ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was planned to study the anti-obesity activities of solasodine on high fat (HF) diet-induced obese rats.

Methods: Wistar rats were divided into six groups. Control group (Group 1) received normal diet and 0.5% CMC (5 ml/kg). HF control group (Group 2) received HF diet. Group 3 received orlistat (25 mg/kg body weight per oral). Group 4, 5 and 6 received 25, 50 and 100 mg/kg body weight solasodine respectively. Treatment was given for 6 w to the respective group along with HF diet. Body weight, food intake and abdomen circumference was measured every week for 6w. On day 42, the serum biochemical parameters like blood glucose and insulin, serum leptin, total cholesterol and triglyceride were evaluated. Animals were sacrificed with overdose of diethyl ether. The liver and retroperitoneal adipose tissues were removed and weighed immediately.

Results: Treatment with solasodine at dose of 50 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg significantly (p<0.001) reduced body weight, abdomen circumference and retroperitoneal adipose tissue weight as compared to the HF diet control group. Solasodine also significantly reduced serum total cholesterol, triglyceride and glucose level as compared to HF diet control group (****p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05 when compared with normal control. #p<0.05 when compared with high fat control). In addition, it also inhibited the induction of fatty liver with accumulation of hepatic triglyceride.

Conclusion: Solasodine exhibited anti-obesity effect by showing a reduction in body weight, abdomen circumference, total cholesterol level, triglyceride level and glucose level in high-fat diet fed rats.
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INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome, obesity and diabetes mellitus are globally increasing to the epidemic. The global impact of these disorders is immense in terms of human agony and economic burden. Atypical adipose deposition and function along with insulin resistance is important to risk factor for metabolic syndrome [1]. Obesity is one of the important components of the metabolic syndrome. Reduction in weight can enhance sensitivity to insulin and it also reduces increased levels of insulin [2]. Excessive body weight and unhealthy distribution of fat characterises obesity.

Obesity is an imbalance between energy intake and expenditure [3]. It occurs due to excessive calorie intake (HF diet), sedentary lifestyle [4]. Obesity has reached to epidemic proportions globally and is one of the important contributing factors to the global burden of chronic disease and disability. According to data reported by Yun JW and other authors [5, 6], more than one billion adults worldwide are overweight, and at least 300 million of them are clinically obese. Multiple factors contribute to the aetiology of obesity; it includes the sitting lifestyles, white collar jobs, lack of physical exercise and consumption of energy-rich diets. The treatment of obesity is difficult and challenging due to obscure aetiology [7]. Obesity is associated with higher incidence of serious conditions like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiac alteration, lung diseases, cancer and neurological disorders and very important metabolic syndrome.

Anorectic drugs like rimonabant and sibutramine (endo-cannabinoid receptor antagonist) were taken out from the market due to safety concerns. Thus, the demand for the search of novel and safer antiobesity medicines is in always great demand [8, 9]. Orlistat, the commonly used anti-obesity drug is currently available in the market. Side effects like a headache, dry mouth, constipation and insomnia are reported with orlistat. Orlistat is reported to promote weight loss to the tune of 5 to 10%, but weight rebounds when its treatment is discontinued [3]. Newer antiobesity drugs are always in demand. Anti-obesity effect of new drugs can be screened using several animal models. To learn more about human obesity and about anti-obesity drugs, the rodent model of rats and mice are commonly used [10, 11]. The animal model used to screen anti-obesity drug includes HF diet-induced obesity model, sulpiride-induced obesity model and cafeteria diet-induced obesity model in rats [11, 12].

Plants have formed the source of traditional medicine systems. Various studies with herbal medicines (including preclinical screening and clinical study) have been performed and published which has proved the significant improvement in regulating the body weight, without any obvious adverse effects and hence they are used in the management of obesity. Therefore, they have been widely used in treating obesity [5, 8, 13]. Solanum xanthocarpum fruits are known for several traditional uses as medicine, like anthelmintic, laxative, antipyretic, anti-asthmatic, anti-inflammatory activity and enlargement of liver [14-16].

