ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this research was to investigate action mechanism of Indonesia herbal decoctions in the treatment of Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) using network pharmacology approaches.

Methods: Drug target profile analysis via Markov clustering was performed to identify the potent antidiabetic ingredients in the four herbs. Network target base identification of multicomponent synergy was applied to predict the ingredients synergetic effect. The multi-level and integrated target networks were contracted to identify the herbs major ingredients and their presumed targets. Further enrichment analysis and molecular docking were performed to validate network targets.

Results: 278 ingredients from the four herbs were linked to antidiabetic drugs with an overall clustering success rate of 98.58% and 5 ingredient pairs had significant synergetic effects. Enrichment analysis demonstrates herbs candidate presumed targets were frequently involved in the significant biological process and pathways associated with progression of Type 2 diabetes (T2D) diseases. Finally, molecular docking validation revealed there was high binding site similarity between momordicoside F2 (78%), beta-sitosterol (67%) and cis-N-Feruloyltamamine (67%) with miglitol drug. In addition, the four ligands presented the higher binding affinity to Maltase-glucoamylase (MGA) receptor an enzyme responsible for the digestion of dietary starch to glucose.

Conclusion: This study revealed the pharmacological mechanism of action of Indonesia herbal decoctions in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes. The herbs major presumed target played a significant biological role in the progression of Type 2 diabetes (T2D) while major herbal ingredients indicates the potential of curing Type 2 diabetes by inhibiting Maltase-glucoamylase (MGA) activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) or noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) is a chronic metabolic disease characterized by elevated blood glucose level due to insufficient insulin secretion, insulin resistance or insulin impairment [1, 2]. International Federation of Diabetic (IFD) estimated 90% of 285 million people suffering from the diabetic disease are diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes [3]. Epidemiological studies have reported genetic and environmental factors might be the possible contributing factor to the loss of beta cell function in Type 2 diabetes patients [4]. This leads to impairment of insulin action and secretion causing hyperglycemia a condition characterized by glucotoxicity that marks the onset of Type 2 diabetes complications [5-7]. Therefore, to regulate glycemic homeostasis in Type 2 diabetes patient's synthetic drugs such as metformin, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, sulfonylureas, thiazolinediones (TZDs), and insulin injections are often used [8-10]. Some of those drugs have indicated therapeutic activity to regulate blood glucose level. However, others have shown low efficacy with various side effects associated with flatulence and diarrhea in Type 2 diabetes patients [11-13].

Thus to overcome such side effects associated with synthetic drugs, herbal medicines are often used as the alternative drug for treatment of Type 2 diabetes [14]. Ingredients in herbal plants such Momordica charantia have indicated therapeutic activity by regulating the blood glucose level in diabetic mice and improving insulin resistance and hyperlipidemia in rats [15]. Blumeatin from Blumea balsamina have indicated antihyperglycemic effects on diabetic rats while berberine from Tinospora cordifolia has been reported to be effective in reducing blood glucose by enhancing insulin receptor expression [16-18]. Ingredients such gingers and shogaol from Zingiber officinale have indicated some activities of increasing insulin receptor signaling [19]. Despite those herbs indicating therapeutic activities on Type 2 diabetic, their mechanism of action remains unknown due to their numerous complex mixture that often been transiently to multiple targets [20-22].

In drug discovery, network pharmacology paradigms are often applied to understand the underlying pharmacological mechanism of action of a given drug to certain disease [23-26]. Recently, technologies and systems biology have been adopted to predict the combinatory drug effect in order to understand their pharmacological mechanism based on target analysis [27]. Furthermore, in networks pharmacology, both technologies are often integrated to reveal the relationship between drugs and their targets [28]. Basically, there are two types of the network pharmacology techniques; a bottom-up approach which entails the addition of well-known molecular drugs targets and the observed synergistic effects and the top-down approach which is a general reduction of given formula to the minimal elements but still maintain important properties [29].

