

Original Article

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY AND PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF SERIAL EXTRACTS FROM LEAVES AND FRUIT OF *AEGLE MARMELLOS* AND *CARICA PAPAYA*

JAISHREE SHARMA, PADMA KUMAR

Laboratory of Plant Tissue Culture and Secondary Metabolites, Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur (302004)
Email: sharmajaishri33@gmail.com

Received: 25 May 2017 Revised and Accepted: 02 Nov 2017

ABSTRACT

Objective: The present study was carried out to evaluate the *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of water, methanolic and pet ether extracts from leaves and fruit of *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya*.

Methods: Crude extract of leaves and fruit of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya* were prepared for series of polar solvents by hot extraction method in soxhlet then the extracts were first screened for its antimicrobial activity by "Disc Diffusion Assay" against medically important bacteria, plant pathogen and fungi. Fractions showing activities were then used to determine MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) and MBC/MFC (minimum bactericidal and fungicidal concentration) by broth dilution and total activity were also calculated.

Result: *Aegle marmelos* shows comparatively significant antimicrobial activity than *Carica papaya*. However antimicrobial activity was found both solvent and organism dependent. Almost all the extracts of *Aegle marmelos* show an inhibitory effect against most of the test organism whereas the test extracts *Carica papaya* show an inhibitory effect against the very few test organisms. Lowest MIC values 0.0195 mg/ml were recorded against *Rouletella planticola* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* indicate the significant antimicrobial potential of the test extracts. The high value of TA was recorded against *Rouletella planticola* in both the plants. Data were analyzed by one way ANNOVA and values were considered significant at P<0.05

Conclusion: The MIC and MBC/MFC values of *Aegle marmelos* showed its broad antimicrobial potential and is promising in the development of phytomedicine for antimicrobial properties then *Carica papaya*.

Keywords: *Aegle marmelos*, *Carica papaya*, Antimicrobial activity, Minimum inhibitory concentration, Minimum bactericidal concentration, Minimum fungicidal concentration Phytomedicine.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijpps.2017v9i12.20228>

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics are promising in the treatment of microbial infection since their introduction but the inadequate and indiscriminate use of its leading to the selection of multi-resistant strains. Nowadays, synthetic antimicrobials are less effective to act against microorganism due to development of resistant to microorganism against commonly used antibiotics. The antimicrobial potential of plant extracts and essential oils is intended to delay this process through the emergence of new antimicrobial substances [1]. Therefore, the screening of medicinal plants is required for the development of novel agents advocating good efficacy against pathogenic microorganism with minimal side effects. For the present study, *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya* were examined for antimicrobial attributes.

Aegle marmelos Linn. From Rutaceae is one such plant which is commonly known as Beal (wood apple plant) and is a sacred tree in Hindu mythology. Leaves, fruit, stem and root of this tree at all stages of maturity are used as ethno medicines against various human ailments [2]. Previous studies on *Aegle marmelos* [3-11] concluded to exhibit antidiabetic, antiulcer, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antimalarial, anticancer, anti-hyperlipidemic, anti-spermatogenic effects on various animal models by the crude extracts of this plant. *Carica papaya* belongs to family Caricaceae is an important medicinal plant. Fruit, leaves, the bark of this plant are used as medicine for treatment of various diseases like warts, constipation, amenorrhea, sinuses, eczema, glandular tumors, blood pressure, dyspepsia, cancer cell growth, diabetes, malaria, expel worms and stimulate reproductive organs, syphilis and gonorrhoea [12-13].

A known set of eight pathogens were selected to examine the antimicrobial activity of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya*. *S. aureus* is a commensal found on the skin as well as in the nose and throat of a human. It causes a range of infections, from minor skin infections

to abscesses, endocarditis and sepsis, cause of food poisoning induced by heat resistant enterotoxin A and it is one of the leading cause of nosocomial infections [14]. The *Rouletella planticola* is an environmental organism which causes infection such as bacteraemia [15], soft tissue infection [16], pancreatitis [17] and urinary tract infection [18]. *Agrobacterium* is a serious pathogen of walnuts, grape vines, and stone fruit. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic bacterium migrates from its natural environment, colonizing and infecting a wide range of organisms [19-20] and it is hard to treat it due to its ability to acquire resistance against multiple classes of antibiotics [21]. *Aspergillus flavus* cause invasive and non-invasive aspergillosis [22]. *Trichophyton mentagrophyte* requires keratin for growth and it can cause a variety of cutaneous infections in humans and animals which is considered to be anthropophilic or zoophilic in nature [23-25]. A comparative study of the antimicrobial activity was examined in terms of a zone of inhibition, activity index, minimum bactericidal concentration, minimum fungicidal concentration and total activity of an extract of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya* in three different solvents is presented in this manuscript.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and identification of plants

