ABSTRACT
Parkinson’s disease cases have been on the rise in the recent years, which prompted several different researches into the disorder. However, there hasn’t much research been done in the non-motor aspects of the disease. This study aims to improve the understanding of one of the non-motor symptoms of Parkinson’s disease. Specifically, this research seeks to further understand cerebral autoregulation in patients with Parkinson’s disease. In order to achieve this aim, 25 subjects were recruited, with 11 healthy controls and 14 patients with Parkinson’s disease. The continuous blood pressure and continuous cerebral blood flow velocity of all subjects were recorded and processed while the subjects were at rest, tilt-up, and during hyperventilation. Linear signal and system analysis techniques were applied such as the power spectral density analysis and cross-correlation function analysis. Results showed that patients with Parkinson’s disease did not show a significant difference from the control group while at rest and after tilt-up. However, there was a significant difference between the groups during hyperventilation. The results obtained in this study suggested that the metabolic regulatory pathway for cerebral autoregulation is impaired in patients with Parkinson’s disease.

INTRODUCTION
Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder which is generally known to affect movement. It was first described by James Parkinson in 1817 as the shaking palsy. As medical advancements promoted life expectancy, the incidence rate of PD has also increased. In recent years, PD was not found only to affect the elderly, but young people as well, most notably the actor Michael J. Fox. With PD becoming more and more prevalent, more and more research has been poured into understanding the mechanisms of how PD works, as well as the motor symptoms of PD. However, it wasn’t until recently that non-motor symptoms of PD became an interest to researchers [1]. Since PD is a neurodegenerative disease to the central nervous system, it is also of interest for researchers to investigate the autonomic nervous system (ANS) of PD patients. The investigation of ANS in PD patients have shown that ANS should be affected by PD as well [2]. It has also been shown that orthostatic hypotension (OH) is present in patients with PD [3]. As it has been shown that OH is found in PD patients, it is then also important to ask whether or not cerebral autoregulation (CA) is impaired in PD. This is because in cases of OH, it has been found that CA is not as effective, and this could prove to be dangerous for patients with PD [4].

In a recent study, it was found that there may be impairment of CA in PD since the recovery peak to baseline difference for cerebral blood flow velocity was lower than control subjects [5]. Also, in a recent Ph. D. dissertation, the topic came up again and concluded that CA may not necessarily be impaired in PD patients, but it is altered [6]. Since the information in this subject is lacking, this research is dedicated to investigate further into CA and PD.

One interesting thing to consider when investigating CA is the fact that CA is affected largely by the concentration of carbon dioxide found in the body [7]. During hypercapnia, CA responds slower, and consequently, during hypocapnia, CA responds faster. In recent studies, the relationship between CA and carbon dioxide has been lightly touched upon [8, 9]. This study aims further to investigate the relationship between CA and carbon dioxide in PD patients using techniques that had proven to work in quantifying CA with healthy controls as well as patients with diabetic autonomic neuropathy [10-12].

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Patients with PD as well as age and gender-matched healthy controls were recruited for the purposes of this research, with permission granted by the investigation review board of Taichung Cheng-Ching General Hospital, Taiwan. A total of 25 subjects were recruited for this study, including 11 healthy controls involving 8 males and 3 females with an average age of 56.5±8.6 and 14 patients with PD including 8 males and 6 females with an average age of 58.3±12.5. Patients were assessed by the clinical doctors using the Unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale and were assessed to be not affected by or receiving treatment for any other disease or disorders. The subjects have also been instructed not to consume any drugs, alcohol, or caffeine three hours prior to the testing.

The signals required by this study are continuous blood pressure signals and continuous cerebral blood flow velocity signals. The signals were acquired at Taichung Cheng-Ching General Hospital Autonomic Nervous System Testing Laboratory, using a Finapres (Ohmeda 2300 Finapres device; Finapres, Eaglewood, CO) device for the acquisition of continuous blood pressure signal by attaching the cuff on the right middle finger, and using a transcranial Doppler ultrasound (transcranial Doppler ultrasound, EME TCS2020) for the acquisition of continuous cerebral blood flow velocity signal by using the transcranial Doppler ultrasound with a 5 MHz transducer attached to an elastic headband fixed over the temporal bones. A custom program written in LabVIEW® was used to record and digitize both signals and store into a personal computer.

Experimental protocol
The composite autonomic scoring scale experiment was used as the basis of the experimental design [13]. The subjects were instructed to rest for 10 min in the supine position (rest) while having their continuous blood pressure and continuous cerebral blood flow velocity recorded, then the subjects are passively tilted to 70 degrees using a tilting table, and the signals are recorded for a further 10 min (tilt), then the subjects were allowed to return to the supine position for a 10-minute break before undergoing 3 min of hyperventilation (HV).
Pre-processing
Both peaks and valleys of the continuous blood pressure and continuous cerebral blood flow velocity signals were detected, and the mean of each waveform between the valleys were calculated in order to transform the signals into mean blood pressure (MBP) and mean cerebral blood flow velocity (MCBFV) of each heartbeat [10].