The aim of this paper, we developed a comprehensive systematic approach to investigate the pharmacological mechanisms of action of Indonesia herbal decoction (Zingiber officinale, Tinospora cordifolia, Blumea balsamina and Momordica charantia) in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes. The protocol of our study includes: (i) Prediction of antidiabetic ingredients in the four Indonesia herbal decoction using drug target profile analysis via Markov clustering algorithm. (ii) Synergy prediction among the ingredients in the four herbs by network target base identification of multicomponent

Received: 30 Nov 2016 Revised and Accepted: 30 Jan 2017

Email: peter_ochieng@apps.ipb.ac.id

PETER JUMA OCHIENG*, WISNU ANANTA KUSUMAa,c, MOHAMAD RAFib,c, TONY SUMARYADd

*Department of Computer Science, Bogor Agricultural University, bDepartment of Chemistry, Bogor Agricultural University, cTropical Biopharmaca Research Center, Bogor Agricultural University, (IPB), Jl. Taman Kencana No. 3, Bogor 16128, Indonesia, dComputational Biophysics and Molecular Modeling Research Group (CBMoRG), Department of Physics, Bogor Agricultural University, Kampus IPB

Dramaga, Bogor 16680 Indonesia

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)
multi-level interaction network of herb-chemical ingredients-therapeutic targets of Type 2 diabetes were used to construct network.

Producing synergy, their agent genes should be adjacent in the synergy prediction we hypothesize that if an ingredient pair has the closest as the three network centrality indexes to measure the correct number of clusters × (1-the misclassification rate).

Synergy determination of synergetic effect among the herbal ingredients

success rate: the fraction of times the MCL algorithm recovered the antidiabetic ingredients in other words when applicable the overall assessed by determining the misclassification rate: the number of clustering algorithms that were set to generate maximum cluster granularity. The reliability of the MCL algorithm was assessed by determining the misclassification rate: the number of topological features of the Type 2 diabetes (T2D) disease network.

Identification of antidiabetic herbal ingredients

The antiidiabetic ingredients from the four herbs were identified by network drug target profiles analysis via Markov clustering (MCL) algorithm. Herein, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved antibiotics drugs (rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, regapaglinide, tolrestat, miglitol, rimonabant, praminitide, phenformin and staris) were used to construct ingredient-drug target network. The network was then partitioned using Markov clustering (MCL) algorithm to generate ingredient-drug target profiles. The optimal inflation and cutoff parameters for MCL algorithm were set to generate maximum cluster granularity. The reliability of the MCL algorithm was assessed by determining the misclassification rate: the number of misclassified antidiabetic ingredients/a total number of identified antidiabetic ingredients in other words when applicable the overall success rate: the fraction of times the MCL algorithm recovered the correct number of clusters = (1-the misclassification rate).

Determination of synergetic effect among the herbal ingredients

The ingredient synergetic effects were computed by network target based identification of multicomponent synergy (NIMS). In the proposed method, a set of genes or gene products affected by an agent (herbal ingredient) is termed agent genes, and the disease-specific biological network serves as the background network to compute the synergy scores. Thus, the two elements ‘topology score’ (TS) and ‘agent score’ (AS) were used to evaluate ingredient interactions [38]. Topological scores were calculated from topological features of the Type 2 diabetes (T2D) disease network. From the network target perspective, the Achilles’ heel of the biological network underlying a certain disease is more likely to be the attack points of herbal ingredients [39]. Therefore, we assume that the more important the agent gene as a network node is, the stronger synergetic effect the agent will produce the Type 2 diabetes disease. Thus significant synergetic scores of the agent gene as a node in the network were computed based on the node importance values by integrating degree, betweenness, and closeness as the three network centrality indexes to measure the network properties of ingredient targets [40, 41]. Note that for synergy prediction we hypothesize that if an ingredient pair produces synergy, their agent genes should be adjacent in the network.

Network construction and analysis

The herbs, chemical ingredients, presumed targets and known therapeutic targets of Type 2 diabetes were used to determine protein-protein interaction (PPI) network (presumed targets), a multi-level interaction network of herb-chemical ingredients-preserved target, lastly an integrated herb-chemical ingredient-preserved target-known T2D therapeutic target network to understand the relationship between the herbs and their presumed targets. The PPI data were obtained from existing PPI databases mentioned in materials section then Cytoscape visualization software (Version 2.8.1, Boston, MA, USA) was used to visualize the network [42].

