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ESTIMATION OF CELECOXIB IN HUMAN PLASMA BY RAPID AND SELECTIVE LC-MS/MS METHOD FOR A BIOEQUIVALENCE STUDY
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INTRODUCTION

Celecoxib (CXB) is the first cyclooxygenase 2-selective inhibitor introduced into clinical practice. It is approved worldwide for the relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. It is used in the management and treatment of primary dysmenorrhea and for acute pain in adults [1]. After oral administration of 200 mg of celecoxib to healthy volunteers the maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) 450-800 ng/ml is reached in 1.5-3 h. The low solubility of the drug appears to prolong the absorption process making terminal half-life (t1/2) determinations more variable. Under fasted conditions, t1/2 is approximately 9-11 h. Due to the low aqueous solubility of CXB, absolute bioavailability studies have not been conducted [1, 2].

Various methods have been reported for the determination of CXB in biological fluids. This includes liquid chromatography (LC) with spectrophotometric detection [3-7], liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) [8], ultra-performance LC-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [9] and LC coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [10-12]. Several of these methods involve tedious liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) for sample preparation [4, 5, 8, 9, 11]. In two previous methods [6, 7], protein precipitation (PP) was employed, but the spectrophotometric detection at 254 nm was less selective and therefore necessitated chromatographic separation of CXB and internal standard from endogenous interferences. This resulted in longer chromatographic analysis times (66 min. Except one report [12], all other methods have long analysis time and required extensive sample preparation.

Thus, the aim of this study was to simplify sample preparation step using solid phase extraction (SPE) and simultaneously to shorten the chromatographic run time with a more selective LC-MS/MS procedure. Further, to improve the precision and accuracy of the method isotopically labeled CXB was used compared to general internal standards employed in previously studies [8, 11, 12]. These improvements enabled development of a rapid, selective and sensitive LC-MS/MS method for determination of CXB in human plasma. The method was successfully applied to a pharmacokinetic study under fed and fasting states.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chloroquine and methanolic acid and ammonium acetate solution in 80:20 (v/v) ratio. The protonated precursor to product ion transitions studied for CXB and CXB-D7 were m/z 380.0 → 315.9 and 387.0 → 323.0, respectively.

Conclusion: The method was successfully applied in healthy subjects using a single dose of 400 mg celecoxib capsules under fasting and fed conditions. The reproducibility in the measurement of study data is demonstrated by incurred sample reanalysis.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: A selective, sensitive and rapid liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) assay has been developed for the determination of celecoxib (CXB) in negative ionization mode.

Methods: Celecoxib and celecoxib-D7 (CXB-D7) as internal standard (IS) were extracted from 300 μl human plasma by solid-phase extraction using strata-X SPE cartridges. Chromatographic separation was achieved on ACE C8-300 (50 × 4.0 mm, 3.0 μm) column using methanol-H.1 mmol ammonium acetate solution in 80:20 (v/v) ratio. The protonated precursor to product ion transitions studied for CXB and CXB-D7 were m/z 380.0 → 315.9 and 387.0 → 323.0, respectively.

Results: The limit of detection (LOD) and lower limit of quantitation of the method were 2.50 and 10.0 ng/ml respectively with a linear dynamic range of 10.0-4000 ng/ml for CXB. The intra-batch and inter-batch precision (% CV) and mean relative recovery across quality control levels is<7.2 % and 85.5 % respectively. Matrix effect in human plasma, expressed as IS-normalized matrix factor ranged from 0.99-1.03.

Conclusion: The method was successfully applied in healthy subjects using a single dose of 400 mg celecoxib capsules under fasting and fed conditions. The reproducibility in the measurement of study data is demonstrated by incurred sample reanalysis.
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reaction monitoring (MRM) mode to monitor protonated precursor \( \rightarrow \) product ion transition of \( m/z \ 380.0 \rightarrow 315.9 \) for CXB and \( 387.0 \rightarrow 323.0 \) for IS. All the parameters of LC and MS were controlled by Analyst software version 1.6.2.

The source dependant mass parameters maintained for CXB and IS were Gas 1(nebulizer gas): 55 psi, Gas 2(heater gas): 60 psi ion spray voltage (ISV):4500 V, turbo heater temperature: 500 °C, collision activation dissociation (GAD): 8 psi, curtain gas (CUR): 25 psi. The compound dependent parameters like declustering potential (DP), collision energy (CE), entrance potential (EP) and cell exit potential (CXP) were optimized at 120,-39,-10 and -10 V for CXB and -120,-35,-10 and -20 V for IS respectively. Quadrupole 1 and quadrupole 3 were maintained at unit resolution and the dwell time was set at 500 ms.