Power spectral density
For both the MBP and MCBFV signals, the power spectral density (PSD) is calculated in order to see the power distribution throughout the different frequency bands, which should reveal some information regarding the autonomic nervous system, based on the technique from heart rate variability. The mean is subtracted through an utterance-based cepstral mean subtraction in order to determine the mean removed signal \( \hat{x}(n) \). This is shown in (1) below.

\[
\hat{x}(n) = x(n) - \mu \\
\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n
\]

Where \( \mu \) is the mean of the signal, determined through (2) above. The signal is transformed to the frequency domain through the use of Fast Fourier Transform, shown in (3) below, and the signal is then grouped into three ranges: the very low frequency (VLF) range which consisted of 0.04 Hz to 0.15 Hz, and the high frequency (HF) range which consisted of 0.15 Hz to 0.4 Hz.

\[
\hat{X}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{x}(n) e^{-j2\pi kn/N} \\
S_x(k) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \hat{X}(k) \right|^2
\]

Cross-correlation function
For cross-correlation function (CCF), the signals are first bandpass filtered into three frequency ranges, the VLF range which consisted of 0 Hz to 0.07 Hz, the LF range which consisted of 0.07 Hz to 0.15 Hz, and the HF range, which is the same as the HF range for when calculating PSD. In this study, a third-order digital band pass filter was applied to both the MBP and MCBFV signals, with the pass band ripple error limited to 0.1 dB. Let the pass band filtered signals of MBP and MCBFV be \( \hat{f}(n) \) and \( \hat{g}(n) \) respectively, then the CCF can be calculated as shown in (5).

\[
CCF(k) = \frac{R_{fg}(k)}{\left| R_f(0) R_g(0) \right|} \\
R_{fg}(k) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(j+k) \cdot \hat{g}(j) \right) \\
= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(j) \cdot \hat{g}(j+k) \right)
\]

RESULTS
Mean analysis results
Both the MBP and MCBFV signals were averaged to find the mean of each signal to see whether or not a significant difference existed for when the subjects were at rest, tilt, or hyper. The results are shown in table 1.

From the results shown in table 1, ANOVA showed no significant differences between the subject groups in any of the stimulations or any of the signals.

Power spectral density analysis results
PSD was done for all subjects during each of the different actions. The frequency bands here should reflect the heart rate variability frequency bands, which show the balance in the autonomic nervous system.

Cross-correlation function analysis results
CCF was also done for all subjects during each of the different actions. The mean and standard deviation of the CCGs was found for all time indices; then the highest peak was taken and used to denote the greatest time lag between MBP and MCBP. The MAX CCF value is the value of the highest peak of the mean CCF, and the CCF SD value is the corresponding SD value, and the CCF INDEX is the time lag of the highest peak of the mean CCF.
CONCLUSION
The findings in this study agree with previous studies that CA may be affected for PD patients, and also shows why some previous studies have found the matter to be inconclusive. However, an important fact found in this study was that the impairment can be more clearly seen while the subjects are under hyperventilation, during a hypocapnic condition where CA is improved in the healthy controls, while they are not improved for the PD patients. Since CA is stated to be controlled by three mechanisms (metabolic, myogenic, and neurogenic), it is perhaps the metabolic regulation that is damaged, as the change in the concentration of oxygen and carbon dioxide is the one that seems to be affected, rather than the neurogenic pathway that other autonomic disorders seem to show. More research into CCF and PSD analysis with the different pathways with the one that seems to be affected, rather than the neurogenic pathway that other autonomic disorders seem to show.

DISCUSSION
It is important to note that there were no significant differences that can be seen between the control and PD groups while the subjects are at rest. This means that while lying down in a supine position, a PD patient’s ANS and CA may operate like a healthy person’s. However, during tilt, there is a significant difference between the LF band during the CCF analysis between the PD and controls. Here, the controls showed a higher correlation and a smaller time lag, while the PD patients showed a lower correlation and a higher time lag. While it is still largely unknown what the LF band may tell us, it is still important to note for the future that there is a very significant difference between the groups.

The most important discovery of this study is the significant differences found when the subjects are hyperventilating. This creates a hypocapnic condition, where the healthy controls are meant to have a faster and better CA reaction [7]. From the LF band of the CCF analysis, it can be seen that this is the case for the healthy controls, as the time lag index is much slower during hyperventilation, as well as the max CCF value is lower during hyperventilation. However, it would appear that for PD patients, the CA is not improved as much as controls during hypacapnia, thus giving the impression that CA is impaired, and can be seen during hypocapnic conditions, but not normal conditions.
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