Pharmacological effects like hypoglycaemic [17], hepatoprotective [13, 18] and hypotensive activity of Solanum xanthocarpum was also reported [19]. Previous studies have reported that the major components may be responsible for prevention of obesity [15, 20]. Antioxidant effects of solasodine, an active component of this plant is also reported [21]. There are no pharmacological studies so far reported the antiobesity potential for solasodine (isolated from Solanum xanthocarpum) to date. The promising preliminary results reported with the use of Solanum xanthocarpum in disease components of metabolic syndrome (like hypoglycaemic and antihypertensive) have prompted us to investigate the effect of isolated solasodine in rats with HF diet-induced obesity model, which is an important contributor to the metabolic syndrome.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wistar rats of both sexes weighing between 150-180 g were obtained and kept individually in polypropylene cages in an environmentally controlled room of the animal house and maintained at a temperature of 25±2 °C with a 12 h dark/light cycle. The animals had free access to food and water. Rats were fed with standard rat chow diet or special high fructose diet according to the protocol. Experiments were carried out after a week of acclimatization. The animal studies were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (IAEC/CPD/415/05), constituted for the purpose of control and supervision of experimental animals by Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, New Delhi, India. Animals were naive to drug treatments and experimentation at the beginning of all studies. All tests were conducted between 08:00 and 14:00 h.

Drugs

Dried and matured fruits of Solanum xanthocarpum were collected from Surat, Gujarat (Authentication no.:Authen./03/2014). Solasodine was isolated from dried, ripen fruits of Solanum xanthocarpum Schrad. and Wendel with a method described by Gawande A et al. [22]. All other agents used were of analytical grade. The doses were selected as solasodine (25, 50, 100 mg/kg, per oral) on the basis of previous reports and dose-finding study performed in this work [23].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Normal control group on laboratory pellet chow (calorie value = 3145 kcal/kg) and 0.5 % CMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>HF diet treated control group on HF diet in addition to normal diet for 42 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>HF diet with normal diet + orlistat (25 mg/kg, body weight/day by oral route)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>HF diet with normal diet + solasodine (25 mg/kg, body weight/day by oral route)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>HF diet with normal diet + solasodine (50 mg/kg, body weight/day by oral route)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td>HF diet with normal diet + solasodine (100 mg/kg, body weight/day by oral route)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical signs

After dosing, all animals were observed for tremor, convulsion, aggression, lethargy, abdominal breathing, gumming, licking and sniffing.

Body weight

Body weight (g) of the study animals in each group was recorded on day 1 and then every 6 w.

Food intake

The process of measurement of solid food consumption was done by weighing the different food items deposited in each cage each day, and determining the weights of the food remaining the day after. These needed some corrections, as part of the food was tainted with droppings and urine. The identifiable pieces of food were cleaned and their actual weight was determined. The food intake of each animal was determined daily and the results were expressed as a mean energy intake for each group of rats in kilocalorie per week (kcal/week).

Total energy intake (kcal/week) = Mean food consumption × Calorie from chow

Measurement of abdominal circumference

The abdominal circumference (immediately anterior to the forefoot) was determined in all rats weekly for 6 w.

Biochemical parameters

On day 42, blood was collected by retro-orbital punctures by glass capillary under light anaesthesia. Blood was kept for 30 min for coagulation and then serum was separated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm (revolutions per minute). Changes in blood parameters like levels of glucose, total cholesterol and triglyceride were measured from serum samples using biochemical kits available in the market (End-point otoxadine method for glucose; J. Mitra and Co. Ltd.; One step method of Wybenga and Pillegi for total cholesterol, Span diagnostics; enzymatic method, GPO/Trinder, end point colorimetry for triglycerides, Span diagnostics, Surat, India).

Serum insulin levels [25], serum leptin levels [26], advanced glycosylated end product [27], oxidative stress markers [28, 29], HOMA-IR (homeostatic model assessment and insulin resistance) [30] and AST (aspartate aminotransferase) and ALT (alanine aminotransferase) levels were estimated as per procedure described earlier by respective authors and with help of standard kits available in the market.

