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ABSTRACT

Objective: To estimate the in vitro total phenolics, flavonoids contents, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of various solvent extracts from the medicinal plant Physalis minima Linn.

Methods: The crude bioactive were extracted from the dried powder of Physalis minima using methanol, ethyl acetate, chloroform and hexane solvents. Total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content (TFC) were estimated using Folin-Ciocalteu and aluminium chloride colorimetric methods respectively. 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assays were used to determine the in vitro antioxidant capacity. The antimicrobial assay was done through agar well diffusion, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were determined using broth microdilution methods against the Gram-negative bacteria (Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris) and Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus).

Results: TPC expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) ranged from 60.27±1.73-151.25±2.50 mg GAE/g dry weight, and TFC expressed as quercetin equivalents (QE) ranged from 56.66±1.73-158.84±2.30 mg QE/g dry weight. Methanol extract showed the highest antioxidant activity followed by ethyl acetate, chloroform, hexane extract and the IC50 values of methanol extract for scavenging DPPH and ABTS free radicals were 280.23±5.75-173.40±0.38µg/ml, respectively. All the extracts have shown potent antimicrobial activity for the zone of inhibition ranged from 9-35 mm; MICs and MBCs values ranged from 0.125-4.0 and 0.25-8.0 mg/ml, respectively towards tested pathogenic species.

Conclusion: The comprehensive analysis of the present results demonstrated that Physalis minima possess high potential antioxidant properties which could be used as a viable source of natural antioxidants in treating infections caused by above-mentioned pathogens.
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INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants are plants that have at least one of their parts (leaves, stem, barks or roots) used for therapeutic purposes [1]. The availability and relatively cheaper cost of medicinal plants make them more attractive as therapeutic agents when compared to modern medicine [2]. World plant biodiversity is the largest source of herbal medicine, and still, about 60-80% world population rely on plant-based medicines which are being used since the ancient ages as traditional health care system. India is endowed with a rich wealth of medicinal plants, which ranked our country in the list of top producers of herbal medicine. Many medicinal plants were found to possess antibacterial, antifungal and insecticidal properties against wide spectra of organisms. Many active phytochemicals like flavonoids, terpenoids, vitamins and alkaloids, etc. were found to be responsible for these activities. With the advance in phytochemical techniques, several active principles of many medicinal plants have been isolated and introduced as valuable drugs in the modern system of medicine [3].

Antioxidants are compounds that block the oxidative procedures and thereby reduce the adverse effects of free radicals. Antioxidants also protect the plants from damage caused by abiotic stress. An imbalance between harmful free radicals and defensive antioxidants leads to oxidative stress which results in the development of chronic and degenerative diseases such as autoimmune disorders, cancer, arthritis, ageing neurodegenerative and cardiovascular disorders [4]. The most common free radicals are hydroxyl (OH•), superoxide anion (O2•-) and nitric monoxide (NO•). Other molecules like hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and peroxynitrite (ONOO•) also generate free radicals through various chemical reactions [5]. The systemic screening of antimicrobial plant extracts represents a continuous effort to find new compounds with the potential to act against multi-resistant pathogenic bacteria and fungi [6].

The plant selected for the present study is Physalis minima Linn, the wild Gooseberry or Sunberry or Ground cherry, a pantropical annual herb belonging to Solanaceae family is distributed throughout India. The fruit is edible, yellowish and encapsulated in the papery cover which is a good source of vitamin C and is used as tonic, laxative, applied in inflammations, enlargement of the spleen and as a helpful remedy in ulceration of the bladder. The leaves are crushed and applied over snake bite site to avoid the adverse effects of venom [7].

The notable medicinal properties reported for the plant are antidiabetic, antiallergic, antileptotic, antiperiodic, antitumor, antispasmodic, antimalarial, immune modulators, alpha-glucosidase inhibitory and anti neoplastic activities [9-11] due to which Physalis plants were used for centuries as medicinal herbs and recent studies have confirmed their therapeutic properties [12]. The plant is also used as tonic, laxative, applied in inflammations, enlargement of the spleen and as a helpful remedy in ulceration of the bladder. The leaves are crushed and applied over snake bite site to avoid the adverse effects of venom [7].