Leaves and fruit of *A. marmelos* and *Carica papaya* were collected from the campus of University of Rajasthan Jaipur (INDIA). Plants were identified by the senior taxonomist at Department of Botany, the University of Rajasthan and (voucher specimen no. of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya* are RUBL211335 and RUBL211336 respectively) were submitted in 'Herbarium', Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan.

Test pathogens

Total eight pathogens were screened of which Six bacteria and two fungi were selected which include *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC-

3610), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC-1934), *Bacillus subtilis* (MTCC-121), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (MTCC-4030), *Agrobacterium tumifacian* (MTCC-431), *Rouletella planticola* (MTCC-530), *Aspergillus flavus* (MTCC-277) and *Trycophyton mentegrophyte* (MTCC7687). The pathogens were procured from IMTECH, Chandigarh (INDIA). Bacterial strains were grown and maintained on Muller-Hinton agar medium and the fungal strain was grown and maintained on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar medium.

Extract preparation

The crude extract of leaves and fruit of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya* were prepared for a series of solvents (water, methanol and pet ether) by hot extraction method [26] in soxhlet. Extracts were then first screened for its antimicrobial activity by "Disc Diffusion Assay" against medically important bacteria, plant pathogen and fungi fractions. These were further used to determine MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) and MBC/MFC (minimum bactericidal concentration/minimum fungicidal concentration) by broth dilution.

Drugs and chemical

Streptomycin and ketoconazole and terbinafine were used as standard antibiotics for bacteria and fungi, respectively whereas petroleum ether, methanol and water used for extract preparation. Mueller-Hinton agar was used for bacteria and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar was used for fungi.

Antimicrobial assay

'Disc Diffusion Assay' method [27-28] was employed for antimicrobial screening of test extracts. The bacteria (1×10^8 CFU/ml) and fungi (1×10^7 CFU/ml) were cultured in sterilized distilled water. Muller-Hinton agar and Sabouraud dextrose agar media were used for bacteria and fungi, respectively. These media were prepared and autoclaved at 15 lbs pressure for 20 minutes for sterilization. The prepared media was poured into sterilized Petri plates and was cooled for solidification. The solidified media plates were seeded with the prepared culture suspensions. Sterilized filter paper discs of 6 mm diameter (Whatman no.1) were impregnated with 100 μ l of an extract of 10 mg/ml concentration to give a final concentration of 1 mg/Disc. These discs were left to dry in vacuo to remove residual solvent. The extract discs were placed on the seeded media plates along with discs impregnated with standard drugs (streptomycin for bacteria, ketoconazol for *T. mentagrophyte* and terbinafine for *A. flavus*) in the same (1 mg/disc) concentration. These plates were kept at 4 °C for 1 h for the diffusion of extracts into the media and thereafter were incubated at 37 °C \pm 2 °C for 24 h for bacteria and at 27 °C \pm 2 °C for 48 h for fungi. However, *T. mentagrophyte* was kept at 27 °C \pm 2 °C for 5-7 d. Zone of inhibitions (IZ) produced by the extracts around the discs was measured and the 'Activity Index' (AI) was calculated by the established formula. The experiment was performed three times to minimize the error and the mean values were recorded for the final estimation of the resultant values.

Activity Index (AI)

$$= \text{Inhibition zone of the } \frac{\text{sample}}{\text{Inhibition}} \text{ zone of the standard.}$$

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)/minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC)

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was estimated for each plant extract showing antimicrobial activity against the given test pathogens. Broth microdilution method [29] was followed by determination of MIC values. Plant extracts were then re-suspended in acetone (acetone has no activity against test microorganisms) to make a final concentration of 10 mg/ml. Two-fold serially diluted extracts were added to broth media of 96 wells of microtitre plates. Thereafter, a 100 μ l inoculum of bacteria was added to each well. Bacterial suspensions were used as negative control, while broth containing standard drug was used as positive control. Microtitre plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Each extract was assayed in triplicate and each time two sets of microtitre plates were prepared, one was kept for incubation and another was kept at 4 °C for comparing the turbidity in the well of a microtitre plate. The MIC values were taken as the lowest concentration of the extracts in the well of a microtiter plate that show no turbidity after incubation. The turbidity of the well in the microtiter plate was interpreted as the visible growth of the microorganism. The minimum bactericidal and fungicidal concentration was determined by subculturing 50 μ l from each well. The least concentration of extract showing no visible growth on subculturing was taken as MBC and MFC.