### Preparation of standard stock and plasma samples

Separate standard stock solutions (500 µg/ml) were prepared by dissolving requisite amount of CXB and CXB-D7 in methanol. The CXB solution was further diluted appropriately to get an intermediate concentration of 80.0 µg/ml. The working solutions of CXB required for spiking plasma calibration and quality control samples were prepared using the standard and intermediate stock solutions in methanol (90:10, v/v). IS working solution of 1500 ng/ml was prepared using the stock solutions in deionized water. The calibration standards (CSs) and quality control (QC) samples (LLOQ QC, lower limit of quantitation quality control; LQC, low quality control; MQC-1 and MQC-2, medium quality control; HQC, high quality control; ULOQ QC, upper limit of quantitation quality control) were prepared by spiking blank plasma with respective working solutions. CSs were made at 10.0, 20.0, 50.0, 100, 200, 400, 800, 1600, 3200 and 4000 ng/ml. QC s were prepared at 10.0 ng/ml (LLOQ), 30.0 ng/ml (LQC), 300 ng/ml (MQC-2), 1200 ng/ml (MQC-1), 3000 ng/ml (HQC) and 4000 ng/ml (ULOQ) for CXB. Standard stock and working solutions used for spiking were stored at -20 °C while CSs and QC samples in plasma were kept at 2-8 °C until use.

### Protocol for sample preparation

Prior to analysis, spiked plasma samples were thawed and allowed to equilibrate at room temperature. The samples were adequately vortexed using a vortexer before pipetting. Aliquots of 300 µl plasma solutions containing 15 µl of working solution of CXB and 205 µl blank plasma were transferred into vials. Further, 15 µl of methanol-deionized water (90:10, v/v) 100 µl working solution of IS (1500 ng/ml) was added and vortexed to mix. To the same vials, 100 µl of 2 % formic acid solution was added and vortexed again. Prior to loading plasma samples, SPE cartridges were pre-washed with 1.0 ml of methanol, followed by 1.0 ml of deionized water and centrifuged for 1.0 min at 1811 × g. Plasma samples were then applied to these conditioned cartridges and after centrifugation for 2.0 min at 1811 × g, washing was done with 1.0 ml of deionized water, followed by centrifugation for 1.0 min at 1811 × g. Elution was carried out with 2.0 × 250 µl of methanol-1.0 mmol ammonium acetate (80:20, v/v), followed by centrifugation for 1.0 min at 1811 × g after each step and 2.0 µl was used for injection in LC-MS/MS, in partial loop mode.

### Methodology for validation

Method validation for CXB in human plasma was done following the USFDA guidelines [13] and the procedures followed were similar to reported methods [14, 15]. The method was validated for selectivity, interference check, carryover, linearity, precision and accuracy, reinjection reproducibility, recovery, ion suppression/enhancement, matrix effect, stability, dilution integrity and ruggedness.

### Bioequivalence study design and incurred sample reanalysis (ISR)

The design of the study comprised of "An open label, randomized, two period, two treatment, two sequence, crossover, balanced, single dose, evaluation of relative oral bioavailability of test (400 mg celecoxib capsules from an Indian company) and reference formulations (CELEBREX®, 400 mg celecoxib capsules from G. D. Searle LLC, Pfizer Inc, NY, NY 10017 USA) in 50 healthy Indian male subjects under fasting and 42 healthy Indian male subjects under fed conditions. The study was carried out at Bio-Analytical Laboratory, BA Research India Ltd., Ahmedabad, India. All the subjects were informed of the aim and risk involved in the study and a written consent were obtained. The inclusion criteria for volunteer selection was based on the age (18-45 y), body mass index (18.5-24.9 kg/height²), general physical examination, electrocardiogram and laboratory tests like hematology, biochemistry, urinalysis, chest X-ray, complete blood count, urine examination and immunological tests. The exclusion criteria included allergic responses to CXB, volunteers with history of alcoholism, smokers and having a disease which may compromise the haemopoietic, gastrointestinal, renal, hepatic, cardiovascular, respiratory, central nervous system, diabetes, psychosis or any other body system. The work was approved, and subject to review by Institutional Ethics Committee, an independent body comprising of eight members that included a lawyer, medical doctors, social workers, pharmacologists and academicians. The procedures followed while dealing with human subjects were based on International Conference on Harmonization, E6 Good Clinical Practice guidelines [16]. The subjects were fasted 10 h before administration of the drug formulation for fasted study. For fed study, the subjects were fasted 10 h prior to serving standardized high-calorie and high-fat breakfast. Subjects remained seated in an upright position on bed for initial 4 h post-dose and only necessary movement was allowed during this period for both the studies. Water intake was restricted 1.0 h before and after dosing. Blood samples were collected in vacutainers containing K₂EDTA at 0.0 (pre-dose), 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10, 12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h for fasting study and at 0.0 (pre-dose), 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10, 11, 12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h for fed study after oral administration of the drug. Blood samples were centrifuged at 1811 g at 4 °C for 15 min; the plasma obtained was separated and stored at -20 °C until use.

The pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated by non-compartmental model using WinNonlin software version 5.2.1 (Certara, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA). The CLmax values and the time to reach maximum plasma concentration (Tmax) were estimated directly from the observed plasma concentration vs. time data. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time 0 to 72 h (AUC0-72h) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule. The AUC0-72h was calculated as: AUC0-72h = ∫C(t) dt/C0, where C0 is the last plasma concentration measured and K0 is the elimination rate constant; K0 was determined using linear regression analysis of the logarithm of linear part of the plasma concentration-time curve. The t1/2 of CXB was calculated as: t1/2g = In2/Kel. To determine whether the test and reference formulations were pharmacokinetically equivalent, Cmax, AUC0-72h, and AUC0-inf and their ratios (test/reference) using log transformed data were assessed; their means and 90 % CIs were analyzed by using SAS® software version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The drugs were considered pharmacokinetically equivalent if the difference between the compared parameters was statistically non-significant (P ≥ 0.05) and the 90 % confidence intervals (CI) for these parameters were within 80-125 %.

An incurred sample re-analysis (ISR) was also conducted by computerized randomization of 316 subject samples near CLmax and the elimination phase for this study as reported previously [17]. The results obtained were compared with the data obtained earlier for the same sample using the same procedure. As per the acceptance criterion at least two-thirds of the original and repeat results should be within 20 % of each other.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Method development

The objective of the present work was to develop and validate a simple, rugged, selective and sensitive method for CXB in human plasma by LC-MS/MS for routine sample analysis. Also, the sensitivity of the method should be adequate to monitor at least five half lives of CXB concentration with good accuracy and precision for subject sample analysis. During method development, electro spray ionization of CXB and CXB-D7 (IS) was conducted in negative ionization mode as both are basic in nature due to presence of tertiary and secondary amine groups, using 10.0 ng/ml tuning solution. The analyte and IS gave predominant singly charged
deprotonated precursor [M-H]⁻ ions at m/z of 380.0 and 387.0 for CXB and IS, respectively in Q1 full scan spectra as reported previously [10]. Further, fragmentation was initiated using sufficient nitrogen for CAD and by applying 25.0 psi curtain gas to break the precursor ions. The most abundant and consistent ion found in the product ions in Q3 mass spectra of CXB and IS were at m/z 315.9 and 323.0, respectively. These ions were formed from the deprotonated parent ion by removal of sulfur dioxide (fig. 1a and 1b). To attain an ideal Taylor cone for better spectral response, nebulizer gas pressure was set at 55 psi. Fine tuning of nebulizer gas and CAD gas was done to get a consistent and stable response. Ion spray voltage and temperature did not have any significant impact on analyte response and hence were maintained at -4500 V and 500 °C respectively. A dwell time of 500 ms was found adequate for CXB and IS. Also, no cross talk was observed between the MRMs of analyte and IS.

![Product ion mass spectra](image)

**Fig. 1**: Product ion mass spectra of (a) celecoxib (m/z 380.0 → 315.9, scan range 70-400 Da) and (b) celecoxib-D7 (IS, m/z 387.0 → 323.0, scan range 100-600 Da) in the negative ionization mode