Defining network topological features set

For the purpose of understanding the relationship between the herbs, their ingredients and presumed targets as well as their therapeutic targets we defined the network topological features by considering reference node in the network to be node i and measured four main topological features. (i) Degree the number of edges connected to node i. (ii) Node betweenness the number of the shortest paths between two of nodes intersecting node i. (iii) Closeness the inverse of the farness or sum of the node i distances to all other nodes. The closeness centrality is also considered as a measure of how long it will take to sequentially spread information from node i to all the other nodes [43]. Based on that we used the degree, node betweenness, and closeness centralities to measure topological importance in our network, thus the larger degree or node betweenness or closeness centrality, the more important that ingredient, protein or therapeutic target in the network. (iv) The k-core analysis is an iterative removal of least connected nodes from the networks. The maximum order of the core is defined as the highest k-core of the network enhance ‘K value’ is used to measure the centrality of node i in the network [44].

Herb-chemical ingredients-preserved target-known T2D target network

The herb-chemical ingredient-preserved target-known T2D therapeutic target network was constructed by linking the four herbs their chemical ingredients, presumed targets and the known T2D therapeutic targets that interact with the presumed targets. Herein we consider the node was as a hub protein if its degree was more than 2-fold the median degree of all the nodes in a network. Then, the PPIs among the hub protein targets were used to construct the hub presumed target PPI network. We used four network topological features such as ‘Node degree’, ‘Closeness’, ‘Node betweenness’, and ‘K value’ previously defined in the feature set definitions to identify the major presumed targets, in case the four topological features sets have values higher than the corresponding median values. We further to generate a k-core network of the original integrated network by iteratively removing the least connected nodes from the network whose degree is less than k. Performing k-core analysis, we obtain a sub-network, which is a globally central region of the original network.

Enrichment analysis of herbs major presumed targets acting on T2D disease

The enrichment analysis based on PANTHER functional annotation system [45] and Diversity Visualization Integrated Database (DAVID) [46] was performed to validate the biological significance of major presumed targets with the P value<0.05 after Benjamini’s correction. A higher score indicates that the gene members are involved in more important (enriched) biological processes.

Molecular docking of herbs major ingredients

The binding affinities of the major herbal ingredients were validated by molecular docking using Autodock Vina software [47]. The Malta-glucosamylase receptor (MGA) and major herbal ingredients (ligands) were selected based on their topological importance from the k-core sub-networks. The structures of the receptor (Malta-glucosamylase with PDB code 2QMJ) and the four ligands (beta-D-lactose from the Zingiber officinale with CID code 222288, cis-N-Feruloyltyramine from Tinospora cordifolia CID code 5280537, linderol from Blumea balsamifera with CID code 65373, and moronidioside F2 from Momordica charantia with CID code 4445567) were downloaded from PubChem website. Both the ligands and receptor were initially prepared using Autodock Tools program [48]. For the empirical comparison, we used miglitol (given as Glyset, a patented drug that capable of inhibiting the
alpha-glucosidase activity) as control ligand. All structures for receptor and ligands were saved in a pdbqt format to meet the docking program requirement. The next step we set the grid coordinates as $x = -27.643$, $y = -12.49$ and $y = 0.559$ and the box size set as $z = 30$, $y = 30$ and $x = 36$, as well as the number of exhaustiveness, was set at 20 to compromise between the exhaustive search and global minimum for better docking results. The binding sites regions were visualised by LigPlot+v.1.4 program [49].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of antidiabetic herbal ingredients

The antidiabetic ingredients from the four herbs were determined based on the hypothesis that chemical ingredient in the four herbs and Food and Drug Association (FDA) approved antidiabetic drugs were likely to share similar target profiles, biological function, and pharmacological action as well as the mechanism of action. According to target profile analysis (fig. 1), 22 ingredients from *Zingiber officinale*; 25 ingredients from *Momordica charantia*; 13 ingredients from *Tinospora cordifolia* and 82 ingredients from *Blumea balsamifera* were identified from the clusters and were linked to known Food and Drug Association (FDA) antidiabetic drugs, this reveals that certain ingredients compounds were shared diverse pharmacological action with targeted antidiabetic drugs.

Some of the identified ingredients were linked with diverse antidiabetic activities in previous studies for instance 5,7,3',5'-tetrahydroxy flavanone and blumeatin from *Blumea balsamifera* to have been reported to be antioxidants that improve diabetes; guaifenesin from *Tinospora cordifolia* enhance the neurite outgrowth which might protect Type 2 diabetes (T2D) patients from neuropathy [50]. For instance, jatrorrhizine, berberine bisulfate, coptisine, epiberberine, oxyberberine, columbamine, and berberine were reported to have hypoglycemic and antidiabetic actions that regulate glucose metabolic effect and reduction of oxidative stress injury [51, 52].