Determination of retroperitoneal adipose tissue mass

On day 42, after blood collection by retro-orbital, animals were sacrificed with an overdose of ether. Triangular area of retroperitoneal fat was removed from each side. The triangle was always dissected with the vertex in the inguinal region, the base of the lower pole of the kidney, one side with the mid line and the other sides extending over the lateral retroperitoneal reaches as far as fat was visible. The tissues were washed free of adherent oil droplets with warm, isotonic saline, placed on a tared, butter paper and weighed to provide a measure of wet weight.

Estimation of liver weight

On day 42, animals were sacrificed with an overdose of ether. Livers were quickly removed and weighed using analytical balance.

Liver histopathology

For histopathological studies, a small portion of the liver tissue from all groups of animals was excised immediately after sacrifice. Liver tissues were fixed in 10 % formalin for 24 h at room temperature. Liver tissues were embedded in paraffin and sections were cut 3-5 μm slides and were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Liver tissues were observed under the microscope.

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean (±SEM) (standard error of the mean). The statistical significance of the difference between groups was determined by ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
for the various treatments was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple range test. The probability value p<0.05 was considered statistically significant as compared to control.

RESULTS

Clinical signs

All groups were treated with solasodine for 6 w. immediately after dosing, rats removed husk by forepaw and sat on a husk free space for 30 min. This was observed in all solasodine treated groups. No clinical signs like tremors, convulsions, piloerection were observed.

Effect of solasodine on food intake (calorie intake)

There was a significant (p<0.05) increase in calorie intake per week among the HF diet-fed rats as compared to the normal diet-fed rats. The rats treated with orlistat showed a less significant (p<0.05) decrease in calorie intake per week. But rats treated with solasodine showed a significant effect in food intake (fig. 1).

Effect of solasodine on body weight

Consumption of HF produced a significantly increased in body weight as compared to the consumption of normal diet (normal control group) after 1 w of treatment and continued up to 6 w. Treatment with solasodine at a dose of 25, 50 and 100 mg/kg body weight significantly reduced body weight as compared to the HF control group. After 4 w, solasodine at a dose of 50 mg/kg and after 3 w solasodine at a dose of 100 mg/kg showed significant (p<0.001) reduction in body weight as compared to HF control group. However, treatment with orlistat also reduced body weight, significantly (p<0.001) as compared to HF control group. (fig. 2)

Effect of solasodine on abdomen circumference

Significant change in abdomen circumference was showed in rats fed with HF diet as compared to normal control. Solasodine at a dose level of 50 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg showed statistically significant (13.85±0.18 and 13.78±0.24 respectively) reduction in abdomen circumference as compared to HF control group (19.28±0.22) and normal control group (15.06±0.14). Orlistat also showed significant (15.06±0.11) reduction in abdomen circumference as compared to HF control group (table 1).

Effect of solasodine on serum biochemical parameters and blood pressure

Feeding of HF diet caused a significant (p<0.001) increase in serum levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides and glucose as compared to normal diet fed rats at the end of study (Day 40). In contrast, further solasodine at 25, 50 and 100 mg/kg dose and orlistat significantly (p<0.05 and p<0.001) reduced total cholesterol level as compared to HF control group. Solasodine treated group also showed significant (p<0.001) increase in total cholesterol level as compared to normal control.

Solasodine (25, 50 and 100 mg/kg) and orlistat-treated group on day 40 showed significant (p<0.001) reduction in triglyceride level as compared to HF control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Normal control</th>
<th>High fat control</th>
<th>High fat+Orlistat (25 mg/kg)</th>
<th>High fat+Solasodine (25 mg/kg)</th>
<th>High fat+Solasodine (50 mg/kg)</th>
<th>High fat+Solasodine (100 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.58±0.20</td>
<td>13.58±0.24</td>
<td>14.73±0.07</td>
<td>13.80±0.22</td>
<td>13.80±0.22</td>
<td>13.80±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.21±0.27</td>
<td>15.03±0.26</td>
<td>14.09±0.64***</td>
<td>13.96±0.19**</td>
<td>13.41±0.15**</td>
<td>13.98±0.31***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.73±0.20</td>
<td>15.95±0.16**</td>
<td>14.29±0.24***</td>
<td>14.08±0.17***</td>
<td>13.65±0.15***</td>
<td>14.1±0.32***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.86±0.22</td>
<td>15.71±0.16*</td>
<td>14.46±0.18***</td>
<td>14.26±0.14***</td>
<td>13.88±0.21***</td>
<td>14.4±0.23***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.06±0.27</td>
<td>16.66±0.26***</td>
<td>14.50±0.09***</td>
<td>14.45±0.11***</td>
<td>13.81±0.16**</td>
<td>14.25±0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.10±0.21</td>
<td>18.51±0.22***</td>
<td>14.89±0.18***</td>
<td>14.8±0.01***</td>
<td>14.02±0.19**</td>
<td>14.0±0.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.06±0.14</td>
<td>19.28±0.22***</td>
<td>15.06±0.11***</td>
<td>15.06±0.06***</td>
<td>13.85±0.11**</td>
<td>13.78±0.24**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SEM. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test (n=6). ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05 when compared with normal control. **p<0.001, *p<0.05 when compared with high fat control.
Fig. 1: Effect of solasodine on calorie intake (kcal/week) for rats fed on high-fat (HF) diet

