered. The weight equivalent to the labelled claim (55 mg) was taken, 20 ml of solvent (methanol and water 50:50v/v) was added in a 100 ml volumetric flask and sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min. This solution was then made upto 100 ml using the same solvent, filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and this filtrate was used to prepare sample solution (55 µg/ml). The mixture solution was scanned at the wavelengths of metoprolol succinate (209 nm) and ramipril (211 nm) using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer. These absorbance values were then substituted in the regression equations to calculate the concentration.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Few chromatographic methods have been mentioned in the literature to date for the simultaneous estimation of metoprolol succinate and ramipril in their binary mixtures [6, 8, 15]. Also one spectrophotometric method, based on simultaneous equation has been reported for combination of metoprolol succinate, atorvastatin calcium and ramipril [16]. However, no spectrophotometric method has been reported for metoprolol succinate and ramipril in their fixed dose comination.

### Third order derivative method

From the zero order overlain UV spectra of Metoprolol succinate (30  $\mu$ g/ml) and ramipril (15  $\mu$ g/ml), it was found that the spectra are overlapping each other, exhibiting the complexity in measuring these drugs by direct UV absorption method in a binary mixture. The UV derivative method has advantage that it removes the spectral interference from one of the two drugs while estimating the other drug at zero crossing point. The first order spectra did not show any zero crossing points (ZCP), while the second order spectra was not informative enough to carry out further experimentation. Hence in the present investigation, third order derivative spectrum showed zero crossing points (ZCP) at 211 nm for metoprolol succinate and 209 nm for ramipril. Hence working wave lengths of 209 nm for metoprolol succinate and 211 nm for ramipril were selected.

#### Table 1: Linearity characters of the developed method

Statistical parameters	Metoprolol succinate at 209 nm	Ramipril at 211 nm
Linearity (n=5)	10-50 μg/ml	5-25 μg/ml
Correlation coefficient (r <sup>2</sup> )	0.998	0.997
Regression equationy=mx+c	y = 0.021x + 0.016	y = 0.063x + 0.769
Slope (m)	0.021	0.063
Intercept (c)	0.016	0.769



Fig. 9: Calibration curve of metoprolol succinate



Fig. 10: Calibration curve of ramipril

Table 2: Accuracy of the developed method
---

Spiked level	Amount of sample taken (mg)		Amount of pure drug added (mg)		Amount recovered (mean±SDª)		% Recovery±SD <sup>a</sup>	
	Metoprolol	Ramipril	Metoprolol	Ramipril	Metoprolol	Ramipril	Metoprolol	Ramipril
	succinate		succinate		succinate		succinate	
80%	10	5	8	4	17.88±0.01	8.96±0.01	99.32±0.05	99.57±0.17
100%			10	5	20.1±0.01	9.94±0.02	100.53±0.07	99.4±0.26
120%			12	6	21.82±0.02	10.98±0.03	99.19±0.11	99.81±0.27

<sup>a</sup>SD: Standard deviation (n = 3)

### Table 3: Precision data of the developed method

Parameter	Metoprolol succinate (50 µg/ml)			Ramipril	Ramipril (5 µg/ml)			
	n=3	mean±SD <sup>a</sup>	% RSD <sup>b</sup>	n=3	mean±SD <sup>a</sup>	% RSD <sup>b</sup>		
Intra-day	1.1152	$1.1142 \pm 0.07$	0.1008	1.058	1.0623±0.08	0.424		
	1.1130			1.067				
	1.1145			1.062				
Inter-day	1.1139	1.1139±0.09	0.02693	1.062	1.0706±0.06	0.754		
	1.1142			1.078				
	1.1136			1.072				

<sup>a</sup>SD: Standard deviation; <sup>b</sup>% Relative standard deviation (R. SD) = (standard deviation/mean)×100; (n = 3)

#### Table 4: LOD and LOQ results of the developed method

Statistical parameter	Metoprolol succinate (µg/ml)	Ramipril (µg/ml)
LOD	3.18	1.56
LOQ	9.62	4.68

#### Table 5: Assay of commercial brand

Marketed formulation	Metoprolol succinate		Ramipril			
PROLOMET	Labeled claim (mg)	Amount recovered	% Recovery	Labeled claim	Amount recovered	% Recovery
R 50		(mg)		(mg)	(mg)	
(Sun Pharma)	50	50.8	101.6%	5	4.94	98.8%