The results obtained in target profile analysis revealed our proposed Markov clustering (MCL) to be more effective for identification of antidiabetic compound in the four herbs with an overall success rate of 98.58%. This demonstrated the reliability of our approach to provide a possible explanation for action mechanism and molecular basis of the four Indonesia herbs in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes.

Synergetic effect among herbal ingredients

For synergy prediction, berberine was used as the core agent (ingredient) due to its antidiabetic activity [53]. In the proposed method, a high score means a great probability of synergy and we measure the synergy of ingredients combination with independent mechanisms based on Bliss independent theory [54], so we roughly set the valid range of our score from 0 to 0.9. From the network, 16 unique berberine-ingredient synergy scores were obtained and combination of berberine with momordicoside F2 (0.3976) from *Momordica charantia*, linderol (0.3071) from *Blumea balsamifera* and beta-sitosterol (0.4332) and (S)-10-Gingerol (0.4129) in *Zingiber officinale* as well as cis-N-Perulelythryamine (0.3711) in *Tinospora cordifolia* presenting significant antidiabetic synergetic effect. Those synergetic effects might be due to their deferent antidiabetic mechanisms as the cluster analysis revealed those ingredients to have deferent target profiles. We further validate the synergy scores by comparing with Food and Drug Association (FDA) approved antidiabetic drugs we used in target profiles analysis. Related studies have reported the combination of repaglinide and pioglitazone to have an acceptable safety with greater reductions in glycemic parameters than treatment using either agent alone [55]. The proposed method demonstrates that the network target can nicely interpret the synergetic mechanism of ingredients combination in Indonesia herbal decoction by its latent network topology properties.
Herb-chemical ingredients-presumed target network

The multi-level network of herb-chemical ingredients-presumed target revealed the relationships between the herbs and their presumed targets. The network (fig. 2) consists of 862 nodes (4 ingredients, 282 chemical ingredients, and 576 presumed targets) and 1227 edges. The mean number of presumed targets per chemical ingredients was 2.042. Among 282 chemical ingredients, 7 had high-degree distributions, and each hitting significant presumed targets two of them come from *Tinospora cordifolia*, such as 4-Hydroxymephenyton and mangnfoline; two from *Zingiber officinale*, such as 1,3-cineole and (-)-beta sitosterol and monordicoside F1 from *Momordica charantia* as well as alpha-pinene and linderol from *Blumea balsamifera*. From the four herbs, *Blumea balsamifera* had the highest degree distribution, hitting 33 presumed targets. Network analysis reveals 10 presumed targets were linked to *Tinospora cordifolia*, *Zingiber officinale*, and *Momordica charantia* herbs and 24 presumed targets were shared with among the four herbs this explains the functional relationship between herbs their ingredients and presumed targets.

**Fig. 2**: Interaction network to understand the relationship among herbs, their ingredients and presumed targets visualized by Cytoscape. Edge; interaction between ingredients and their presumed targets; red rectangle node: Herbs, including *Tinospora cordifolia, Zingiber officinale, Momordica charantia* and *Blumea balsamifera*; circle nodes: Herbal ingredients; brown triangle nodes: Presumed targets for herbal ingredients; blue triangle: Presumed targets shared by the four herbs.

Herb-chemical ingredient-presumed target-known T2D therapeutic target network

The integrated network (fig. 3A) built by reversed imbalanced herb-ingredient-presumed target-known Type 2 diabetes (T2D) target network to understand the mechanism of action of the four herbal ingredients in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes (T2D). From the network, we identified 871 nodes (including 4 herbs and 282 ingredients, as well as 576 presumed targets and 9 known therapeutic targets) with 1227 interactions. Based on the four topological features describe in materials and methods section we identified 54 major nodes linked to 31 ingredients contained in the four herbs, 24 presumed targets were shared, and 2 known therapeutic targets had the highest degree distribution furthermore, all the nodes had significant degree, betweenness, closeness and K value greater than the corresponding median values. To identify the herbs major presumed targets and ingredients acting on Type 2 diabetes we performed the K-core analysis (fig. 3 B) by iteratively removing less connected nodes to obtain the global central region of original network (fig. 3 A). From the k-core sub-network, 68 nodes (31 chemical ingredients, 24 presumed targets, and 2 major T2D therapeutic targets) and 132 interactions were identified.