Total activity determination

Total activity is the volume up to which test extract can be diluted without losing the ability to kill microorganisms. It is calculated by dividing the amount of extract from 1g plant material by the MIC of the same extract or compound isolated and is expressed in ml/g [30].

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n=3). Data were analyzed by one way ANNOVA and values were considered significant at P<0.05.

RESULTS

Antimicrobial potency of serial extracts viz; water, methanol and pet ether was assessed using various parameters and quantity of extracts per gram of plant material. In the present study, six extracts were screened and all the extracts were found to be active against one or the other test pathogen. The results and the assessment of the antimicrobial potential of the serial extracts water, methanol and pet ether extract from leaf and fruit of the *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya* show significant activity against tested pathogens (table 1, 2, 3). This study suggests the insignificant activity of pet ether extracts from both of the plants against all test pathogens.

A. tumifacians and *T. mentegrophyte* were found to be more resistant because none of the extracts shows activity against these two except water extracts of the fruit of *Carica papaya*. The aqueous extract of leaf of *A. marmelos* exhibits highest IZ value of 29.3 \pm 0.9 mm against *R. planticola* followed by an aqueous extract of the fruit of *A. marmelos* exhibiting IZ value of 29 \pm 2.82 mm against *K. pneumoniae*. The aqueous extract of leaf and fruit of *C. papaya* does not show significant potential for these two pathogens with lower values 14.9 \pm 2.6 mm and 19.55 \pm .636 mm for *R. planticola* and *K. pneumoniae* respectively.

Table 1.1: Antimicrobial activity of different solvent extracts of leaves *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya*

| Pathogens | Extracts of leaves of <i>A. marmelos</i> | | | | | | Extracts of leaves of <i>C. papaya</i> | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------|----------------|------|----------------|------|--|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------|-----|---|
| | Water | | Methanol | | Pet ether | | Water | | Methanol | | Pet ether | | |
| | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | 15.5 \pm 0.1 | 0.5 | 12.9 \pm 0.1 | 0.42 | 9.2 \pm 0.3 | 0.3 | 9.6 \pm 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | - | - | 7.5 \pm 0.7 | 0.3 | 9.5 \pm 2.1 | 0.4 | - | - | 9.8 \pm 0.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| <i>A. tumifacian</i> | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>K. pneumoniae</i> | 13.5 \pm 3.5 | 0.56 | 7.6 \pm 0.8 | 0.32 | 9.5 \pm 0.85 | 0.39 | 17.5 \pm .7 | 0.6 | - | - | 10.065 \pm .1 | 0.7 | - |
| <i>R. planticola</i> | 29.3 \pm 0.9 | 1.04 | - | - | - | - | 14.9 \pm 2 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | 8.5 \pm 0.7 | 0.3 | 8.5 \pm 0.7 | 0.33 | 9 \pm 1.41 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 8.65 \pm .5 | 0.6 | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> | - | - | 7.5 \pm 0.3 | 0.4 | 8.45 \pm 0.4 | - | - | - | 7.1 \pm 0.4 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| <i>T. mentegrophyte</i> | 7 \pm 0.1 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

All values are mean \pm SD; n=3; IZ= Inhibition zone in mm (mean value; include 6 mm diameter of disc), AI= Activity Index (IZ developed by extract/IZ developed by standard), (-) = No activity

Among all the test extracts of *C. papaya*, aqueous extracts were found to be most active against *K. pneumoniae* than any other tested pathogens. Water and methanolic extracts from both the plants were found most bioactive metabolite as antimicrobial activity was recorded against most of the tested pathogens. MIC and MBC/MFC values (table 2) were calculated from the plant extracts which were found active against the test pathogens. The MIC value ranges from 0.625-0.0195 mg/ml, MBC

and MFC values ranges from 1.25-0.039 mg/ml and 0.625-0.156 mg/ml, respectively. The lowest MIC value 0.0078 mg/ml was recorded against *R. planticola* and *K. pneumoniae* indicates the significant antimicrobial potential of test extracts. The quantity of extracts per gram of the plant material and TA (table 3) were calculated. The high value of TA was recorded against *R. planticola* followed by *K. pneumoniae* which shows their broad antimicrobial potential.