The chromatographic conditions were set to obtain adequate retention and response with minimal interference from the endogenous components. This included optimization of mobile phase, its composition, flow rate, column type, dilution preparation medium and injection volume. Different combinations of acetonitrile/methanol and acidic buffers (ammonium formate/formic acid, ammonium acetate/acetic acid) of different strengths (2.0-5.0 mmol) were tested as mobile phase. Further, mobile phase additives like formic acid and ammonium trifluoroacetate were also tried on Hypurity C18 (100 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm), ACE C18-300 (100 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm), Eclipse XB-C8 (150 × 4.6 mm, 3.5 μm), Hypurity C8 (100 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm), ACE C8-300 (50 × 4.0 mm, 3 μm) and Kinetex XB C18, 100 Å (50 × 4.6 mm, 2.5 μm) columns. In addition, the effect of flow rate was also studied from 0.5 to 1.2 ml/min, which was responsible for acceptable chromatographic peak shapes and to separate endogenous peaks. All the columns provided acceptable separation of the analyte from endogenous substances within 5.0 min but the analyte response at the QC levels was much superior on ACE C8-300 compared to other columns. Further, it required a run time of 2.5 min for the analysis of CXB and IS on this column using methanol-1.0 mmol ammonium acetate in 80:20 (v/v) ratio as the mobile phase. The analysis time was much less compared to previous reports [8, 10, 11], which required more than 5.0 min. Addition of 1.0 mmol ammonium acetate was sufficient to get adequate response and also good peak shape for CXB. A flow rate of 0.5 ml/min ensured retention time of 1.44 and 1.43 min for CXB and IS, respectively (fig. 2). The reproducibility in the measurement of retention times for CXB was ≤ 0.8% (% CV) for 100 injections on the same column. Further, there was no effect of IS on analyte recovery, sensitivity or ion suppression. In the present work, PP was tried initially with methanol and acetonitrile; however, the samples obtained were not clear in either of the solvents with poor recovery and considerable ion suppression. LLE was also initiated with different solvents like diethyl ether, n-hexane, dichloromethane,
methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) and ethyl acetate, alone and in combination under alkaline conditions. The results obtained in MTBE were encouraging, but the recovery was not consistent at lower QC levels. Moreover, in one report the recovery of CXB obtained was about 45 % using MTBE as the extraction solvent [12]. Hence, SPE was tested with Strata-X® under acidic, neutral and basic conditions. Precise and quantitative recoveries (83.4-90.2 %) with minimum matrix interference were obtained under acidic conditions during application of the sample on the cartridges. While neutral conditions (methanol-1.0 mmol ammonium acetate (80:20, v/v) for elution was optimal for the analyte and IS.

**Fig. 2: MRM ion-chromatograms of celecoxib (m/z 380.0 → 315.9) and celecoxib D7 (IS, m/z 387.0 → 323.0) in (a) double blank plasma (without analyte and IS), (b) blank plasma with IS, (c) celecoxib at LLOQ and IS (d) real subject sample at Cmax after administration of 400 mg dose of celecoxib under fasting condition**

### Assay performance and validation

It is indispensable to apply well-characterized and fully validated bioanalytical methods to obtain reliable and consistent results for routine analysis [18]. The developed bioanalytical method was fully validated for all validation parameters as explained below.

Selectivity, carry over and interference study: The purpose of evaluating selectivity with 12 different human plasma sources was to determine the extent to which endogenous plasma components might interfere at the retention time of CXB and the IS and thus, ensure the authenticity of the results for study sample analysis. Fig. 2 demonstrates the selectivity of the method through the chromatograms of double blank plasma, blank plasma spiked with IS, CXB at LLOQ concentration respectively and in subject samples. Carry-over evaluation was performed in each analytical run to ensure that it does not impact the accuracy and precision of the method. The experiments showed a carryover of ≤1.07 % for CXB of LLOQ concentration in blank plasma sample after injection of highest calibration standard (ULOQ) at the retention time of analyte and IS. Further, there was no interference of commonly used medications by healthy volunteers like acetaminophen, aspirin, caffeine, chlorpheniramine, cetirizine, ibuprofen and pseudoephedrine at the retention time of analyte and IS.

Linearity, sensitivity, accuracy and precision: The calibration curve were linear over the concentration range of 1.00-4000 ng/ml with correlation coefficient $r^2 \geq 0.9987$ as shown in fig. 3. A straight-line fit was made through the data points by least square regression analysis to give the mean linear equation $y = (0.0012)x - (0.0001)$, where $y$ is the peak area ratio of the analyte/IS and $x$ the concentration of the analyte. The accuracy and precision (% CV) observed for the CSs ranged from 95.0 to 106 % and 1.6 to 5.7 %, respectively. The lowest concentration (LLOQ) in the standard curve that can be measured with acceptable accuracy and precision found was 10.0 ng/ml at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) ≥ 25, with a limit of detection (LOD) of 2.5 ng/ml. The intra-batch and inter-batch precision and accuracy results are summarized in table 1. The intra-batch precision (% CV) ranged from 0.7 to 5.7 and the accuracy was within 90.2 to 104 %. For the inter-batch experiments, the precision varied from 1.8 to 7.2 and the accuracy was within 88.4 to 98.8 %.