Values are expressed as mean±SEM Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test (n=6). *p<0.05 when compared with normal control, 1=Week ‘0’; 2=Week ‘1’; 3=Week ‘2’; 4=Week ‘3’; 5=Week ‘4’; 6=Week ‘5’; 7=Week ‘6’.

Fig. 2: Effect of solasodine on body weight (g) in rats fed on high-fat (HF) diet

Values are expressed as mean±SEM Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test (n=6). ***p<0.001, *p<0.05 when compared with normal control, ###p<0.01, #p<0.05 when compared with high fat control. 1=Week ‘0’; 2=Week ‘1’; 3=Week ‘2’; 4=Week ‘3’; 5=Week ‘4’; 6=Week ‘5’; 7=Week ‘6’.

Fig. 3: Effect of solasodine on serum biochemical parameters in rats fed on high-fat (HF) diet

Values are expressed as mean±SEM Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s test (n=6). ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05 when compared with normal control, ###p<0.001, #p<0.05 when compared with high fat control, 1=Total cholesterol (mg/dl); 2=Triglyceride (mg/dl); 3=Serum leptin (ng/ml); 4=Glucose (mg/dl); 5=Plasma insulin (μU/ml); 6=AGEs (advanced glycated end products) (pg/mg protein); 7=Systolic blood pressure (mmHg).
DISCUSSION

Newer and the most important safer antiobesity drugs are always in demand [8]. In the light of the ban on endocannabinoid receptor antagonists like rimonabant and sibutramine (anorectic drug) and side effects of antiobesity drug orlistat, the need of safer drugs is always there [9].

As stated earlier plant and herbs are the foundation of the traditional system. Plants have shown considerable improvement in parameters of obesity devoid of any visible adverse effects. Hence, they are being widely used in treating obesity [5].

In order to find effective antiobesity treatments, different animal models of obesity have been used. Rat models with HF-induced obesity, cafeteria diet-induced obesity are considered useful for evaluation of the antiobesity effect of drugs. The supplementation of HF in their diet is an imperative factor which leads to the development of obesity.

The current study was conducted by using HF diet-induced obesity model in rats. HF diets have been previously reported to increase energy intake and cause obesity in humans as well as animals (11, 12). The following parameters were used for antiobesity assessment of solasodine. It includes the effect on body weight, abdomen circumference, food intake, total cholesterol measurement, triglyceride and glucose level measurement retroperitoneal adipose
tissue weight, liver weight and histopathology of the liver. It is known that obesity results from an imbalance between energy intake and expenditure [31]. Further, the composition and variety of cafeteria or high-fat foods also exert a synergistic effect on the development of obesity. The results of the present study showed that rats fed with HF diet, which is rich in energy and high in carbohydrate for six weeks elicited a significant increase in body weights. HF control group showed a significant increase in food intake as compared to normal control group. Animals treated with solasodine have shown a significant effect on parameters of obesity as compared to HF control group. Treatment with solasodine at a dose of 25, 50 and 100 mg/kg/day significantly reduced the increase in body weight and other biomarkers of obesity induced by a HF diet is a clear sign of the antiobesity effect.