Thus, the present study involves estimation of in vitro total phenolics, flavonoids contents, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of various solvent extracts from the medicinal plant Physalis minima Linn.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and reagents
2,2-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), potassium persulfate (K2S2O8), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ), gallic acid, quercetin, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), ascorbic acid, Mueller-Hinton agar and broth were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals are of analytical grade and were purchased from Merck Limited (Mumbai, India).

Preparation of extracts
The leaf and stem mixture of the plant Physalis minima Linn were collected from the Eastern Ghats, Viekakapattanam, Andhra Pradesh, India during March 2013, their identity was authenticated at Regional Agriculture Research Centre (RARC), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India and a specimen has been preserved at departmental herbarium (Voucher number: AB#2059). The plant mixture was shade dried and made into coarse powder. The powder (250 g) was soaked sequentially with hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol each 6-10 d at room temperature in a 1 l aspirator jar to collect the extracts. These extracts were evaporated by using a rotavapor for further studies. All the extracts were preserved in a refrigerator at 4 °C.

Total phenolic content
The total phenolic content (TPC) of different extracts was estimated using Folin–Ciocalteu (FC) method [15] with few modifications. The calibration curve was constructed with different concentrations of gallic acid (20-500 µg/ml) as the standard. Briefly, 1 ml of crude extract (1000 µg/ml) was added to 3 ml of distilled water. The sample was then mixed thoroughly with 1 ml of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (Previously diluted 6 fold with distilled water (1:6, v/v), followed by the addition of 2 ml of 20% (w/v) sodium carbonate (Na2CO3). After 30 min of incubation at room temperature in the dark, the absorbance of the sample and the standard was measured at 765 nm. Distilled water was used as the reagent blank. The TPC of the sample was determined by using linear regression equation obtained from the calibration curve of gallic acid. The content of total phenolic compounds was calculated as mean±SD (n= 3) and expressed as milligrams (mg) of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram (g) of the plant (dry extract).

Total flavonoid content
The total flavonoid content (TFC) of different extracts was determined using aluminium chloride colorimetric method [16] with slight modification. The calibration curve was plotted with different concentrations of quercetin (20-100 µg/ml) as used as a standard. In brief, 1 ml of plant extract (1000 µg/ml) was mixed with 0.1 ml of 10% aluminium chloride (AlCl3) solution, 0.1 ml of 1M potassium acetate (CH3H2KO) solution and 2.8 ml of double distilled water in a test tube. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance of the sample and standard was measured at 415 nm with a Ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). A solution containing all reagents except aluminium chloride, which is replaced by the same amount of distilled water, is used as a blank. The TFC of the sample was determined by using linear regression equation obtained from the calibration standard curve of quercetin. The content of total flavonoid compounds was calculated as mean±SD (n= 3) and expressed as milligram (mg) of quercetin equivalent (QE) per gram (g) of the plant (dry extract).

DPPH radical scavenging activity assay
The DPPH radical scavenging activity of all the extracts was evaluated by the method described by Lee et al. [17] with slight modification. Ascorbic acid (25-15 µg/ml) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) (20-500 µg/ml) were used as the standards. Plant extract (1 ml) at different concentrations (100-6500 µg/ml) were treated with 1 ml of 0.2 mmol DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picylhydrazyl) in ethanol solution. The reaction mixture was incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance of the sample and standards was measured at 517 nm. The ability of the plant extract and standard to scavenge the DPPH radical was calculated as percentage inhibition of absorbance by using the following formula and IC50 values were determined.

DPPH scavenging activity (%) = [(Acontrol–Asample)/Acontrol] x 100

Where Acontrol indicates the absorbance of control containing 1 ml of DPPH and 1 ml of ethanol. A sample is the absorbance of the sample. Due to the high concentration, the sample also absorbs at this wavelength, so it is required to perform the blank measurement. A Sample blank is the absorbance of sample blank containing 1 ml of plant extract and 1 ml ethanol. Sample blank was prepared separately for each concentration.