Recovery, matrix effect and post-column analyte infusion study: The extraction recovery and matrix effect data for the analyte and IS is presented in table 2. Highly consistent recovery was obtained across QC levels for CXB. The IS-normalized matrix factors ranged from 0.99-1.03, which shows minimal interference of endogenous matrix components for CXB. Matrix effect in different plasma sources (6-EDTA, 1-lipemic and 1-heamolyzed) was also evaluated at LQC and HQC levels. The precision values in different plasma sources varied from 0.5 to 2.9 % for CXB (table 3). Further, results of post-column analyte infusion experiment showed no regions of ion suppression or enhancement at the retention time of analyte and IS.

Stability, dilution integrity and ruggedness study: Stability experiments were performed to evaluate the analyte stability in stocks solutions and in plasma samples under different conditions, simulating the same conditions which occurred during study sample analysis. CXB was found stable in controlled blank plasma at room temperature up to 25 h and for six freeze and thaw cycles. The analyte in extracted plasma samples was stable for 117 h under refrigerated conditions (2-8 °C) and for 69 h at room temperature. The spiked plasma samples of CXB stored at-20 °C and-70 °C for long term stability showed no evidence of degradation up to 126 d. The detailed stability results are shown in table 4.
Fig. 3: Linear calibration curve for celecoxib in plasma

Table 1: Intra-batch and inter-batch accuracy and precision results for celecoxib

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytes and QC level (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Intra-day (n = 6; single batch)</th>
<th>Inter-day (n = 18; 6 from each batch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean conc. found (ng/ml)</td>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOQ (10.00)</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQC (30.00)</td>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQC-2 (300.0)</td>
<td>2785.0</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQC-1 (1200)</td>
<td>1106.0</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQC (300)</td>
<td>2801.0</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULOQ (4000)</td>
<td>3623.0</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LQC: low quality control; MQC: medium quality control; HQC: high quality control; LLOQ QC: lower limit of quantitation quality control; CV: coefficient of variation

Table 2: Extraction recovery and matrix factor for celecoxib (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality control level (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Mean area response (n = 6)</th>
<th>Recovery (B/A %)</th>
<th>Matrix factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A (post-extraction spiking)</td>
<td>B (pre-extraction spiking)</td>
<td>C (neat samples in mobile phase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>46265</td>
<td>41735</td>
<td>47734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>448323</td>
<td>377718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1774993</td>
<td>1480750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4173424</td>
<td>3514982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS: internal standard, Celecoxib-D7

Table 3: Relative matrix effect in different lots of human plasma for celecoxib (n = 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma lots</th>
<th>Mean calculated concentration (% CV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.00 ng/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-1 (K, EDTA)</td>
<td>31.26 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-2 (K, EDTA)</td>
<td>31.41 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-3 (K, EDTA)</td>
<td>31.04 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-4 (K, EDTA)</td>
<td>31.25 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-5 (K, EDTA)</td>
<td>31.29 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-6 (K, EDTA)</td>
<td>31.23 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-7 (haemolysed)</td>
<td>31.64 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot-8 (lipemic)</td>
<td>31.04 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Stability results for celecoxib under different conditions (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Condition</th>
<th>QC level</th>
<th>Mean stability sample (ng/ml)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bench top stability</td>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>28.12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25 h, 25°C)</td>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>2818</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeze-thaw stability</td>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>29.63</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5 cycles, -20°C)</td>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>2892</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto sampler stability</td>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>27.77</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(117 h, 2-8 °C)</td>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>2698</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed sample stability</td>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(69 h, 25 °C)</td>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>2824</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term stability</td>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(126 d, -20 °C)</td>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>2893</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term stability</td>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(126 d, -70 °C)</td>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>2836</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LQC: low quality control; HQC: high quality control; CV: coefficient of variation
from zero hour to infinity; Kel:

incurred sample reanalysis of subject samples for the first time. reproducibility of the method was adequately proved through consistent and reproducible recoveries for CXB. The optimized SPE employed in the present work using Strata X cartridges gave

The present study describes a new, highly selective and rugged LC-MS/MS method for the estimation of CXB in human plasma, CONCLUSION

CV: coefficient of variation

Application of the method in healthy subjects

The validated method was applied to a bioequivalence study of CXB under fasting and fed states in 50 and 42 healthy Indian subjects, respectively after oral administration of 400 mg test and reference formulations of CXB. The study was performed to evaluate the impact of food on the pharmacokinetics of CXB. The method was sensitive enough to monitor their plasma concentration up to 72 h. Fig. 4 shows the plasma concentration vs. time profile of CXB in healthy subjects under fasting and fed conditions. Table 5 gives a comparative assessment of pharmacokinetic parameters obtained for the study. The equivalence statistics of bioavailability for the pharmacokinetic parameters of the two formulations are summarized in table 6.