From the sub-network linderol (*d=3, NC=54.543%*), monorcharaside F2 (*d=5, NC=55.533%*), cis-N-Feruloyltyramine (*d=7, NC=24.0%*), and beta-sitosterol (*d=10, NC=31.0%*) had the highest connectivity to major presumed targets and topological measurements (where *d* is the node degree and *NC* is the proportion neighbor connectivity).
Table 1: Herbal ingredient with significant antidiabetic synergistic effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herbs</th>
<th>Chemical ingredients</th>
<th>Synergy score</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber officinale</td>
<td>Beta-sitosterol</td>
<td>0.4332*</td>
<td>1.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber officinale</td>
<td>(S)-10-gingerol</td>
<td>0.4129*</td>
<td>1.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber officinale</td>
<td>(6)-shogol</td>
<td>0.4810</td>
<td>9.9 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinospora cordifolia</td>
<td>Berberine bisulfate</td>
<td>0.3973</td>
<td>2.1 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinospora cordifolia</td>
<td>Cis-N-Feruloyltyramine</td>
<td>0.3711*</td>
<td>1.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinospora cordifolia</td>
<td>Columbamine</td>
<td>0.3987</td>
<td>2.3 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinospora cordifolia</td>
<td>Oxyberberine</td>
<td>0.4001</td>
<td>6.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumea balsamifera</td>
<td>Linderol</td>
<td>0.3071*</td>
<td>1.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumea balsamifera</td>
<td>Jatrorrhizine</td>
<td>0.3912</td>
<td>2.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumea balsamifera</td>
<td>Magnoflorine</td>
<td>0.4992</td>
<td>9.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumea balsamifera</td>
<td>Palmatine</td>
<td>0.5617</td>
<td>1.6 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumea balsamifera</td>
<td>Blumatin</td>
<td>0.4110</td>
<td>1.9 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momordica charantia</td>
<td>Momordicoside F2</td>
<td>0.3976*</td>
<td>6.0 E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momordica charantia</td>
<td>Goyaglycoside-B</td>
<td>0.4881</td>
<td>1.2 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momordica charantia</td>
<td>Charantoside VII</td>
<td>0.4117</td>
<td>1.1 E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momordica charantia</td>
<td>Momordicoside I</td>
<td>0.3991</td>
<td>4.1 E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*berberine-ingredient pairs with significant synergy scores
Enrichment analysis

Enrichment analysis (table 2) revealed that herbs major presumed targets were frequently involved in various significant biological processes and pathways such as regulation of insulin receptor signaling pathway (9.16E-07), regulation of glucose metabolic process (2.96E-17), glucose homeostasis (3.75E-09), and regulation of insulin secretion (8.77E-05). Based on network analysis (fig. 3) major presumed targets including FOXO4, INS, AKT2 and TSC1 genes are linked to momordicoside F2 compound. FOXO4 gene is perceived to regulate insulin signaling pathway. On the other hand, INS gene reduces blood glucose concentration by enhancing cell permeability to monosaccharides, amino acids, and fatty acids which accelerate glycolysis, the pentose phosphate cycle, and glycogen synthesis in liver [56]. AKT2 genes regulate glucose uptake by mediating insulin-induced translocation whereas TSC1 genes act as a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating mTORC1 signaling pathways [57]. Furthermore, FOXO1 and INSR targeted genes are linked to linderol and cis-N-Feruloyltyramine compound, FOXO1 is perceived as the target of insulin signaling and regulates metabolic homeostasis in response to oxidative stress and regulation of glucose metabolism while INSR as a receptor tyrosine kinase mediates the pleiotropic actions of insulin [58]. In addition, NPS INF and EDN1 genes are linked to beta-sitosterol compound, studies have reported NPS genes to plays an important anorexic role while IFN effector genes to triggers interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) which inhibit virus replication, on the other hand, EDN1 genes have been reported to involve in endothelium-derived vasoconstriction [59]. On this basis, the major presumed targets of Indonesian herbal decoction are significantly associated with these biological processes and pathways might play a role in the understanding mechanism of action of Indonesia herbal decoction in treatment of Type 2 diabetes.
The residues involved in hydrophobic interaction in beta-sitosterol included Asp702, Leu727, and Glu719, which switched to hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) in miglitol. Two residues involved in hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) in miglitol were Glu704 and Leu720. For cis-N-Feruloyl-tyramine, six residues were involved in the interactions four residues involved in hydrophobic interactions in momordicoside F2. Residues of Glu704 also switched its interaction from hydrophobic in miglitol to hydrogen bond in momordicoside F2. For beta-sitosterol, all its interactions with the Maltase-glucoamylase receptor (MGA) were classified as hydrophobic interaction and no any hydrogen bond were involved. The residues involved in hydrophobic interaction in beta-sitosterol included Asp702, Ile725, and Glu704 residues, which switched to hydrophobic interactions in momordicoside F2. Residues of Glu704 also switched its interaction from hydrophobic in miglitol to hydrogen bond in momordicoside F2. For beta-sitosterol, all its interactions with the Maltase-glucoamylase receptor (MGA) were classified as hydrophobic interaction and no any hydrogen bond were involved. The residues involved in hydrophobic interaction in beta-sitosterol included Asp702, Ile725, Lys724, Leu720, and Glu719. For cis-N-Feruloyl-tyramine, six residues were involved in the interactions four residues involved in hydrophobic interaction whereas two residues in hydrogen bond interaction (Ile725 and Glu704) on the other hand for linderol, only one residue (Glu704) was involved in the hydrophobic interaction. Even though we docked linderol in same targeted grid box used for other three ligands, docking analysis reveals its tendency to bind to the different regions probably due to its small molecular size (362 Dalton) or grid size of targeted receptor thus only Glu704 residue was actually involved in the hydrophobic interaction.