Free radical scavenging ability by the use of a stable ABTS radical cation assay
The stock solutions of 7 mmol ABTS (2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt) and 2.45 mmol potassium persulfate (K2S2O8) was prepared in ethanol and water (1:1) mixture [18]. The ABTS reagent was prepared by mixing equal volumes of ABTS and K2SO4 stock solutions. The mixture was allowed to react at room temperature for 12-16 h in the dark to allow the generation of ABTS radical cation (ABTS*•). The ABTS radical solution was then diluted with ethanol and water mixture to obtain an absorbance of 0.700±0.020 at 734 nm. Ascorbic acid (1-3 µg/ml) and BHT (1-5 µg/ml) were used as standards. To determine the scavenging activity, plant extract (1 ml) at different concentrations (80-2500 µg/ml) were reacted with 1 ml of diluted ABTS solution. The mixture was allowed to incubate at room temperature for 6 min, and the absorbance was recorded at 734 nm. The ability of the plant extract and standard to scavenge the ABTS radical was calculated as a percentage of inhibition by using the following formula, and IC50 values were determined.

ABTS scavenging activity (%) = [(Acontrol–Asample–Asample blank)/Acontrol] x 100

Where Acontrol indicates the absorbance of control containing 1 ml of diluted ABTS radical solution and 1 ml of ethanol and water mixture. Asample is the absorbance of the sample. Asample blank is the absorbance of sample blank containing 1 ml of ethanol and water mixture and 1 ml of plant extract. Ethanol and water mixture was used as blank solution. Sample blank was prepared separately for each concentration.

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) activity assay
The ferric reducing ability of plant extracts was measured by the method described by Benzie and Strain [19]. FRAP reagent was a mixture (10:1:1, v/v/v) of 300 mmol sodium acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 10 mmol TPTZ (2,4,6-tris(2-pyridyl-s-triazine)) in 40 mmol HCL and FeCl3.6H2O (20 mmol). The calibration curve was plotted with different concentrations of ferrous sulphate (FeSO4) 0.2-1.0 mmol used as a standard. Ascorbic acid (100-500 µg/ml) and BHT (100-500 µg/ml) were used as the reference standards. To determine the ferric ion reducing ability, 100 µl of plant extract at different concentrations (100-500 µg/ml) were treated with 3 ml of freshly prepared FRAP reagent. After incubation at 37 °C for 30 min the absorbance was measured at 593 nm. The antioxidant capacity based on the ability to reduce ferric ions of the sample was determined by using linear regression equation obtained from the calibration curve of FeSO4 and expressed as mmol FeSO4 equivalents per gram (g) dry weight of plant extract.

Screening for antimicrobial activity

Microorganisms and media
The bacterial strains were procured from Department of Microbiology, Osmania Medical Hospital and Hyderabad, India. The cultures included in this study were Gram-negative bacteria Klebsiella pneumoniae (Isolated from urine), Escherichia coli (isolated from exudate), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Isolated from exudate) and Proteus vulgaris (Isolated from exudate) and Gram-positive bacteria Staphylococcus aureus (Isolated from exudate) which were maintained on Mueller-Hinton agar slants at 4 °C.
Agar well diffusion method

The in vitro antimicrobial activity of all the four leaf extracts was tested against the selected bacteria by agar well diffusion method [20] using Muller-Hinton agar as the medium. The agar medium was streaked with the microorganism and an 8 mm well was made on the agar surface. 100 µl of each extract was filled in the well so that the final concentration in each well was 1.6 mg. The reference standard was maintained with ceftriaxone (1 mg/ml). The individual solvents were used as negative control. The plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. During this period, the test solution diffused and the growth of the inoculated microorganisms was affected. At the end of the incubation period, the zone of clearance was observed and measured in mm. The susceptibility zones measured were the clear zones around the discs killing the bacteria.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by the micro broth dilution method done in 96 well plates according to standard protocol [21, 6]. A 2-fold serial dilution of the crude extracts, with an appropriate antibiotic, was prepared. Ceftriaxone (1 mg/ml) was taken as positive control. Initially, 100 µl of MH broth was added to each well. Then 100 µl of crude extract or antibiotic was taken from stock solution and dissolved in the first well. Serial dilution was done to obtain different concentrations. The stock concentrations of all the four solvent extracts were 16 mg/ml. Twenty-four-hour culture turbidity was adjusted to match 0.5 McFarland standards which correspond to 1×10^8 CFU/ml. The standardized suspension (100 µl) of bacteria was added to all the wells except the antibiotic control well and the 96 well plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After 24 h of incubation 40 µl of MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) reagent (0.5 mg/ml in 1x PBS) was added to all the wells. MIC was taken as the lowest concentration which did not show any growth which was visually noted from the blue colour developed by MTT. Subcultures were made from clear wells and the lowest concentration that yielded no growth after subculturing was taken as the MBC.