The % change in the measurement of selected subject samples for ISR was within±20 %, which confirms method reproducibility. Further, there was no adverse event during the course of the study.

Table 5: Mean pharmacokinetic parameters (±SD) following of 400 mg celecoxib capsule formulation to healthy Indian subjects under different condition

Table 6: Comparison of treatment ratios and 90% CIs of natural log (Ln)-transformed parameters for 400 mg celecoxib capsule test and reference formulations under fasting and fed states

CONCLUSION

The present study describes a new, highly selective and rugged LC-MS/MS method for the estimation of CXB in human plasma, especially to meet the requirement for subject sample analysis. The SPE employed in the present work using Strata X cartridges gave consistent and reproducible recoveries for CXB. The optimized linear concentration range was adequate to monitor at least five half-lives of CXB with good accuracy and precision. Finally, the reproducibility of the method was adequately proved through incurred sample reanalysis of subject samples for the first time.
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%Change = \frac{\text{Mean stability samples} - \text{Mean comparison samples}}{\text{Mean comparison samples}} \times 100

Dilution integrity: The dilution reliability of the method was checked to confirm dilution reliability of samples having concentration above ULOQ. The precision (% CV) value for 10-fold dilution (20000 ng/ml) of CXB was 1.3 % and the corresponding accuracy was 97.3 %. The results obtained were well within the acceptance limit of 15 % for precision (%CV) and 85 to 115 % for accuracy. Similarly, the precision and accuracy for method ruggedness performed on two different ACE C8-300 columns and with different analysts varied from 1.0 to 3.0 % and 95.8 to 107 %, respectively for CXB.

\text{AUC}_{0\text{-}24\text{h}} \text{ (h ng/ml)} \text{ } \frac{\% \text{ change}}{\text{test}} \text{ } \frac{\% \text{ change}}{\text{reference}} \text{ } \text{Intra subject variation, CV (%)}

\begin{align*}
\text{C}_{\text{max}} &\text{ maximum plasma concentration; } T_{\text{max}} \text{ time point of maximum plasma concentration, } t_{1/2} \text{ half-life of drug elimination during the terminal phase; } \text{AUC}_{0\text{-}24\text{h}} \text{ area under the plasma, concentration-time curve from zero hour to 72 h; } \text{AUC}_{0\text{-}inf} \text{ area under the plasma concentration-time curve from zero hour to infinity; } K_{\text{el}} \text{ elimination rate constant; SD: standard deviation.}
\end{align*}

\text{C}_{\text{max}}: (ng/ml) \text{ Test } 1834.2 \pm 350.6 \text{ Test } 2618.0 \pm 330.6 \text{ Test } 2597.9 \pm 264.9 \text{ Test } 2525.8 \pm 259.8

\text{Table 6: Comparison of treatment ratios and 90\% CIs of natural log (Ln)-transformed parameters for 400 mg celecoxib capsule test and reference formulations under fasting and fed states}

\text{Parameter} & \text{Ratio (test/ reference) } & \text{90 \% confidence interval (Lower-Upper)} & \text{Power} & \text{Intra subject variation, CV (\%)} \\
\hline
\text{C}_{\text{max}} (\text{ng/ml}) & 105.2 & 102.9 & 97.2-113.8 & 98.18-107.7 & 0.9982 & 1.0000 & 23.86 & 12.51 \\
\text{AUC}_{0\text{-}24\text{h}} (\text{ng/ml}) & 104.5 & 103.1 & 99.0-109.3 & 99.34-107.0 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 16.11 & 9.99 \\
\text{AUC}_{0\text{-}inf} (\text{ng/ml}) & 103.9 & 102.8 & 98.3-109.8 & 98.93-106.7 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 16.61 & 10.21 \\
\hline

\text{CV: coefficient of variation}

\text{CV: coefficient of variation}

\text{CV: coefficient of variation}
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