Table 1.2: Antimicrobial activity of different solvent extracts of fruit *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya*

| Pathogens | Extracts of fruit of <i>A. Marmelos</i> | | | | | Extracts of fruit of <i>C. papaya</i> | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------|------------|-------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|---|---|
| | Water | | Methanol | | Petether | Water | | Methanol | | Petether | | |
| | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | IZ | AI | | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | 20.35±0.49 | 0.64 | 17±2.83 | 0.53 | 17.5±2.12 | 0.54 | 9.85±0.21 | 0.392 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | 11.5±2.12 | 0.52 | 10±1.41 | 0.45 | - | - | 9.8±0.283 | 0.426 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>A. tumifacian</i> | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11.9±0.1414 | 0.566 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>K. pneumoniae</i> | 29±2.82 | 0.90 | - | - | 8.5±2.12 | 0.26 | 19.55±0.636 | 0.630 | 7.5±0.72 | 0.242 | - | - |
| <i>R. planticola</i> | 25.15±0.21 | 0.93 | 15.5±0.71 | 0.57 | 7±0.14 | 0.25 | 9.9±0.141 | 0.412 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | 10.85±0.21 | 0.37 | 16±0.21 | 0.70 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> | - | - | 9.35±0.49 | 0.467 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>T. mentegrophyte</i> | - | - | 7.25±0.353 | 0.362 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

All values are mean±SD; n=3; IZ= Inhibition zone in mm (mean value; include 6 mm diameter of disc), AI= Activity Index (IZ developed by extract/IZ developed by standard), (-) = No activity

Table 2.1: MIC and MBC/MFC of active leaf extracts of *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya*

| Pathogens | Extracts of leaf of <i>A. marmelos</i> | | | | Extracts of leaf of <i>C. papaya</i> | | |
|-------------------------|--|----------|-----------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | Water | Methanol | Pet ether | Water | Methanol | Pet ether | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | MIC | 0.156 | 0.156 | 0.312 | 0.312 | - | |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | MBC | 0.312 | 0.312 | 0.625 | 0.625 | - | |
| | MIC | - | 0.625 | 0.312 | - | 0.312 | |
| <i>A. tumifacian</i> | MBC | - | 1.25 | 0.625 | - | 0.625 | |
| | MIC | - | - | - | - | - | |
| <i>K. pneumoniae</i> | MBC | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | MIC | 0.156 | 0.625 | 0.312 | 0.078 | - | |
| <i>R. planticola</i> | MBC | 0.312 | 1.25 | 0.625 | 0.156 | - | |
| | MIC | 0.0195 | - | - | 0.156 | - | |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | MBC | 0.039 | - | - | 0.312 | - | |
| | MIC | 0.312 | 0.312 | 0.312 | - | - | |
| <i>A. flavus</i> | MBC | 0.625 | 0.625 | 0.625 | - | - | |
| | MIC | - | 0.625 | 0.312 | - | 0.625 | |
| <i>T. mentegrophyte</i> | MFC | - | 1.25 | 0.625 | - | 1.25 | |
| | MIC | 0.625 | - | - | - | - | |
| | MFC | 1.25 | - | - | - | - | |

MIC = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (mg/ml), MBC = Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (mg/ml), MFC = Minimum fungicidal Concentration (mg/ml)