Statistical analysis

All determinations of total phenolic, flavonoid compounds and antioxidant activity (using different assays) were done in triplicates. Values for each sample were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) and were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical analysis was done using Graph Pad Prism Software Version 7.01 (GPPS Inc, California and USA). Correlation between the means was assessed using Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. For antioxidant assays, 1/IC_{50} value was used to determine the correlation and P<0.05 was considered for statistically significance.

RESULTS

Total phenolic content

The total phenolic content (TPC) of the all four extracts of Physalis minima were determined by using Folin–Ciocalteu (FC) method and reported in terms of gallic acid equivalents per gram dry weight of extract showed in table 1. Hexane extract (151.25±2.50 mg GAE/g dry weight) showed the highest amount of phenolic compounds followed by methanol (79.30±1.68 mg GAE/g DW), chloroform (68.61±2.13 mg GAE/g DW) and ethyl acetate (60.27±1.73 mg GAE/g DW) extract when compared to standard gallic acid. The results of the analytical method were validated by linear correlation comparison and the R^2 value of 0.996 (fig. 1).

Table 1: Total phenolic and total flavonoid content of Physalis minima (L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of extracts</th>
<th>Equivalents per g dry weight of extract (mg/g)</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (Gallic acid)</th>
<th>Total flavonoid content (Quercetin)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>79.30±1.68</td>
<td>90.64±1.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>60.27±1.73</td>
<td>158.8±2.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>68.61±2.13</td>
<td>118.07±2.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>151.25±2.50</td>
<td>56.66±0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data represent the mean±SD of the three determinants and level of significance (P).

Total flavonoid content

The total flavonoid content (TFC) of all the four extracts of Physalis minima were determined by using the aluminium chloride colorimetric method and reported in terms of quercetin equivalents per gram dry weight of extract (table 1). Ethyl acetate extract (158.8±2.30 mg QE/g dry weight) showed the highest amount of flavonoid content followed by chloroform (118.07±2.14 mg QE/g DW), methanol (90.64±1.93 mg QE/g DW) and hexane extract (56.66±0.80 mg QE/g DW). The results of the analytical method were validated by linear correlation comparison and the R^2 value of 0.995 (fig. 2).

Fig. 1: Total phenolic content (TPC) in various solvent extracts of Physalis minima

Fig. 2: Total flavonoid content (TFC) in various solvent extracts of Physalis minima
Free radicals scavenging effect on DPPH assay

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of plant extracts and standards increased with increase in concentration. The lower IC₅₀ value indicates higher antioxidant activity. Among all the extracts methanol (280.23±5.75 µg/ml) has the lowest IC₅₀ value followed by ethyl acetate (487.53±8.71 µg/ml), chloroform (571.70±6.86 µg/ml) and hexane (5431.46±68.70 µg/ml). The further IC₅₀ value of methanol was less than the IC₅₀ value of BHT (458.10±33.09 µg/ml) but was not significantly lower than the IC₅₀ of ascorbic acid (6.11±0.44 µg/ml). Antioxidant activity of remaining extracts was significantly lower than that of standards (table 2 and fig. 3).

### Table 2: DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activity of standards and plant extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Extractions</th>
<th>% of Inhibitory effect (IC₅₀; µg/ml) DPPH</th>
<th>% of Inhibitory effect (IC₅₀; µg/ml) ABTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.11±0.44</td>
<td>3.65±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>458.10±33.09*</td>
<td>6.57±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>280.23±5.75*</td>
<td>173.40±0.38*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>487.53±8.71*</td>
<td>226.16±4.19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>571.70±6.86*</td>
<td>263.63±19.96*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>5431.46±68.70*</td>
<td>2400.73±21.20*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data represent the mean±SD of the three determinants and level of significance; *P<0.05 vs Physalis minima and ascorbic acid was used as control for statistical significance analysis.

### Table 3: Correlation matrix of total phenolic, flavonoid content and antioxidant activity measured by three in vitro assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total phenolic content</th>
<th>Total flavonoid content</th>
<th>DPPH</th>
<th>ABTS</th>
<th>FRAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total phenolic content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.867</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flavonoid content</td>
<td>-0.867</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH</td>
<td>-0.717</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.968*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td>-0.890</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level of significance; *P<0.05

There was no significant correlation between antioxidant capacity determined by ABTS and total flavonoid content (r=0.574, P>0.05), total phenolic content (r=0.867, P<0.05) and FRAP (r=0.591, P<0.05) assay and were noted in table 3.