### Table 2: Top 10 significant biological processes and top 10 pathways associated with herbs major presumed targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Biological process</th>
<th>Counts</th>
<th>Fold enrichment</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO:00050727</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>3.96E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0043255</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68.09</td>
<td>2.56E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0046626</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58.36</td>
<td>9.16E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0010906</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57.07</td>
<td>2.96E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:00190076</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>54.35</td>
<td>2.22E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0010827</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48.45</td>
<td>1.79E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0042593</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25.87</td>
<td>3.75E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0008217</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24.18</td>
<td>9.27E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0050796</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>8.77E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0019216</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>1.25E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANTHER Pathways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK/STAT signaling pathway (P00038)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>3.37E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin/IGF pathway-nitrogen activated protein kinase kinase/MAP kinase cascade (P00032)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46.73</td>
<td>1.46E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin/IGF pathway-protein kinase B signaling cascade (P00033)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45.13</td>
<td>8.70E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3 kinase pathway (P00048)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28.04</td>
<td>1.78E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin signaling pathway (P00036)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.88</td>
<td>1.40E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF signaling pathway (P00047)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>2.46E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCKB signaling map (P0659)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>8.88E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor pathway (P06664)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>4.71E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt signaling pathway (P00057)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>4.64E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiogenesis (P00005)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>2.87E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Molecular docking validation

Molecular docking technique, as a computational structure-based method is a powerful tool in drug discovery and design. This technique can help researchers discover the relationship between the constituents of Indonesian herbal decoctions and network targets [60]. Autodock Vina is high-throughput molecular docking tool with a fast and simple method to rapidly predict the binding affinity of a ligand, based on the geometry of a candidate ligand docked into a target receptor structure using empirical functions [61].