Table 2.2: MIC and MBC/MFC of active fruit extracts of *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya*

| Pathogens | Extracts of fruit of <i>A. marmelos</i> | | | Extracts of fruit of <i>C. papaya</i> | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Water | Methanol | Pet ether | Water | Methanol | Pet ether |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | MIC | 0.039 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.312 | - |
| | MBC | 0.078 | 0.156 | 0.156 | 0.625 | - |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | MIC | 0.156 | 0.312 | - | 0.312 | - |
| | MBC | 0.312 | 0.625 | - | 0.625 | - |
| <i>A. tumifacian</i> | MIC | - | - | - | 0.156 | - |
| | MBC | - | - | - | 0.312 | - |
| <i>K. pneumoniae</i> | MIC | 0.0195 | - | 0.625 | 0.078 | 0.625 |
| | MBC | 0.039 | - | 1.25 | 0.156 | 1.25 |
| <i>R. planticola</i> | MIC | 0.0195 | 0.156 | 0.625 | 0.312 | - |
| | MBC | 0.039 | 0.312 | 1.25 | 0.625 | - |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | MIC | 0.312 | 0.156 | - | - | - |
| | MBC | 0.625 | 0.312 | - | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> | MIC | - | 0.312 | - | - | - |
| | MFC | - | 0.625 | - | - | - |
| <i>T. mentegrophyte</i> | MIC | - | 0.625 | - | - | - |
| | MFC | - | 1.25 | - | - | - |

MIC = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (mg/ml), MBC = Minimum Bactericidal (mg/ml), MFC = Minimum fungicidal Concentration (mg/ml)

Table 3.1: Total activity of active leaf extracts of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya*

| Extracts | Quantity of extracts/g dry weight mg/ml | Total activity mg/g | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | <i>B. s</i> | <i>S. a</i> | <i>A. t</i> | <i>K. p</i> | <i>R. p</i> | <i>P. a</i> | <i>A. f</i> | <i>T. m</i> |
| <i>A. marmellos</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| W | 150 | 961.53 | 961.53 | - | 961.53 | 7692.30 | 480.76 | - | 240 |
| M | 65 | 416.66 | 208.33 | - | 104 | - | 208.33 | 104 | - |
| P | 11 | 35.25 | - | - | 35.25 | - | 35.25 | 35.25 | - |
| <i>C. papaya</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| W | 112 | 358.97 | - | - | 1436 | 718 | - | - | - |
| M | 282 | - | 903.84 | - | - | - | - | 451.2 | - |
| P | 12 | - | - | - | 38.46 | - | 38.46 | - | - |

Total activity= Extract per gram dried plant part/MIC, *B. s.*-*Bacillus subtilis*, *S. a.*-*Staphylococcus aureus*, *A. t.*-*Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *K. p.*-*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *R. p.*-*Rouletellapla nticolla*, *P. a.*-*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *A. f.*-*Aspergillus flavus*, *T. m.*-*Trichophyton mentegrophyte*, W-Water, M-Methanol, P-Pet ether

Table 3.2: Total activity of active fruit extracts of *Aegle marmelos* and *Carica papaya*

| Extracts | Quantity of extracts mg/g dry weight | Total activity mg/g | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | <i>B. s</i> | <i>S. a</i> | <i>A. t</i> | <i>K. p</i> | <i>R. p</i> | <i>P. a</i> | <i>A. f</i> | <i>T. m</i> |
| <i>A. marmelos</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| W | 36 | 923.07 | 231 | - | 1846.2 | 1846.2 | 115.4 | - | - |
| M | 10 | 128.20 | 115.38 | - | - | 64.10 | 64.10 | 32.05 | 16 |
| P | 0.5 | 6.41 | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | - | - |
| <i>C. papaya</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| W | 76 | 244 | 244 | 487.17 | 974.35 | 244 | - | - | - |
| M | 6.2 | - | - | - | 9.92 | - | - | - | - |
| P | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Total activity= Extract per gram dried plant part/MIC, *B. s.*-*Bacillus subtilis*, *S. a.*-*Staphylococcus aureus*, *A. t.*-*Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *K. p.*-*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *R. p.*-*Rouletellaplanticolla*, *P. a.*-*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *A. f.*-*Aspergillus flavus*, *T. m.*-*Trichophyton mentegrophyte*, W-Water, M-Methanol, P-Pet ether