Free radical scavenging ability by the use of a stable ABTS radical cation assay

Free radicals scavenging activity of the plant extracts and standards increased in a concentration-dependent manner and the results were demonstrated in the fig. 4 and table 2.

The decreasing order of ABTS scavenging activity of different extracts was methanol (173.40±0.38 µg/ml)>ethyl acetate (226.16±4.19 µg/ml)>chloroform (263.63±19.96 µg/ml) and>hexane (2400.73±21.20 µg/ml).

The antioxidant activity of plant extract mixture was significantly lower than that of ascorbic acid (3.65±0.26 µg/ml) and BHT (6.57±0.57 µg/ml).

### Table 3: Correlation matrix of total phenolic, flavonoid content and antioxidant activity measured by three in vitro assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total phenolic content</th>
<th>Total flavonoid content</th>
<th>DPPH</th>
<th>ABTS</th>
<th>FRAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total phenolic content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.867</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flavonoid content</td>
<td>-0.867</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH</td>
<td>-0.717</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.968*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td>-0.890</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant correlation between antioxidant capacity determined by ABTS and total flavonoid content (r=0.574, P>0.05), total phenolic content (r=0.867, P<0.05) and FRAP (r=0.591, P<0.05) assay and were noted in table 3.

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) activity assay

The results of FRAP assay obtained with different plant extracts of Physalis minima were shown in fig. 5. At varied concentrations of FeSO₄ (100-500 µg/ml), the reducing activity followed the order of chloroform extract>ethyl acetate extract>methanol extract>hexane extract when compared to standards ascorbic acid and BHT.

The above results clearly indicate that chloroform extract has the high reducing activity when compared to other solvent extracts at various concentrations. The results of the analytical method were validated by linear correlation comparison of ferrous sulphate and the R² value of 0.996 was obtained.

Ferric reducing the antioxidant power of plant extracts was neither significantly correlated with total flavonoid content (r=0.808,
P>0.05) nor total phenolic content (r=-0.890, P>0.05) assay and were noted in table 3.

**Screening for antimicrobial activity**

Antimicrobial activity of *Physalis minima* plant extracts was evaluated against different human pathogens. The results were represented in the table 4. The zone of inhibition ranges from 13-19 mm for methanol extract against all the pathogens. The ethyl acetate extract showed inhibition zone diameters of 13-35 mm.

For chloroform extract zone of inhibition ranged from 20-35 mm. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were completely inhibited by chloroform extract. Hexane extract showed the minimum zone of inhibitions ranging from 9-13 mm compared to rest of the extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of microorganisms</th>
<th>ME (mm)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>EA (mm)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>CH (mm)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>HE (mm)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Ceftriaxone (mm)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>13±</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>23±</td>
<td>135.3</td>
<td>30±</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>11±</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>17±</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>13±</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>13±</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>33±</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td>10±</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>18±</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>19±</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>35±</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>30±</td>
<td>142.9</td>
<td>13±</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>21±</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus vulgaris</em></td>
<td>14±</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>22±</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>09±</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>18±</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>14±</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>20±</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>25±</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>10±</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>33±</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HE = Hexane, CH = Chloroform, EA = Ethyl acetate, ME = Methanol and IC = Isolated colony. Inhibitory zones in mm, represented as mean±SD values (n= 3). Percentage inhibition included.

The minimum inhibitory concentrations and minimum bactericidal concentrations were determined and noted in table 5. MIC of the *Physalis minima* plant extracts range from 0.4-5.0 mg/ml for *Staphylococcus aureus*, 1.0-4.0 mg/ml for *Escherichia coli*, 1.0-2.0 mg/ml for *Proteus vulgaris*, in case of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* it range from 0.125-0.5 mg/ml and it is 1.0-4.0 mg/ml for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The MBC of the plant extracts range from 4.0-8.0 mg/ml for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. For *Klebsiella pneumoniae* it range from 0.25-1.0 mg/ml, for *Proteus vulgaris* it was 4.0 mg/ml and for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* range from 4.0 to 8.0 mg/ml. The plant extracts confirmed antibacterial activity towards all the pathogenic species. All the extracts showed equal inhibition of the tested pathogens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Different solvent extracts (mg/ml)</th>
<th>ME (MIC)</th>
<th>MBC</th>
<th>EA (MIC)</th>
<th>MBC</th>
<th>CH (MIC)</th>
<th>MBC</th>
<th>HE (MIC)</th>
<th>MBC</th>
<th>Ceftriaxone (MIC)</th>
<th>MBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. vulgaris</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HE = Hexane, CH = Chloroform, EA = Ethyl acetate, ME = Methanol and MIC = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration, MBC = Minimum Bactericidal Concentration. The table represents the best MIC and MBC value among the three repeats.
DISCUSSION