#### Validity of the method

The linearity was found in the range of 10-50  $\mu$ g/ml and 5-25  $\mu$ g/ml for metoprolol succinate and ramipril. The calibration curves were shown in (fig. 9 and fig. 10) and the correlation coefficient (r<sup>2</sup>) value was found to be 0.998 and 0.997, respectively. The r<sup>2</sup>values for both the drugs were found to be less than or equal to 1. Hence the developed method was found to be linear. The % recoveries were found to be 99.2%, 100.02% and 99.18% for metoprolol succinate and 99.5%, 99.4% and 99.81% for ramipril respectively. As the %recovery values were found to be between 98%-102%, the developed method can be considered to be accurate. The intraday % RSD was found to be 0.1008 for metoprolol succinate and 0.424 for ramipril. The inter-day % RSD was found to be 0.02693 for metoprolol succinate and 0.754 for ramipril. As the % RSD values were found to be 2%, the developed method can be considered to be precise. Detection limit was found to be 3.18 µg/ml for metoprolol succinate and 1.56 µg/ml for ramipril. Quantification limit was found to be 9.62  $\mu g/ml$  for metoprolol succinate and 4.68  $\mu g/ml$  for ramipril. The assay of the commercial brand was carried out and the recovery was found to 101.6% for metoprolol succinate and 98.8% for ramipril. The results of the validation are summarized in table 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

### CONCLUSION

A simple, precise, economical and sensitive UV derivative spectroscopic method was developed for the simultaneous estimation of metoprolol succinate and ramipril. The developed method was validated according to the ICH guidelines and was applied to the pharmaceutical dosage form. The result of the assay obtained was found to be within the acceptable limits. Hence, the developed method was said to be effective for routine analysis of metoprolol succinate and ramipril in tablet dosage form.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. P. Udaya Shankar, Principal Maharajah's College of Pharmacy, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh, for providing the necessary infrastructure and facility to carry out the research work.

## **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors have equal contribution

### FUNDING

Nil

### **CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS**

Declared none

#### REFERENCES

- Zhou MJ, Song L, Chaogang WU, Anping L, Haitao G, Guoqing Z. A new polymorphic form of metoprolol succinate. Pharm De Technol 2017;22:58-62.
- Ripley TL, Joseph JS. β-blockers: a review of their pharmacological and physiological diversity in hypertension. Ann Pharmacother 2014;48:723-33.
- 3. Todd PA, Benfield P. Ramipril. Drugs 1990;39:110-35.
- 4. Theres HP, Wagner KD, Romberg D, Feig C, Strube S, Leiterer KP, *et al.* Combined treatment with ramipril and metoprolol prevents changes in the creatine kinase isoenzyme system and improves hemodynamic function in rat hearts after myocardial infarction. Cardiovasc Drug Ther 2000;14:597-606.
- 5. Varaprasad C, Ramakrishna K. Gradient RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of metoprolol, ramipril and atorvastatin in tablet dosage form. Rasayan J Chem 2015;8:404-10.

- 6. Krishna KP, Prabhu PP, Chethan SH. Development and validation of new analytical methods for simultaneous estimation of ramipril and metoprolol succinate by HPLC method in combined tablet dosage form. Int J Pharm Chem Res 2017;3:746-55.
- Phale MD, Hamrapurkar PD. A validated and simplified RP-HPLC of metoprolol succinate from bulk drugs. Asian J Res Chem 2009;2:119-22.
- 8. Mohammad Y, Gowri SD. A new validated stability-indicating LC method for simultaneous determination of metoprolol succinate and ramipril in pharmaceutical marketed formulation. Der Pharm Lett 2015;7:82-92.
- Raja KS, Makarand MD, Veera RT, Deepa K, Venugopala RD, Ivon EC. Simultaneous quantitative determination of metoprolol, atorvastatin and ramipril in capsules by a validated stabilityindicating RP-UPLC method. Sci Pharm 2010;78:821-34.
- 10. Moreshwar KN, Rajeshwar KV, Dinesh SM. Development and validation of a spectrophotometric method for determination of metoprolol succinate. Int J ChemTech Res 2009;1:1273-7.
- 11. Al-Majed AA, Belal F, Al-Warthan AA. Spectrophotometric determination of ramipril (a novel ACE inhibitor) in dosage forms. Spectrosc Lett 2001;34:211-20.

- 12. Patel HB, Patel BA, Parmar SJ. Development and validation of second order derivative spectrophotometric method for simultaneous estimation of atenolol and nifedipine in combined dosage form. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2013;4:3884-8.
- 13. Gadiya H, Maheshwari M, Dashora A. UV-analytical method development and validation for simultaneous estimation of dapoxetine hydrochloride and sildenafil citrate in tablet dosage form. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 2019;12:328-31.
- 14. ICH guideline, Q2 (R1). Validation of analytical procedures: Text and methodology, international conference on harmonization IFPMA, Geneva, Switzerland; 2005.
- 15. Sethy K, Rao JR, Rajeswari KR, Nagoji KEV. Validated HPTLC method for simultaneous estimation of metoprolol succinate and ramipril in bulk drug and marketed formulation. Bull Pure Appl Sci Chem 2019;38:150-7.
- 16. Sawant RL, Raskar MA, Sawant MR, Ahmed R, Pawar S. Simultaneous spectrophotometric estimation of metoprolol succinate, atorvastatin calcium and ramipril in the tablet dosage form. Anal Chem Lett 2012;2:320-6.