**Binding sites profiles**

The four major ligands were selected based on their significant synergy score (table 1) and their topological importance in k-core subnetwork (fig. 3B). Based on Lipinski rules, which state that the drug compound should have a molecular size less than 500 Dalton [62] our docking analysis revealed beta-sitosterol (414 Dalton), cis-N-Feruloyl-tyramine (313 Dalton), and linderol (362 Dalton) compounds had a molecular size less than Lipinski limit with only momordicoside F2 (618 Dalton) compound exceeding limit. Furthermore, the binding site profile analysis (fig. 4) revealed that momordicoside F2 had three residues involved in hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) in miglitol (Asp702, Leu727, and Glu719) residues, which switched to hydrophobic interactions in momordicoside F2. Residues of Glu704 also switched its interaction from hydrophobic in miglitol to hydrogen bond in momordicoside F2. For beta-sitosterol, all its interactions with the Maltase-glucoamylase receptor (MGA) were classified as hydrophobic interaction and no any hydrogen bond were involved. The residues involved in hydrophobic interaction in beta-sitosterol included Asp702, Ile725, Lys724, Leu720, and Glu719. For cis-N-Feruloyl-tyramine, six residues were involved in the interactions four residues involved in hydrophobic interaction whereas two residues in hydrogen bond interaction (Ile725 and Glu704) on the other hand for linderol, only one residue (Glu704) was involved in the hydrophobic interaction. Even though we docked linderol in same targeted grid box used for other three ligands, docking analysis reveals its tendency to bind to the different regions probably due to its small molecular size (362 Dalton) or grid size of targeted receptor thus only Glu704 residue was actually involved in the hydrophobic interaction.
Furthermore, when we compare the binding site's similarity of the four ligands to miglitol a patent antidiabetic drug (table 3), momordicoside F2 presents the best binding site similarity (BSS) of 78% (7 out of 9 residues) among the four ligands followed by beta-sitosterol and cis-N-Feruloyltyramine both scoring 67% (6 out of 9 residues). Lastly, linderol was the only ligand that scored lowest binding sites similarity 11% (1 out of 9 residues) when compared to miglitol binding sites.

**Binding affinity**

The binding affinity of each ligand to Maltase-glucosylase (MGA) target was assessed to evaluate their Gibbs free energy (fig. 5).

Docking analysis revealed that momordicoside F2 had the best binding affinity (-7.80 kcal/mole) followed by beta-sitosterol (-6.80 kcal/mole) and cis-N-Feruloyltyramine (-6.50 kcal/mole). However, linderol turned out to have the same binding affinity with miglitol (-
5.30 kcal/mole) which indicated its possibility of having similar pharmacological functions with miglitol drug.

Table 3: Binding sites profile analysis of the herbs major ingredients compared with miglitol drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herb</th>
<th>Ligands</th>
<th>HIR a</th>
<th>HBR b</th>
<th>LHFG c</th>
<th>HBL (Å)</th>
<th>BSS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber officinale</td>
<td>Beta-sitosterol</td>
<td>Arg710,Val709,Trp711,Asp702,Leu720,Pro721,Leu720,Glut724</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinospora cordifolia</td>
<td>cis-N-Feruloyltyramine</td>
<td>Glu704</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momordica charantia</td>
<td>Monomordicoside F2</td>
<td>Glu704</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumea balsamifera</td>
<td>Linderol</td>
<td>Hsd625</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: HIR hydrophobic interaction residue; b: HBR hydrogen bonding residues; c: LHFG ligand hydrogen bonding group; d: HBL hydrogen bond length; e: BSS binding site similarity

CONCLUSION

This paper presents a comprehensive systematic approach integrating target profile analysis, synergy prediction, network analysis, and target validation to reveal the relationships between ingredients contained in the four Indonesia herbal decoctions and their presumed targets and Type 2 diabetes related pathway systems. From our results target profile analysis via Markov clustering (MCL) provided clues to possible antidiabetic ingredients in the four herbs to investigate their pharmacological mechanisms to the treatment of Type 2 diabetes with an overall success rate of 98.58%. Synergy prediction by network target based identification of multicomponent synergy revealed there were herbal ingredients with significant antidiabetic synergistic effects.

The multi-level and integrated network targets of herbs, their presumed targets and known Type 2 diabetes therapeutic targets revealed the herbs major ingredients and their presumed targets acting on Type 2 diabetes therapeutic targets. Enrichment analysis revealed major presumed targets were frequently involved in significant biological processes and pathways related to the progression of Type 2 diabetes (T2D). For molecular docking validation monomordicoside F2 (78%), beta-sitosterol (67%) and cis-N-Feruloyltyramine (67%) presented higher binding site similarity when compared with miglitol drug indicating their potential to develop antidiabetic drugs although linderol had low binding site similarity probably due to its smaller molecular size (362 Dalton) or the size of grid box used for docking all the ligands. Furthermore, all the four ligands indicated higher binding affinity and inhibitory properties to Maltase-glucosamylase (MGA) receptor. Thus the docking results reveal the pharmacological mechanism of action of Indonesia herbal decoction in treatment of Type 2 diabetes with monomordicoside F2, beta-sitosterol, cis-N-Feruloyltyramine and linderol indicating the potential of curing Type 2 diabetes disease (T2D). Since our preliminary study was purely based on network pharmacology techniques and bioinformatics analysis, further experimental studies are required to test the hypotheses.
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