DISCUSSION

The increase in microbial resistance specifically in treatment failure is directly responsible for the current increase morbidity and mortality associated with microbial infections. The use of antibiotics that once regarded as one of the biggest discovery of the 20th century is effective on saving many lives against bacterial infection. Unfortunately, uncontrolled use of antibiotics, caused from either patients or prescriptions made without cell cultures analyses, increased the resistance of bacteria [31-32]. Plants can synthesize and preserve a variety of bioactive compounds which are medically important. World health organization reported that more than 80% of the world population depends on medicinal plants to meet their primary health care need [33]. In India, medicinal plants used as folk remedies and in pharmacological preparation and they are effective in the treatment of infectious diseases while simultaneously mitigating the side effect that is often associated with commonly used antibiotics [34]. The use of medicinal herbs is still a tradition adopted by ethnic communities who are living in undulating plains and at the foothills of dense forest [35]. Hence continuous investigation of plants for their medicinal properties are required for the discovery of new drugs. The present study aims to investigate the comparative analysis of antimicrobial properties of *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya*. *A. marmelos* and *C. papaya* have been previously studied for their antimicrobial activity but the literature available is meager. In the present study, we have found that some of the test extracts showed broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity while some extracts showed negative results. The basis of a varying degree of sensitivity of the test microbes against extracts may be due to the intrinsic tolerance of microorganism and the nature and combination of bioactive compounds present in the crude extracts. The experimental observations confirmed the dependency of antimicrobial activity on both solvent and organism. The literature survey on antimicrobial activity of extracts of both plant yielded only a few reports which detailed mostly on the crude extracts without estimation of MIC, MBC and TA values. The low value of MIC indicates the strong bioefficacy of the plants and hence estimation of these value carries critical importance. In the present investigation IZ, AI, MIC, MBC and TA of each extract were determined and the results were compared for both of the plants. The finding of the present investigation offers a scientific evidence to support that the

phyto-compounds are promising in the development of alternative medicines and extracts of *A. marmelos* shows comparatively higher antimicrobial potential than the extracts of *C. papaya*.

CONCLUSION

A. marmelos and *C. Papaya* are the important medicinal plants and are a rich source of bioactive compounds. However, an in-depth study has not been carried out on their biological activity. Therefore, an extensive investigation is needed to exploit bioactive compound from both of the plants for medicinal purpose. Results of the present study evidenced antimicrobial properties of extracts of *A. marmelos* and *C. Papaya* which might be helpful in preventing the development of various diseases and can be used as an alternative system of medicine.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Botany and University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for providing necessary facilities.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

The intent, experimental part of the work and writing of the manuscript was done by the first author Ms Jaishree. The intent of the work and the correction of the manuscript was done by the corresponding author prof. Padma Kumar

ABBREVIATION

millilitre-ml, microliter-ul, millimetre-mm, milligram-mg, gram-g, temperature-°C, hours-h

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

We declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

1. Weber LD, Pinto FGS, Scur MC, Souza JGL, Costa WF, Leite CW. Chemical composition and antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of essential oil and various plant extracts from *Prunus myrtifolia*. Afr J Agric Res 2014;9:846-53.
2. Badam L, Bedekar SS, Sonawane KB, Joshi SP. *In vitro* antiviral activity of beal (corr) upon human coxsackie viruse. J Common Dis 2002;34:88-99.