A clinical sign like grooming was observed immediately after dosing. This may be due to irritant effect of solasodine. Animals removed husk by forepaw and sat on a husk free place, it was observed for 30 min immediately after dosing of solasodine. This may be due to some CNS (central nervous system) effect of solasodine in rats. Also snifing was observed in rats which may be due to the smell of solasodine and its bronchodilator activity.

A lipase inhibitor which reduces the fat digestion and thereby its absorption is one of the commonly accepted approaches in decreasing calorie intake. Pancreatic lipase hydrolyzes fats into fatty acid and monoacylglycerols. These are the absorbable forms of fats. Hence, inhibiting of pancreatic lipase may result in stopping hydrolysis of fat into absorbable fat units. Orlistat, an approved antiobesity drug currently marketed. It prevents obesity and hyperlipidaemia by increasing fat excretion in faeces and by inhibiting the pancreatic lipase [8]. The solasodine significantly reduced abdomen circumference as compared to HF control group. The reduction in body weight corresponded with that of reduction in abdomen circumference. In obese animals and humans, it is seen that there is an increase in levels of serum lipids (for e. g. total cholesterol and triglycerides). Thus, alteration in the levels of lipid can be used as an index of obesity. It is known that the high lipid content (hyperlipidaemia) leads to many life-threatening conditions such as heart disease, stroke and other vascular diseases [7]. A decrease in calorie intake, especially from fat consumption, is one of the essential steps in the treatment of obesity. Obesity is also the most common cause of dyslipidaemia. The excess lipid supply in a state of obesity leads to higher triglyceride stores in non-adipose tissues e.g. muscle, liver and pancreas [32]. Treatment with solasodine has caused significant changes in the blood parameters like decreased levels of lipids like total cholesterol, triglyceride and carbohydrate like glucose. The results of the present study showed that rats fed with HF foods for six weeks produced a significant increase in weight of retroperitoneal adipose tissue and serum lipid levels. Furthermore, HF diet al. so induced a fatty liver with the build-up of hepatic triglycerides [8]. The solasodine produced a significant decrease in liver weight and the retroperitoneal adipose tissue weight as compared to the HF diet control group. The rate of reduction of body weight was similar to that in the retroperitoneal adipose tissue weight.

The morphoscopic examination of a liver section of HF diet treated group showed various degrees of pathological changes such as fatty degeneration cloudy swelling and necrosis of hepatic cells. The abnormal reconstructions of the lobular architecture, the appearance of widespread fibrosis, in addition, nodular lesions of the hepatic parenchyma are the main characteristics of liver cirrhosis [33]. The histopathology study showed that rats treated with orlistat along with HF diet showed cirrhosis like condition presenting toxic symptoms on continuous administration of orlistat. The histopathology study showed that solasodine attenuated the hepatic cellular necrosis and led to resolution in inflammatory cells infiltration. Solasodine treated group showed recovery of damaged liver cells. Earlier various authors have reported that the saponins showed strong inhibitory effects on the lipase secreted from the pancreas in vitro and prevented an increase in body weight which was induced by HF diet in vivo [34-36]. Yen et al. [37] reported that 500 mg/kg dehydroepiandrosterone administered three times weekly to mice resulted in decreased weight gain by obese mice without affecting their food intake, they also showed an inhibitory effect of the steroid on fatty acid synthesis. Thus, solasodine (a saponin) might be responsible for the reduction in weight gain as compared to animals in HF control group.

CONCLUSION
The present study was conducted to assess the antiobesity effect of solasodine isolated from dried and ripen fruits of plant Solanum xanthocarpum. Solasodine, the active component isolated from this plant showed marked antiobesity effect in rats fed on HF diet in a dose-dependent manner. Solasodine leads to decrease in body weight, abdominal circumference, lipid profile, fat deposition indicating the antiobesity potential of this component equivalent to orlistat without any toxic effect on the liver in HF-fed rats. Antiobesity effect of solasodine can be seen through the inhibition of intestinal absorption of dietary fat, blocking the fat accumulation and synthesis of fat and its hypolipidemic activity. The present study confirms the basis for the use of this plant (solasodine) in the traditional medicine for treatment of obesity. In future, this work can be extended by including more obesity models to confirm the antiobesity potential of solasodine for the meaningful and tangible conclusion.
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