Medicinal plants were of great importance to the health of individuals and communities [22]. Phytochemical analysis conducted on the plant extracts revealed the presence of constituents which are known to exhibit medicinal as well as physiological activities. Several studies have described the antioxidant properties of different parts of various medicinal plants which are rich in phenolic compounds [23]. Natural antioxidants mainly come from plants in the form of phenolic compounds, such as flavonoids, phenolic acids and tocopherols etc [24].

Plant-derived phenolic compounds include phenolic acids, flavonoids, tannins and less common stilbenes and lignans [25, 26]. They are a rich source of antioxidants. Phenolics act as antioxidants by scavenging radical species, chelating trace metals like Cu2+ or Fe2+ that are responsible for free radical production [27]. Total phenolics are considered as more powerful antioxidants than vitamin C and E and carotenoids in vitro. Phenolic compounds reduce free radicals by rapidly donating hydrogen atoms and thereby breaking the chain of reaction that lead to free radical formation [28]. Hexane extract contains the highest amount of phenolic compounds followed by methanol, chloroform and ethyl acetate extract. Total phenolic content was more in non-polar solvents than in polar solvent.

Flavonoids are hydroxylated phenolic substances known to be synthesized by plants in response to microbial infection and they have been found to be antimicrobial substances against a wide array of microorganisms in vitro [29, 33]. The antioxidative properties of flavonoids are due to several different mechanisms, such as scavenging of free radicals, chelation of metal ions, such as iron and copper and inhibition of enzymes responsible for a free radical generation [27]. The ethyl acetate extract has great free radical scavenging property and also contains liberal amount of flavonoid compound followed by chloroform, methanol and hexane extract. The total flavonoid content was more in polar solvents than in non-polar solvents.

Several mechanisms have been proposed by which antioxidants act against free radicals [30, 34, 35]. Some of the mechanisms are by donating a hydrogen atom or electron to radical, scavenging free radical, chelating metal ions, inhibiting β-carotene bleaching and quenching singlet oxygen. Based on the chemical reactions involved, major antioxidants assay method can be divided into two types. They are hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) and single electron transfer (ET). Each assay specifically detects any one of the ability of antioxidant. Therefore it was necessary to use more than one type of antioxidant assays [30, 31].

In the present study, the antioxidant activity of plant extracts was determined in vitro with different assays like DPPH, ABTS radical scavenging and FRAP assays. Among these assay, DPPH and FRAP assays are ET based assays. On the other hand ABTS radical scavenging assays measure the ability of an antioxidant to transfer hydrogen [HAT] to destabilise the free radicals there by neutralizing it [30, 27]. Antioxidant properties were evaluated and lower IC50 values indicate higher antioxidant activity. Ethyl acetate extract showed the highest activity and hexane extract showed the least activity.

As Physalis minimum was found to give the most powerful antimicrobial extract, the antimicrobial effectiveness of plants was supposed to be due to the presence of phenolic compounds, tannins, flavonoids and essential oils [32, 36]. It was exciting to a memorandum that even crude extracts of these plants demonstrated good quality activity against multidrug resistant strains. The present studies revealed that all the plant extracts had inhibited the growth of Klebsiella pneumoniae, Ershchirica coli, Pseudomonas aerugionosa, Proteus vulgaris and Staphylococcus aureus.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of results observed in the present study, it was clear that hexane and ethyl acetate extract of Physalis minima leaf and stem contains an abundant amount of phenolic and flavonoid compounds which possess high antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities. The antimicrobial properties of the plant in the current study are mainly due to presence of large amounts of flavonoid components. The phytochemical constituents of this plant may be responsible for their efficacy in the treatment of skin diseases. Further work has to be carried out to isolate, purify and characterize the phyto constituents of this plant responsible for bioactive study.
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