3. Kamalakkannan N, Stanely Mainzen Prince P. Anti-hyperlipidemic effect of *Aegle marmelos* fruit extract in streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats. *J Sci Food Agric* 2005;85:569-73.
4. Arul V, Miyazaki S, Dhananjayan R. Studies on the anti-inflammatory, antipyretic and analgesic properties of the leaves of *Aegle marmelos* Corr. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2005;96:159-63.
5. Jagetia GC, Venkatesh P, Baliga MS. *Aegle marmelos* (L.) Correa inhibits the proliferation of transplanted Ehrlich ascites carcinoma in mice. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2005;28:58-64.
6. Kamalakkannan N, Prince PSM. Hypoglycaemic effect of water extracts of *Aegle marmelos* fruits in streptozotocin diabetic rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2003;87:207-10.
7. Rajadurai M, Padmanabhan M, Prince PSM. Effect of *Aegle marmelos* leaf extract and alpha-tocopherol on lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in isoproterenol-induced myocardial infarction in rats. *Cardiology* 2005;46:40-5.
8. Sabu MC, Kuttan R. Antidiabetic activity of *Aegle marmelos* and its relationship with its antioxidant properties. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol* 2004;48:81-8.
9. Saradha Jyothi K, Subba Rao B. Antibacterial activity of extracts from *Aegle marmelos* against standard pathogenic bacterial strains. *Int J Pharm Tech Res* 2010;2:1824-6.
10. Sur TK, Pandit S, Pramanik T. Antispermatozoic activity of leaves of *Aegle marmelos* corr. in albino rats: a preliminary report. *Biomedicine* 1999;19:199-202.
11. Yen GC, Duh PD, Tsai CL. Relationship between antioxidant activity and maturity of peanut hulls. *J Agric Food Chem* 1993;5:67-70.
12. Aevind G, Debjit B, Duraivel S, Harish G. Traditional and medicinal uses of *Carica papaya*. *J Med Plants Stud* 2013;1:7-15.
13. Sinhalagoda LCAD, Susuji W, Roshitha NW, Rajapakse PVJR, Senanayake AMK. Does *Carica papaya* increase the platelets count? An experimental study in a murine model. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2013;7:720-4.
14. Lowy FD. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:520-32.
15. Hu AY, Leslie KA, Baskette J, Elsayed S. *Raoultella planticola* bacteraemia. *J Med Microbiol* 2012;61:1488-9.
16. O'Connell K, Kelly J, Niriain UA. A rare case of soft-tissue infection caused by *Raoultella planticola*. *Case Rep Med* 2010. Doi:10.1155/2010/134086.
17. Alves MS, Riley LW, Moreira BM. A case of severe pancreatitis complicated by *Raoultella planticola* infection. *J Med Microbiol* 2007;56:696-8.
18. Olson DS Jr, Asare K, Lyons M, Hofinger DM. A novel case of *Raoultella planticola* urinary tract infection. *Infection* 2013;41:259-61.
19. Pirnay JP, Bilocq F, Pot B, Cornelis P, Zizi M, Van Eldere J, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* population structure revisited. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e7740.
20. Silby MW, Winstanley C, Godfrey SA, Levy SB, Jackson RW. *Pseudomonas* genomes: diverse and adaptable. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2011;35:652-80.
21. Bonomo RA, Szabo D. Mechanisms of multidrug resistance in *Acinetobacter* species and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43:S49-56.
22. Hedayati MT, Pasqualotto AC, Warn PA, Bowyer P, Denning DW. *Aspergillus flavus*: human pathogen, allergen and mycotoxin producer. *Microbiology* 2007;153:1677-92.
23. Saferstein HL, Blank F. Tinea corporis caused by *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* var. *granulosum*. *Mycopathology* 1967;31:267-72.
24. Van Rooij P, Detandt M, Nolard. *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* of rabbit various extracts of *Centaurea ciliaris* A. Duran and H. Duman. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 2006;4:608-12.
25. Sanchez-Castellanos ME, Mayrga-Rodriguez JA, Sandoval-Tress C, Hernandez-Torres M. Tinea incognita due to *Trichophyton mentagrophyte*. *Mycoses* 2007;50:85-7.
26. Harborne JB. *Phytochemical methods*, Chapman and Hall 2edn. London, Newyork; 1984. p. 5-6.
27. Andrews JM. BSAC standardized disc susceptibility testing method. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2001;4:43-57.
28. Gould JC, Bowie JH. The determination of bacterial of the sensitivity of antibiotics. *Edinberg Med J* 1952;59:178-99.
29. Basri DF, Fan SH. The potential of aqueous and acetone extracts of gall of *Quercus infectoria* as antibacterial agents. *Indian J Pharmacol* 2005;37:26-9.
30. Eloff JN. Qualifying the bioactivity of plant extracts during screening and bioassay-guided fractionation. *Phytomedicine* 2004;113:70-1.
31. Eloff JN. On expressing the antibacterial activity of plant extracts-a small first step in applying scientific knowledge to rural primary health care. *S Afr J Sci* 2000;96:116-8.
32. Peterson LR, Dalhoff A. Towards targeted prescribing: will the cure for antimicrobial resistance be specific, directed therapy through improved diagnostic testing? *J Antimicro Chemother* 2004;53:902-5.
33. WHO traditional medicine: growing need and potential on medicines. p. 1, World health Organization, Geneva; 2002.
34. Iwu MW, Duncan AR, Okunji CO. New antimicrobials of plant origin. In: Janick J, Ed. *Perspectives on New Crops and New Uses*, ASHS Press: Alexandria; 1999. p. 457-62.
35. Anitha Jegadeeshwari L, Dharathi N, Vidhya Lakshmi D, Vasanth Kumar E, Nagendra Gandhi N. Tribal plants and their inborn antimicrobial activities. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* 2017;7:31-7.